Bath and North East Somerset Council Draft Core Strategy REPRESENTATIONS BY RESPONDENT

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

Respondent Number:

401

Respondent: Midsomer Norton Society

RepresentationReference: 401\1

Plan Reference: Policy SV2: Midsomer Norton Town Centre Strategic Policy

Representation Whilst overall we fully support the Core Strategy see it as essential to put in place an Article 4 Direction (soundness): relating to the Midsomer Norton and Welton conservation area. Without this MSN and Welton will risk losing their distinctive character//heritage and this is not just important historically but an economic differentiator and asset in terms of attracting footfall to the town.

Change sought to Put in place an Article 4 Direction relating to the Midsomer Norton and Welton conservation area. **make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

402

Respondent: L Fennelly

RepresentationReference: 402\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 402\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be

considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 402\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 403 Respondent: S Jewell

RepresentationReference: 403\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 403\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 403\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 404 Respondent: S Fennelly

RepresentationReference: 404\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 404\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 404\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 405 Respondent: Richard Sweet

RepresentationReference: 405\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 405\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 405\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 406 Respondent: Janet Sweet

RepresentationReference: 406\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 406\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 406\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 407 Respondent: Charles Luker

RepresentationReference: 407\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 407\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 407\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 407\4 S Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.44

Representation I am pleased to see that land identified in the Local Plan of Whitchurch for the purposes of a potential **(soundness):** viallage bypass will remain safeguarded.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 408 Respondent: P Walsh

RepresentationReference: 408\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 408\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 408\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Angela Sims**

RepresentationReference: 409\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 409\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 409\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 410 Respondent: Bernard Sims

RepresentationReference: 410\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 410\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 410\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 411 Respondent: Caroline Walsh

RepresentationReference: 411\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 411\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 411\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 412 Respondent: Jason Clark

RepresentationReference: 412\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 412\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 412\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 413 Respondent: Jessica Clark

RepresentationReference: 413\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 413\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 413\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 414 Respondent: D J Clark

RepresentationReference: 414\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 414\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 414\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 415 Respondent: B Clark

RepresentationReference: 415\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 415\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 415\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

416 Respondent: James O'Connell

RepresentationReference: 416\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 416\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 416\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

417 Respondent: Penny O'Connell

RepresentationReference: 417\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 417\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 417\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 418 Respondent: J H Sobey

RepresentationReference: 418\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 418\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 418\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 419 Respondent: J Dalton

RepresentationReference: 419\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 419\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 419\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 420 Respondent: Alan Dalton

RepresentationReference: 420\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 420\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 420\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 421 Respondent: Lisa Smith

RepresentationReference: 421\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 421\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 421\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 422 Respondent: A R Smith

RepresentationReference: 422\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 422\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 422\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 423 Respondent: Kevin Purnell

RepresentationReference: 423\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 423\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 423\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 424 Respondent: K Purnell

RepresentationReference: 424\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 424\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 424\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: J Purnell

RepresentationReference: 425\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 425\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 425\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

426 Respondent: Harry Purnell

RepresentationReference: 426\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 426\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 426\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 427 Respondent: Matthew Wilkes

RepresentationReference: 427\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 427\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 427\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 428 Respondent: Nathan Wilkes

RepresentationReference: 428\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 428\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 428\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 429 Respondent: Adrian Wilkes

RepresentationReference: 429\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 429\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 429\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 430 Respondent: Susan Wilkes

RepresentationReference: 430\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 430\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 430\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 431 Respondent: Cherri Leando

RepresentationReference: 431\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 431\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 431\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 432 Respondent: J Leando

RepresentationReference: 432\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 432\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 432\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 433 Respondent: Selena Norfolk

RepresentationReference: 433\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 433\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 433\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

434 Respondent: Elizabeth Cox

RepresentationReference: 434\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 434\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 434\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Rachel Davis

RepresentationReference: 435\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 435\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 435\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Trevor Hicks**

RepresentationReference: 436\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 436\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 436\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 437 Respondent: Michelle Bane

RepresentationReference: 437\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 437\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 437\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 438 Respondent: Colin Willis

RepresentationReference: 438\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 438\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 438\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 439

RepresentationReference: 439\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Respondent: A M Willis

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 439\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 439\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 440 Respondent: Carol Carter

RepresentationReference: 440\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 440\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 440\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 441 Respondent: Ruth Wilmot

RepresentationReference: 441\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 441\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 441\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 442 Respondent: Royston Wilmot

RepresentationReference: 442\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 442\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 442\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

443 Respondent: Marianne Britten

RepresentationReference: 443\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 443\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 443\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

444 Respondent: Rosemary Gullis

RepresentationReference: 444\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 444\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 444\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: L S Carter

RepresentationReference: 445\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 445\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 445\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 446 Respondent: Alfred Leonard

RepresentationReference: 446\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 446\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 446\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 447 Respondent: June Leonard

RepresentationReference: 447\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 447\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 447\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 448

RepresentationReference: 448\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Respondent: Jennifer Barker

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 448\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 448\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 449 Respondent: Acker Bilk

RepresentationReference: 449\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 449\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 449\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 450 Respondent: Paul Bishop

RepresentationReference: 450\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 450\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 450\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 451 Respondent: Mrs G Bishop

RepresentationReference: 451\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 451\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 451\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Mr G Bishop

RepresentationReference: 452\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 452\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 452\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Antony Godfrey

RepresentationReference: 453\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 453\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 453\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: Tanya Mabbs-Godfrey

RepresentationReference: 454\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 454\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 454\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 455 Respondent: Steve Barker

RepresentationReference: 455\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 455\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 455\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 456 Respondent: Jean Bilk

RepresentationReference: 456\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 456\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 456\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 457 Respondent: Serena Bishop

RepresentationReference: 457\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 457\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 457\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 458 Respondent: Nathan Mabbs-Godfrey

RepresentationReference: 458\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 458\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 458\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 459 Respondent: Mrs N. R. Hill

RepresentationReference: 459\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 459\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 459\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 460 Respondent: J Green

RepresentationReference: 460\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 460\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 460\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

461 Respondent: David Green

RepresentationReference: 461\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 461\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 461\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

462 Respondent: Dennis Baber

RepresentationReference: 462\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 462\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 462\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 463 Respondent: Jane Barber

RepresentationReference: 463\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 463\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 463\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 464 Respondent: Louisa Baber

RepresentationReference: 464\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 464\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 464\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 465 Respondent: Robert Whiting

RepresentationReference: 465\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 465\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 465\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 466 Respondent: W. J. Lacey

RepresentationReference: 466\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 466\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 466\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 467 Respondent: K. J.. Lacey

RepresentationReference: 467\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 467\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 467\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 468 Respondent: Barbara Clarke

RepresentationReference: 468\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 468\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 468\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 469 Respondent: B Davis

RepresentationReference: 469\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 469\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 469\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

470 **Respondent: Sylvia Davis**

RepresentationReference: 470\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 470\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 470\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Geoff Carpenter

RepresentationReference: 471\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 471\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 471\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Rachael Carpenter**

RepresentationReference: 472\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 472\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 472\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 473 Respondent: J Carpenter

RepresentationReference: 473\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 473\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 473\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 474 Respondent: Gerald Smith

RepresentationReference: 474\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 474\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 474\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 475 Respondent: Susan Smith

RepresentationReference: 475\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 475\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 475\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: D Milkins

RepresentationReference: 476\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 476\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 476\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 477 Respondent: R Milkins

RepresentationReference: 477\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 477\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 477\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 478 Respondent: Deborah Fletcher

RepresentationReference: 478\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 478\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 478\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Andrew Fletcher

RepresentationReference: 479\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 479\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 479\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Olive Jones

RepresentationReference: 480\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 480\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 480\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 481 Respondent: Julie Filer

RepresentationReference: 481\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 481\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 481\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 482 Respondent: Tony Filer

RepresentationReference: 482\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 482\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 482\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Margaret Foxwell**

RepresentationReference: 483\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 483\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 483\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 484 Respondent: David E. Foxwell

RepresentationReference: 484\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 484\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 484\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 485 Respondent: Brian Worlock

RepresentationReference: 485\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 485\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 485\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 486 Respondent: Janet Worlock

RepresentationReference: 486\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 486\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 486\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 487 Respondent: Allan Price

RepresentationReference: 487\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 487\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 487\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

488 Respondent: Joyce Price

RepresentationReference: 488\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 488\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 488\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: A Bates

RepresentationReference: 489\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 489\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 489\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: J Bates

RepresentationReference: 490\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 490\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 490\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 491 Respondent: E. P. Willington

RepresentationReference: 491\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 491\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 491\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 492 Respondent: Mrs P. C. Tichborne

RepresentationReference: 492\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 492\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 492\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

493 Respondent: F. G. Tichborne

RepresentationReference: 493\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 401 to 500)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 493\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 493\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 494 **Respondent: P Reynolds**

RepresentationReference: 494\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 494\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 494\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 495 Respondent: P Reynolds

RepresentationReference: 495\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 495\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 495\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 496 Respondent: Richard Harrison

RepresentationReference: 496\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 496\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 496\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

⁴⁹⁷ Respondent: Linda Harrison

RepresentationReference: 497\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 497\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 497\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Mr David Lewis

RepresentationReference: 498\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 498\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Page 108 08 March 2011

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 498\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Mrs Tracey Lewis**

RepresentationReference: 499\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 499\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 499\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 500 Respondent: Colin Taylor

RepresentationReference: 500\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 500\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 500\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant: