Bath and North East Somerset Council Draft Core Strategy REPRESENTATIONS BY RESPONDENT

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Respondent Number:

701 Respondent: Lucinda Blurton

RepresentationReference: 701\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 701\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 701\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

702 Respondent: John Blurton

RepresentationReference: 702\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 702\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 702\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Mr R A Fricker

RepresentationReference: 703\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 703\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 703\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

704 **Respondent Number: Respondent: Josephine Pike**

RepresentationReference: 704\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 704\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 704\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: Derek Pike

RepresentationReference: 705\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 705\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 705\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 706 Respondent: Brian Vowles

RepresentationReference: 706\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 706\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 706\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

707 Respondent Number: **Respondent: Angela Vowles**

RepresentationReference: 707\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 707\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 707\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

708

Respondent: Peter Leavey

RepresentationReference: 708\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 708\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 708\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

709

Respondent: Mrs W D Green

RepresentationReference: 709\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 709\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 709\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 710 Respondent: Mr M Green

RepresentationReference: 710\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 710\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 710\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 711 Respondent: Mrs W D Green

RepresentationReference: 711\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 711\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 711\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

712 Respondent: Julie Williams

RepresentationReference: 712\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 712\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 712\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

713 Respondent: Michael Williams

RepresentationReference: 713\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 713\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 713\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

714 Respondent: Mrs Y J Daniell

RepresentationReference: 714\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 714\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 714\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 715 Respondent: John Frape

RepresentationReference: 715\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 715\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 715\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Respondent Number:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent: Janet Frape

RepresentationReference: 716\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 716\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 716\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 717 Respondent: Terrence Gerrish

RepresentationReference: 717\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 717\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 717\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: June Gerrish

RepresentationReference: 718\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 718\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 718\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

719 Respondent: Mr P R James

RepresentationReference: 719\1 S

reference. 713/13

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 719\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 719\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

720 Respondent: R H Bamford

RepresentationReference: 720\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 720\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 720\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 721 Respondent: Janet Harding

RepresentationReference: 721\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 721\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 721\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 722 Respondent: Beverley Harding

RepresentationReference: 722\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 722\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 722\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

723 Respondent: Shirley Britton

RepresentationReference: 723\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 723\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 723\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

724 Respondent: Walter Britton

RepresentationReference: 724\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 724\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 724\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: G Ridley

RepresentationReference: 725\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 725\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Page 27 08 March 2011

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 725\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: M Ridley**

RepresentationReference: 726\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 726\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 726\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Patricia Martin

RepresentationReference: 727\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 727\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 727\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 728 Respondent: Allan Martin

RepresentationReference: 728\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 728\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 728\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 729 Respondent: Pearl Meredith

RepresentationReference: 729\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 729\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 729\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: A T Broad

RepresentationReference: 730\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 730\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 730\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: B A Leach

RepresentationReference: 731\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 731\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 731\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 732 Respondent: Mrs M J Thomas

RepresentationReference: 732\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 732\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 732\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent: S W Thomas Respondent Number:

RepresentationReference: 733\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 733\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 733\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

734 Respondent: A Markey

RepresentationReference: 734\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 734\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 734\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

735 Respondent: Christopher Markey

RepresentationReference: 735\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 735\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 735\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

736 Respondent: G E Allward

RepresentationReference: 736\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 736\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 736\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

737 Respondent: C J Allward Respondent Number:

RepresentationReference: 737\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 737\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 737\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent: T J Allward **Respondent Number:**

RepresentationReference: 738\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 738\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 738\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 739 Respondent: C Allward

RepresentationReference: 739\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 739\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 739\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

740 Respondent Number: **Respondent: Paul Ollis**

RepresentationReference: 740\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 740\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 740\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 740\4 S Plan Reference: Paragraph 6.94

Representation

(soundness):

Change sought to I believe the completion of the ring road should have a higher priority.

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: A Ollis

RepresentationReference: 741\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 741\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 741\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 742 Respondent: P Gardiner

RepresentationReference: 742\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 742\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 742\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

743 Respondent: G R Gardiner

RepresentationReference: 743\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 743\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 743\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

744 Respondent: G Foster

RepresentationReference: 744\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 744\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 744\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

745 Respondent: A Francomb

RepresentationReference: 745\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 745\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 745\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Mrs A J Francomb

RepresentationReference: 746\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 746\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be

considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 746\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

747 Respondent: David Meredith

RepresentationReference: 747\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 747\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 747\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

748 Respondent: J Meredith

RepresentationReference: 748\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 748\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 748\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

749 **Respondent: Gwendoline Hill**

RepresentationReference: 749\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 749\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 749\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: A White

RepresentationReference: 750\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 750\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 750\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 751

RepresentationReference: 751\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Respondent: John Winter

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 751\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 751\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 752 Respondent: Frank Evey

RepresentationReference: 752\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 752\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 752\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 753 Respondent: M D Coleman

RepresentationReference: 753\1 S

/53\15

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 753\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 753\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

754 Respondent: J H Coleman

RepresentationReference: 754\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 754\2 S

intererence: 75+\25

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 754\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

755 Respondent: Mrs P A Barrett

RepresentationReference: 755\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 755\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 755\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

756 Respondent: Mr G A Barrett

RepresentationReference: 756\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 756\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 756\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

757 Respondent: B E Rosenthal

RepresentationReference: 757\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 757\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 757\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: John Rosenthal

RepresentationReference: 758\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 758\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Page 63 08 March 2011

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 758\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 759 Respondent: Stephen Johnson

RepresentationReference: 759\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 759\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 759\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Judith Johnson

RepresentationReference: 760\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 760\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 760\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Frank Lewis

RepresentationReference: 761\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 761\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 761\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 762 Respondent: Margaret Lewis

RepresentationReference: 762\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 762\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 762\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 763 **Respondent: Leonard Wills**

RepresentationReference: 763\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Page 68 08 March 2011

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 763\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 763\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 764 Respondent: Linda Wills

RepresentationReference: 764\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 764\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 764\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

⁷⁶⁵ Respondent: Sharon Bryant

RepresentationReference: 765\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 765\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 765\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

766

Respondent: Peter Bryant

RepresentationReference: 766\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 766\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 766\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

767 Respondent: Gary Sweet

RepresentationReference: 767\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 767\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 767\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

768

Respondent: Fiona Sweet

RepresentationReference: 768\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 768\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 768\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

769

Respondent: Fiona Britten

RepresentationReference: 769\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 769\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 769\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 770 Respondent: Lee Smith

RepresentationReference: 770\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 770\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 770\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 771 Respondent: Vicki Ephgrave

RepresentationReference: 771\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 771\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 771\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Paul Feltham

RepresentationReference: 772\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 772\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 772\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 77

RepresentationReference: 773\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Respondent: Vicky Feltham

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 773\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 773\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: Respondent: Nancy Mathias

RepresentationReference: 774\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Page 80 08 March 2011

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 774\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 774\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 775 Respondent: Neal Mathias

RepresentationReference: 775\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 775\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 775\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 776 Respondent: M D Shortman

RepresentationReference: 776\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 776\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 776\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

777 Respondent: P D Shortman

RepresentationReference: 777\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 777\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 777\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Bernard Coombs

RepresentationReference: 778\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 778\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Page 85 08 March 2011

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 778\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

779 Respondent Number: **Respondent: Beryl Coombs**

RepresentationReference: 779\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 779\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 779\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 780 Respondent: Jane Hewitt

RepresentationReference: 780\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 780\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 780\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 781 Respondent: Martin Hewitt

RepresentationReference: 781\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 781\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 781\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: **Respondent: Margaret Barnes**

RepresentationReference: 782\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 782\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 782\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

783

Respondent: R Tippins

RepresentationReference: 783\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 783\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 783\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 784 Respondent: Sherry Robins

RepresentationReference: 784\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 784\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 784\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 785 Respondent: Rosemary Pond

RepresentationReference: 785\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 785\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 785\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 786 Respondent: James Pond

RepresentationReference: 786\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 786\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 786\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

787 Respondent: M J Parsons

RepresentationReference: 787\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 787\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 787\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

788

Respondent: J A Parsons

RepresentationReference: 788\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 788\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 788\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

789 Respondent: G Hall-Kenny

RepresentationReference: 789\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 789\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 789\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Paul Moylett

RepresentationReference: 790\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 790\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 790\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 791 Respondent: Mrs B Moylett

RepresentationReference: 791\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 791\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 791\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 793 Respondent: Lorraine Davies

RepresentationReference: 793\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 701 to 800)

RepresentationReference: 793\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 793\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

Respondent: Alison Davies

RepresentationReference: 794\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Page 101 08 March 2011

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 794\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 794\3 S Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: RepresentationReference: 795\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

795

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Respondent: Ruth Wear

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 795\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 795\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 796 Respondent: John Wear

RepresentationReference: 796\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 796\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 796\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 797 Respondent: Sarah Sheenhan

RepresentationReference: 797\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 797\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 797\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number: 798 Respondent: Kerry Sheenhan

RepresentationReference: 798\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 798\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 798\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must (soundness): also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

> Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

799 Respondent: L M Johnson

RepresentationReference: 799\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 799\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 799\3 S
Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Respondent Number:

800

Respondent: A L Johnson

RepresentationReference: 800\1 S

Plan Reference: Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

Representation I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt (soundness): particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 800\2 S

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with (soundness): small scale development only.

> Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

RepresentationReference: 800\3 S

Plan Reference: Policy CP8: Green Belt

Representation Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant: