# Bath and North East Somerset Council Draft Core Strategy REPRESENTATIONS BY RESPONDENT

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

Respondent Number:

501 Respondent: Cherryl Taylor

RepresentationReference: 501\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 501\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 501\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Respondent Number:** 

**Respondent: Patience Campbell** 

RepresentationReference: 502\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 502\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 502\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Respondent Number:** 

Respondent: Michael Campbell

RepresentationReference: 503\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 503\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 503\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Jeremy Cox** 

RepresentationReference: 504\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 504\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 504\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 505 Respondent: Hilary Cox

RepresentationReference: 505\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 505\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 505\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 506 Respondent: Heather Davis

RepresentationReference: 506\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

#### Representation (legal compliance):

## Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 506\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

# Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 506\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

# Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

## Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 507 Respondent: John Davis

RepresentationReference: 507\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 507\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 507\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

**Respondent: Olivia Norfolk** 

RepresentationReference: 508\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 508\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 508\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 509 Respondent: Andrew Ford

RepresentationReference: 509\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 509\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 509\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

510 Respondent: Allan Peters

RepresentationReference: 510\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 510\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 510\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

#### Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

511 Respondent: James Peters

RepresentationReference: 511\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 511\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 511\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

512 Respondent: J. Roach

RepresentationReference: 512\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 512\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

#### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 512\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 513 Respondent: Peter Roach

RepresentationReference: 513\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 513\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen

as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 513\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: A Bishop

RepresentationReference: 514\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 514\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness):

Page 15 08 March 2011

small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 514\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 515 Respondent: T. E. Stokes

RepresentationReference: 515\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 515\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 515\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 516 Respondent: T. J. Stokes

RepresentationReference: 516\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 516\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 516\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 517 Respondent: W. J. Rose

RepresentationReference: 517\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 517\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 517\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

518 **Respondent Number: Respondent: Tony Griffith** 

RepresentationReference: 518\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 518\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 518\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

519 **Respondent Number:** Respondent: Judith Griffith

RepresentationReference: 519\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 519\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

### Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 519\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

# Change sought to

make sound:

#### Representation (legal compliance):

#### Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 520 Respondent: The Rev'd John W. Masding

RepresentationReference: 520\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 520\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 520\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are

relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Margaret Masding

RepresentationReference: 521\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

521

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 521\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 521\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Respondent Number:** 

522 Respondent: J. G. Slade

RepresentationReference: 522\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 522\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

# Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 522\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 523 Respondent: D. H. Slade

RepresentationReference: 523\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 523\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 523\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

**Respondent Number:** 

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**RepresentationReference:** 524\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Respondent: Mrs B. J. Osborne

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 524\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 524\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 525 Respondent: Marina Norris

RepresentationReference: 525\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

#### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 525\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 525\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 526 Respondent: Janet Russ

RepresentationReference: 526\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country

and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 526\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 526\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 527 Respondent: Michael Russ

RepresentationReference: 527\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 527\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 527\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 528 **Respondent: Neil Davies** 

RepresentationReference: 528\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness):

particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 528\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 528\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 529 Respondent: Miguel Humblet

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 529\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 529\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 529\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

#### Representation (legal compliance):

# Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 534

RepresentationReference: 534\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

**Respondent: Carolyn Brennan** 

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 534\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 534\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Respondent Number:** 

535 Respondent: Melanie Crane

RepresentationReference: 535\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 535\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 535\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

537 Respondent: Jonathan Crane

RepresentationReference: 537\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 537\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

# Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 537\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 538 Respondent: F. Barton

RepresentationReference: 538\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 538\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 538\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

39 Respondent: K. E. Barton

RepresentationReference: 539\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 539\2 S

intationintereseries. 333 (2 3

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 539\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Mrs B Jones** 

RepresentationReference: 540\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

540

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 540\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 540\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 541 Respondent: Mr G Jones

RepresentationReference: 541\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country

and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 541\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 541\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

542 **Respondent Number:** Respondent: M. Norman

RepresentationReference: 542\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 542\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 542\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 543 Respondent: Mary Clark

RepresentationReference: 543\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness):

particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 543\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 543\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 544 Respondent: T. Clark

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 544\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 544\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 544\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

### Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Respondent Number:** 

<sup>545</sup> Respondent: E. O'Regan

RepresentationReference: 545\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 545\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 545\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

546 Respondent: G. O'Regan

RepresentationReference: 546\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 546\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 546\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

**Respondent: Sally Paul** 

RepresentationReference: 547\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 547\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

## Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 547\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 548 Respondent: Cllr Peter Edwards

RepresentationReference: 548\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 548\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 548\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Ann Edwards

RepresentationReference: 549\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 549\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 549\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 550 Respondent: D. L. Pearce

RepresentationReference: 550\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 550\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 550\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 551 Respondent: M. L. Pearce

RepresentationReference: 551\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country

and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 551\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 551\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Respondent Number: Respondent: Peter Smith** 

RepresentationReference: 552\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 552\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 552\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 553 Respondent: J. R. Smith

RepresentationReference: 553\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness):

particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 553\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 553\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 554 Respondent: J. Payne

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 554\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 554\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 554\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

### Representation (legal compliance):

# Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 555

RepresentationReference: 555\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Respondent: Kim Payne

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 555\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 555\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 556 Respondent: Christine Saunders

RepresentationReference: 556\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 556\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 556\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

557 Respondent: Barrie Saunders

RepresentationReference: 557\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 557\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

## Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 557\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 558 Respondent: S J E Thomas

RepresentationReference: 558\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 558\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 558\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 559 Respondent: Mary Thomas

RepresentationReference: 559\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 559\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 559\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 560 Respondent: Denise Rhodes

RepresentationReference: 560\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 560\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 560\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 561 Respondent: Philip Young

RepresentationReference: 561\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country

and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 561\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 561\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

562 **Respondent Number:** Respondent: CI Young

RepresentationReference: 562\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 562\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 562\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 563 Respondent: P A Hill

RepresentationReference: 563\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness):

particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 563\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 563\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 564 Respondent: Janet Head

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 564\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 564\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 564\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

### Representation (legal compliance):

# Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 565 Respondent: N J Head

RepresentationReference: 565\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 565\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 565\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Respondent Number:** 

Respondent: Jennifer Skuse

RepresentationReference: 566\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 566\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 566\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

**Respondent: Anthony Skuse** 

RepresentationReference: 567\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 567\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Page 68 08 March 2011

## Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 567\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 568 Respondent: S D Matthews

RepresentationReference: 568\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 568\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 568\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: J Nethercott

RepresentationReference: 569\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 569\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 569\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

570

RepresentationReference: 570\1 S

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

**Respondent: Joyce Williams** 

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 570\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 570\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 571 Respondent: Brian Ogborne

RepresentationReference: 571\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country

and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 571\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 571\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 572 Respondent: Patricia Ogborne

RepresentationReference: 572\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 572\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 572\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 573 Respondent: Kirstie Towe

RepresentationReference: 573\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness):

particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 573\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 573\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 574 Respondent: Nicholas Towe

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 574\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 574\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 574\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

### Representation (legal compliance):

## Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 575 Respondent: Paul Hammerenn

RepresentationReference: 575\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 575\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 575\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 576 Respondent: Ann Summers

RepresentationReference: 576\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 576\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 576\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

**Respondent: Martyn Summers** 

RepresentationReference: 577\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 577\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

## Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 577\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 578 Respondent: B L Hauser

RepresentationReference: 578\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 578\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 578\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

579 **Respondent Number: Respondent: Mary Hauser** 

RepresentationReference: 579\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 579\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Page 81 08 March 2011

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 579\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 580 Respondent: Louise Western

RepresentationReference: 580\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 580\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 580\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 581 Respondent: Craig Western

RepresentationReference: 581\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country

and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 581\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 581\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

582 **Respondent Number:** Respondent: R Tucker

RepresentationReference: 582\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 582\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 582\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 583 Respondent: A Tucker

RepresentationReference: 583\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness):

particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 583\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 583\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 584 Respondent: J Bethell

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 584\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 584\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 584\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

### Representation (legal compliance):

## Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 585 Respondent: A Bethell

RepresentationReference: 585\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 585\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 585\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 586 Respondent: Martin Parry

RepresentationReference: 586\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 586\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 586\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

587 Respondent: Susan Parry

RepresentationReference: 587\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 587\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

## Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 587\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 588 Respondent: Mrs N W Wiggins

RepresentationReference: 588\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 588\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 588\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 589 Respondent: Ruth Osborne

RepresentationReference: 589\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 589\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 589\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 590 Respondent: L G Osborne

RepresentationReference: 590\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 590\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 590\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: S Gunter-Phillips** 

RepresentationReference: 591\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country

and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 591\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 591\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 592 Respondent: C Gunter-Phillips

RepresentationReference: 592\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 592\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 592\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 593 Respondent: B Webb

RepresentationReference: 593\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness):

particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 593\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 593\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 594 Respondent: Keith Webb

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

RepresentationReference: 594\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

# Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 594\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 594\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

### Representation (legal compliance):

## Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 595 Respondent: David Bennett

RepresentationReference: 595\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 595\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 595\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 596 Respondent: M Bennett

RepresentationReference: 596\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 596\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 596\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

597 Respondent: J P Howard

RepresentationReference: 597\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 597\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

## Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 597\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 598 Respondent: P B Howard

RepresentationReference: 598\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 598\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 598\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 599 Respondent: Avril Backwell

RepresentationReference: 599\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 599\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 599\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 600 Respondent: Alan Backwell

RepresentationReference: 600\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

### Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 600\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 600\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant: