

Bath and North East Somerset Council Draft Core Strategy Representations by Plan Reference: Chapter 5 - Rural Areas

Plan Reference: Chapter 5: Rural Areas

Reference: 98\2

Respondent: Mr Mark O'Sullivan

Representation The third is the relationship between the Strategy and the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural
(soundness): Beauty Management Plan (2008-13). That Management Plan is the property of the Conservation Board, not jointly of the local authorities for the AONB, but it was prepared after extensive consultation with B&NES. The policies in section 5 (Rural Areas) need to acknowledge their interaction with the Management Plan, both in respect of its Policy LP1 ("That the unique character, tranquillity, and special qualities of the Cotswolds landscape are conserved and enhanced") and more generally, setting out what weight should be given to the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Management Plan in development control within the B&NES area. There may of course be similar issues in relation to the Mendips AONB, but I have not had an opportunity to look at them.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 235\3

Respondent: Mendip Hills AONB Unit

Representation The Vision and Policies RA1 to RA4 appear to provide an appropriate balance in term of aiming to
(soundness): support sustainable rural communities within the AONB, through limited development to meet local needs in context with its location, whilst generally restraining development in the countryside.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 241\1 S

Respondent: Priddy Parish Council

Representation Both the rural development strategy and the policy regarding gypsy and traveller sites are important to
(soundness): us where our parish abuts BANES. We considered these policies to be acceptable to Priddy Parish Council.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 246\3 S

Respondent: Combe Hay Parish Council

Representation Particular elements of the Core Strategy Publication Version which are strongly supported by Combe
(soundness): Hay Parish Council.

14. The identified Rural Areas Strategic Issues.
Page 93 paragraph 5.12

15.The Rural Areas Vision.
Page 94

16.The urgent need for improved broadband in rural areas.
Page 101 paragraph 5.45

17.The recognition of the proposed Community Right To Build.
Page 94 paragraph 5.14
Page 99 paragraph 5.29
Page 120 paragraph 6.64

18.The Rural Areas Policy Framework.
Page 94 paragraphs 5.13 and 5.15

19. The potential for the review of Housing Development Boundaries.
Page 96 paragraph 5.21

20.The approach to Affordable Housing.
Page 96 paragraph 5.22
Page 122 paragraphs 6.73 to 6.77
See also 24. and 33. below

21. Housing.
Page 96 paragraph 5.23

22. Employment.
Page 96 paragraph 5.25

23.Community Facilities.
Page 98 paragraph 5.26
Page 98 Policy RA 3

24.Rural Exception Sites.
Page 99 paragraphs 5.30 to 5.34
Page 99 Policy RA 4
Page 101 paragraph 5.47

25.Parish Plans.
Page 101 paragraph 5.46

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 259\2

Respondent: Edmund Bruegger

Representation Rural Areas

(soundness): Paragraph 5.13 Policy Framework – Page 94

Support is given in principle to the provision of new residential and employment development in the rural areas. However, objections are lodged on the basis that the level of new housing provision in the Rural Areas is too low. Paragraph 5.13 states that there will be “around 800 houses and 500 jobs in the rural areas including an additional 250 dwellings in excess of existing commitments”.

Given the clearly identified need both for open market and affordable dwellings in the Rural Area it is

considered that 250 new dwellings in the period up to 2026 is totally insignificant and will not assist in meeting the high need for housing development in the Rural Areas. It is considered that the level of new housing development in the rural areas should be increased to up to 2,000 new dwellings. Objections have already been made in respect of the Policy DW1 District Wide Strategy.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.01

Reference: 154\4

Respondent: British Waterways

Representation (soundness): The context section does not mention the Kennet & Avon canal as an important feature in the rural landscape and only considers it as an historic transport corridor. Waterways for Tomorrow and the TCPA guidance note make it clear that waterways should be considered as contributing a wide range of roles; As a catalyst for regeneration and diversification, water supply and transfer, flood management, tourism, leisure and recreational resource, a heritage landscape, open space and ecological resource and a sustainable transport route. All of these are relevant to the rural areas around Bath and as such they document should be amended to give greater recognition to the role the canal should play in the area.

Change sought to make sound: The Kennet & Avon Canal runs through the rural area to the north and East of Bath, linking many settlements along its route. The Canal is a multi – functional resource, serving a variety of roles including, rural regeneration and diversification, flood management and drainage, a tourism Leisure and recreational resource, heritage landscape, ecological resource, valuable open space an sustainable transport corridor.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.12

Reference: 246\13

Respondent: Combe Hay Parish Council

Representation (soundness): The Core Strategy would be more sound if even greater emphasis were to be given to the urgent need for much faster, more reliable, broadband to support business activities in the Rural Areas.

Change sought to make sound: Include the current lack of much faster, more reliable, broadband in Rural Areas in paragraph 5.12 “ Strategic Issues”.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 270\2

Respondent: Blue Cedar Homes

Representation (soundness): Rural Areas Paragraph 5.12 Strategic Issues

The acknowledgement that a lack of affordable housing to meet local needs as well as the needs of the ageing population may impact on the social sustainability of rural areas is welcomed.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 307\3

Respondent: SWAN

Representation Strategic issues for Rural areas should include Broadband access as a distinct element rather than being
(soundness): assumed to be within access to services and economic development.

Change sought to Inclusion of Broadband as a strategic issue
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Rural Areas: The Vision

Reference: 126\3 S

Respondent: D.M. Phillips

Representation In particular I support and find sound: support of local farming and food production.
(soundness):

Change sought to
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 128\3 S

Respondent: Ms Margaret Dunn

Representation In particular I support and find sound: support of local farming and food production.
(soundness):

Change sought to
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 134\3 S

Respondent: Mr and Mrs May

Representation In particular I support and find sound: support of local farming and food production
(soundness):

Change sought to
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 135\3 S

Respondent: John and Sheila Deuch

Representation In particular I support and find sound: support of local farming and food production
(soundness):

Change sought to
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 136\3 S

Respondent: Mrs B. Hobbs

Representation (soundness): In particular I support and find sound: support of local farming and food production

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 146\4

Respondent: Ms Alison Evans

Representation (soundness): I agree with the statement that the strategy must support local food production, and the statement that the openness of the countryside must be maintained. (This is especially important in regards to Bath and its setting as a World Heritage Site).

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 149\3 S

Respondent: Jean

Representation (soundness): In particular I support and find sound: support of local farming and food production

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 150\3 S

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Awebb

Representation (soundness): In particular I support and find sound: support of local farming and food production

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.13

Reference: 165\1

Respondent: GVA

Representation (soundness): As part of the Core strategy process we understand that the housing development boundaries (saved from the existing Local Plan) will be reviewed as part of the new plan making process. An aerial photograph of the site is shown below, highlighted in red.

As background the SHLAA report identifies the quantum of housing that will need to be delivered within rural areas such as East Harptree if sufficient housing is to be provided within BANES over the Core Strategy Period (upto 2026). A target figure of 800 is set out for rural areas which would include the settlement of East Harptree. Currently there is a shortfall of 239 units against this target, in terms of identified sites.

Based on a development potential of 35 dwellings per hectare, and a theoretical site coverage of 80% the site could yield about 45 dwellings. The SHLAA report notes that this amount of development would not be commensurate with the role and size of East Harptree.

The arial photograph as attached has been further annotated to highlight the northern section of the site in blue which based on a development coverage of 80% of the plot, the site would yield approximately 20 dwellings. This level of new development for the site is considered more commensurate with the size and role of East Harptree. Furthermore the inclusion of the northern part of the site is perceived as falling within the natural housing envelope of the East Harptree settlement as indicated on the arial photograph by the black line.

On this basis it is recommended that there is clear justification to include the northern part of the site as part of the proposed housing development boundary for East Harptree when the housing boundaries are reviewed.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 183\1

Respondent: Simon Trafford

Representation REPRESENTATIONS TO THE BANES CORE STRATEGY PUBLICATION VERSION –
(soundness): DECEMBER 2010

Land West of Little Manor and East of Mount Pleasant Farm, Farmborough, Bath & NE Somerset - Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment Ref: Far 3.

On behalf of our clients we would like to provide formal comments to the draft Core Strategy Publication Version 2010. The site comprises two land parcels comprising a paddock and open field to the West of Farmborough. The site has a road frontage of approximately 40 metres, and is located between New Manor Farm and Mount Pleasant Farm. Directly to the east the site adjoins a building depot which is well screened, and to the west an open field.

The current adopted local plan identifies the site as falling just outside the housing development boundary for the settlement of Farmborough. As part of the Core strategy process it is understood that the housing development boundaries (saved from the existing Local Plan) will be reviewed as part of the new plan making process.

As background the SHLAA report identifies the quantum of housing that will need to be delivered within rural areas such as Farmborough if sufficient housing is to be provided within BANES over the Core Strategy Period (upto 2026). A target figure of 800 is set out for rural areas which would include the settlement of Farmborough. Currently there is a shortfall of 239 units against this target, in terms of identified sites.

Based on a development potential of 35 dwellings per hectare, and a theoretical site coverage of 80% the site could yield about 25 dwellings. The site presents an opportunity for a housing led development set within open space to help meet the shortfall of units that are required to comply with the SHLAA target. The type of housing would be designed to respond to local needs.

With careful design and landscape treatment, the development of the site could represent a planned expansion of Farmborough beyond the existing settlement boundary in a sensitive manner, whilst retaining a strategic gap between the settlement areas and not adversely affecting the function and purpose of the Green Belt.

On this basis it is recommended that there is clear justification to include the site as part of the proposed housing development boundary for Farmborough.

We look forward to future involvement in the emerging LDF process, in particular the next stages of Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and the Place making stages of the process. If you require any further information on the issue covered in this letter please do not hesitate to contact me on 0117 988 5356 or at simon.trafford@gva.co.uk

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 269\2

Respondent: Barratt Homes Bristol

Representation Rural Areas

(soundness): Paragraph 5.13 Policy Framework

Support is given in principle to the provision of new residential and employment development in the Rural Areas. However, objections are lodged on the basis that the level of new housing provision in the Rural Areas is too low. Paragraph 5.13 states that there will be "around 800 houses and 500 jobs in the rural areas including an additional 250 dwellings in excess of existing commitments".

Given the clearly identified need both for open market, affordable dwellings and housing for the elderly in the Rural Areas it is considered that 250 new dwellings in the period up to 2026 is totally insignificant and will not assist in meeting the high need for housing development in the Rural Areas. It is considered that the level of new housing development in the rural areas should be increased up to 2,000 new dwellings (above existing commitments). Objections have already been made in respect of the Policy DW1 District Wide Spatial Strategy.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 270\3

Respondent: Blue Cedar Homes

Representation Rural Areas

(soundness): Paragraph 5.13 Policy Framework

Support is given in principle to the provision of new residential and employment development in the Rural Areas. However, objections are lodged on the basis that the level of new housing provision in the Rural Areas is too low. Paragraph 5.13 states that there will be "around 800 houses and 500 jobs in the rural areas including an additional 250 dwellings in excess of existing commitments".

Given the clearly identified need both for open market, affordable dwellings and housing for the elderly in the Rural Areas it is considered that 250 new dwellings in the period up to 2026 is totally insignificant and will not assist in meeting the high need for housing development in the Rural Areas. It is considered that the level of new housing development in the rural areas should be increased up to 2,000 new dwellings (above existing commitments). Objections have already been made in respect of

the Policy DW1 District Wide Spatial Strategy.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 323\1

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Tudgee

Representation 3.0 RURAL AREAS

(soundness): 3.1 Paragraph 5.13 of the draft Core Strategy advises that in the rural areas around 800 homes and 500 jobs are proposed, which includes only 250 dwellings over existing commitments. This would appear to be based on the Council's SHLAA Findings Report (December 2010) which advises that of the identified supply in the rural areas, 193 units have been built since 2006/07 and 368 have planning permission or are otherwise committed leaving a further 239 units of supply to be found on suitable, available and deliverable/developable sites in the rural areas.

3.2 The Future Growth and Requirements report (September 2010) advises that the rural areas are in need of a significant amount of new housing. The report advises that this need is said to be going unmet and that there will continue to be a backlog unless sites are identified through the LDF. We consider that the housing requirement for the rural areas identified by the draft Core Strategy is insufficient to meet the housing need in the rural areas, particularly as this leaves very little beyond completions and commitments to be built in the bulk of the plan period between now and 2026. In order to provide a more flexible and responsive supply of housing in the rural areas, we consider that a higher level growth option is necessary. We consider that this would be supported by the available evidence on need.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Diagram 18: Policy RA1 Villages

Reference: 246\19

Respondent: Combe Hay Parish Council

Representation It is not correct to show the built-up extent of the City Of Bath as including the area along the A367
(soundness): beyond the boundary of the City.

Change sought to Delete the extensions showing the built-up City of Bath along the A367.
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 264\18

Respondent: Englishcombe Parish Council

Representation The diagrams appear to extend the urban boundary of Bath southwards down the A367, showing a
(soundness): small "blister" around the site of the Combe Down Park & Ride. This area and the land around it and to the west of the A367 are within the Parishes of Combe Hay and Englishcombe and are in the Green Belt.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance): The diagrams appear to extend the urban boundary of Bath southwards down the A367, showing a

small "blister" around the site of the Combe Down Park & Ride. This area and the land around it and to the west of the A367 are within the Parishes of Combe Hay and Englishcombe and are in the Green Belt.

Change sought to make legally compliant: This "blister" should be removed.

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.20

Reference: 184\3

Respondent: Persimmon Special Projects

Representation Persimmon Special Projects objects to Paragraph 5.20 and specifically that proposals for development **(soundness):** that adjoin housing development boundaries in the green belt will not be acceptable, unless very special circumstances for development can be demonstrated. Firstly, we object on the basis that this is Policy hidden in an explanatory paragraph and it would appear to preclude sites coming forward in the green belt under the Government's proposals for Community Right to Build, which is also specifically supported in the Core Strategy in Paragraphs 5.13 and 6.64.

Persimmon Special Projects also object to Paragraph 5.20, on the basis that it is not supported by other upper case Policies set out in the Core Strategy. These references which further provide support for inclusion of Bathampton as an RA1 Village are set out as follows: -

Change sought to (1) Background evidence to support the Settlement Strategy set out in the Core Strategy.

make sound: (2) Include Bathampton as an RA1 Village, as it more appropriately meets the criteria set out in Policy RA1 than some existing RA1 Villages.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.21

Reference: 269\4

Respondent: Barratt Homes Bristol

Representation Meeting Local Need for Housing and Employment

(soundness): Paragraphs 5.17 – 5.23 and Policy RA1

Support is given to the identification of Whitchurch as a rural settlement which can accommodate additional residential development. Whitchurch has a reasonable level of local services and facilities including a pub, hairdresser, community centre and a primary school which is currently under capacity. It also has an hourly public transport service. New housing in the village would generate new pupils for the school and help sustain the local services. However, objections are lodged against paragraph 5.21 which states that the scale of development in villages identified in Policy RA1 will be up to and around 30 dwellings. It is unclear from the submitted evidence base where this figure has been derived. There are clearly some rural settlements which could and should accommodate additional development. One such settlement is Whitchurch. This quantum of development would not sustain and enhance local services and facilities. It is therefore recommended that the figure be increased to take into account the individual circumstances of each RA1 settlement. The figure however is likely to be above 30 dwellings but will depend on the availability of suitable sites and capacity of local services and facilities.

Change sought to Change Required

make sound: Increase scale of development permitted based on local circumstances.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

Reference: 10\1

Respondent: Mr Brian Bullock

Representation My comments are that whilst I appreciate more homes are to be provided, the facilities and amenities (**soundness**): within Farmborough have been diminished and, relating to Policy RA1 a) we do not have at least three of the key facilities now within the village., ie, we only have a school and community meeting place. Our shop/post office facilities have been closed over 1/2 years ago. If homes are put within the village, how are people supposed to get to work now that petrol prices have been hiked up, and more importantly where is the work? Even in areas such as Paulton, where there is a vast house building programme, the shops are closing at an alarming rate and where are the people living in that area going to find work - or do they all have to live on the dole? Is it all very well, putting up homes in rural areas but if there are no facilities there for them what are they to do? Not everyone wants to live in cities, but villages must not be allowed to become ghost villages. For example, in Farmborough now, since the closure of the post office/stores, the only people seen walking through are the occasional dog walkers, all contacts within the village have been lost.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 41\1 S

Respondent: Mrs Jill Travis

Representation I believe the Core Strategy is sound. I am a Clutton resident which at present is NOT seen as an RN1 (**soundness**): village not able to cope with much development. I believe this to be sound for the following reasons:

- Clutton has a very overused small lane system running through it. The side lanes and the hub of the village cannot cope with much increased traffic. It already has a new development on Station road "The Sidings" increasing traffic, with more houses earmarked for that site. Venus Lane is already a 'rat run' with drivers speeding through it, due to its straight layout. There are very few pavements in Clutton. The roads are already overused by cars and lorries and have many potholes and patches from overuse.
- The village has very little infrastructure where facilities are concerned. There is a butchers, a post office and three pubs. There is no shop and very few potential sites to create more provisions such as shops to cope with more people. The main road through the village is impossible to widen having residential development lining it. There are a few sports and children's facilities but very little. The village needs a shop to provide for existing houses, not more houses to support the trades in the village.
- The local school is flourishing and is NOT short of pupils. There is competition for all local children to get a place, so this is not a reason for needing more houses.
- There are very few areas for safe parking in the hub of the village – the primary school edges onto the road and more cars parked would endanger the children coming out of the school and crossing the road.
- The rural characters of Clutton and neighbouring village Temple Cloud are very different, but both are accentuated by surrounding fields and green areas which are very popular dog walking, rambling, play areas for local people. To merge Clutton with Temple Cloud would be a great mistake, particularly with the heavy lorry traffic piling down the tiny Temple Inn Lane and Marsh Lane to the terribly misplaced ind' estate on Marsh Lane between Clutton and Hallatrow.
- There are a couple of areas of development in Clutton, which have historical importance relating to the village's past. Maynard Terrace, for instance is a pretty row of miners cottages which greatly enhance the village's character and needs to be protected from new development close by swallowing up the beauty of this area of the village.

Please keep CLUTTON out of the RN1 village category. It is already struggling with traffic loads on its small lanes and the lack of local services and shops. It is in danger of becoming just a commuter village for Bristol and it has an important history and character, which should be upheld and protected.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 49\2

Respondent: Clutton Parish Council

Representation Clutton Parish Council has over the past 6 years had many discussions, conducted surveys and
(soundness): consultation exercises in the process of developing a Parish Plan. The Parish Plan was formally adopted by the Council at its meeting of 18th October 2010. This process overlapped in its later stages with the developing discussions about the B&NES Core Strategy. The Parish Council considered at several meetings the proposal that Clutton be identified as a village in which further commercial and housing development is appropriate, and on each occasion concluded that the existing infrastructure – both of roads and drainage, and of community facilities – made this inappropriate. Neither during the consultations over the Parish Plan, nor in responses to the survey conducted by the Council, nor in connection with the Council's discussions about the drafts of the Core Strategy, were representations made to the Council which pressed for more housing in the village.
Note that neither the Council nor anyone else so far as we are aware has conducted a formal, independent, housing needs survey in the parish, though we would be happy if such a survey were conducted.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 61\1

Respondent: Mr Jamie Carruthers

Representation There is no need for more housing in the village. It will destroy a green site. Create more traffic and
(soundness): pressure on services. Cause havac while building with lorries etc. Ruin peopels views, de-value their property and bring no advantages to the village. It's just so some developers can make money, nothing else. Not to mention the impact on wildlife. We are lucky enough to get a lot of wildlife in this area including roe deer, fox, rabbit, badger (I don't know if there is a badger set in this proposed site but if there is , it will be protected by law). A lot of bird species, Manard Terrace seems to be a hot spot for those sparrows in its hedgerows. This is a speies that is in trouble nationally and would be effected by development. Many of the hedges and trees in this site would be at risk as the land gets levelled and drains etc are put in most of them would be cut down. These are lovely fields and if lost what then, will be the next field then next and the next, until the very thing that people moved here for in the first place is gone!! And Clutton is no longer a village but a sprawling town. Then people will be moving out, me included. Enough is enough put a stop to it now

Change sought to make sound: Do not build it, and leave as green fields and trees. If a new scout/youth hut is built independently, developers would have no excuse for building houses, as this is the only thing they ever offer

Representation (legal compliance): do not know the law to comment

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 63\1

Respondent: Mr John Bishop

Representation I firmly believe there is a will in the community for development which promotes a wide social mix ie
(soundness): diversity

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 64\1

Respondent: Miss Liece Curtis

Representation Actual villagers can not afford to buy a house in the village and have to live elsewhere but would love
(soundness): to come back

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 86\2

Respondent: Whitchurch Parish Council

Representation We still do not think that Whitchurch Village qualifies as a RA1 Village as defined on page 96. Part a)
(soundness): states that the village has at least 3 of the following key facilities within the village: post office, school, community meeting place and convenience shop but Whitchurch Village only has two of these facilities a school and a community meeting place we do not have a post office or a convenience shop.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 91\2 S

Respondent: Mr Simon Haywood

Representation Para 5.17, Para 5.18 and Para 5.20 I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Para 5.20 This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Para 5.36 It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 92\2 S

Respondent: Jo Haywood

Representation Para 5.17, Para 5.18 and Para 5.20 I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Para 5.20 This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Para 5.36 It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 93\5 S

Respondent: Highways Agency

Representation The Agency supports the approach to direct growth to the most sustainable villages, where there are
(soundness):

existing services and facilities.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 115\1 S

Respondent: Mr Nigel Robson

Representation Policy RA.1 supports appropriately-scaled residential and employment development in and
(soundness): adjoining the District's larger villages where a suitable range of community facilities are present. The policy is supported by clear evidence showing that the larger villages (as listed at paragraph 5.18) have the capacity to deliver the quantum of housing development envisaged in the rural areas under the district-wide spatial strategy, notably those sites identified as suitable in the Council's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment. It is clear that villages such as Bishop Sutton offer deliverable sites for sustainable development contributing to the District's housing and employment needs. The criteria set out in the policy are an effective means of bringing forward appropriately-scaled development in a manner consistent with national planning policy and with the support of local communities. Overall, the policy meets the test of soundness.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 143\4 S

Respondent: Bathampton Parish Council

Representation We support the categorisation of villages.
(soundness):

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 155\3 S

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Press

Representation I wish to support the list of villages given RA1 status and support the EXCLUSION of CLUTTON from this
(soundness): list. Clutton doesn't meet the criteria of an RA1 village it doesn't have the convenience shop and the existing school and infrastructure wouldn't support further developments of a RA1 village. The school is currently and for a number of future years is over subscribed and its location will not allow further expansion. Any extra pupils would require attendance at more remote schools. This would either walking along roads with no pavements or street lights or transport by Car which would be contrary to Green transport policies in Core policy 6f.

Change sought to None- support strategy
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 162\7

Respondent: Batheaston Parish Council

Representation With regard to community facilities and shops (RA3) we are strongly required by B&NES to relate
(soundness): replies to consultation on planning applications to Local Plan policies. In practice we have found that notwithstanding the LPA's Statement of Community Involvement its degree of adherence to policies in development control on these matters is remarkably and bewilderingly inconsistent. In our view it fails from time to time to take account of the local plan policies which should serve to provide and protect these functions, especially in such a village as Batheaston which has an operative Local Plan Inset Plan. While that difficulty applies to commercial land uses a similar problem arises in dealing with residential development when the LPA appears not to regard the clear requirements of Policy D4 of the adopted Local Plan. We would welcome the inclusion of a statement about the LPA's obligatory duty of development control to link its decisions to the rightful high-mindedness of Policy RA3 through observance of the policies of the the LDF documents and the retained policies of the adopted Local Plan.

Change sought to make sound: In Policy RA1 after 'policy DW1 ' add 'and the retained Policies of the adopted Local Plan'.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 172\1

Respondent: Jared Carmichael

Representation Agree that Clutton should not being classed as a RA1 village. Agree that as a RA2 village, residential
(soundness): development will only be permitted within the settlement boundary. Additional development in RA2 villages will lead to urban housing needs being met where employment opportunities are limited and create a dormitory settlement with unsustainable high levels of out commuting.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 175\1

Respondent: Clutton Ward Councillor

Representation Policy RA1 does not address the housing needs of Clutton as evidenced in a survey undertaken Dec
(soundness): 2010 - Jan 2011

Change sought to make sound: Designation of Clutton as an RA1 village to enable more housing to be built

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 184\1

Respondent: Persimmon Special Projects

Representation Persimmon Special Projects object to the omission of Bathampton from the Policy RA1 Villages shown
(soundness): in Diagram 18. In our view Bathampton meets the criteria for RA1 Villages, which is further supported by other Policy References in the Core Strategy, as set out in these comments.

In respect of the specific criteria in Policy RA1, Bathampton possesses the necessary key facilities, including a post office, a school, community meeting places and a convenience shop and has a daily public transport service to Bath. Local community support referred to in Criterion C is a detailed matter and it is likely to be dependent on what the proposed development site can offer to improve the vitality of the village. It cannot be resolved in the Core Strategy, but the Core Strategy should have a criteria based Policy to say how it will deal with circumstances where community support would be forthcoming for such a development.

These references which further provide support for inclusion of Bathampton as an RA1 Village are set out as follows: -

- Paragraph 5.04 – this says the settlement pattern has evolved based on the form of the land and villages that run along the valley sides and included within this area are the villages of Bathampton and Batheaston characterised by their strong relationship to Bath. In our view the strategy should enable these villages to continue to evolve.

- Paragraph 5.12 – Persimmon Special Projects consider that development at Bathampton would address 3 of the key strategic issues set out in this Paragraph by: -

a) Providing affordable housing and meeting the needs of the elderly.

B) Providing support for the existing bus services.

C) Supporting existing facilities, services and shops and potentially providing new ones based on local choice.

- Paragraph 5.15 – the particular characteristics of the site proposed are appropriate in scale and character to its location. Underhill Lodge is located on the eastern edge of the village south of Warminster Road. Half of the site is within the curtilage of the existing residential property at Underhill Lodge and the southern part of the site is a disused orchard. Taken together in landscape terms the site is effectively a garden and does not contribute to the character of the AONB. Neither does it impinge on the purposes of green belt designation, as there is no prospect of coalescence with Bathford, due to the presence of the Kennet and Avon Canal, the River Avon and the railway running through the valley between the two settlements. The site is sustainable, being within walking distance from local shops on Holcombe Lane, other facilities in Bathampton and bus stops providing access to Bath. It could contribute about 20 houses and provide necessary open space, including further allotments and other facilities, if required.

- Paragraph 5.18 – Persimmon Special Projects consider that Bathampton does meet the criteria set out in Paragraph 5.17 and Policy RA1 and would also assist in providing a more logical Settlement Strategy. The villages listed in Policy RA1 are concentrated in the centre of the District with only Batheaston in the East. In terms of the villages listed, Farmborough is conditional. Also, the circumstances in Bathampton are no different to Whitchurch, Batheaston and Bathampton, which are all within the green belt and with very limited opportunities for development within the defined boundary, therefore implying development there will need to take place in the green belt outside the boundaries.

- Policy RA3 – this Policy says “proposals for the development of community facilities or shops will be acceptable within and adjoining all villages, provided that they are of a scale and character appropriate to the village and meet the needs of the Parish and adjoining Parishes”. In other words, this Policy accepts development for community facilities adjoining all villages, including green belt villages and is further supported by Policy RA4.

- Policy RA4 – this Policy accepts affordable housing as an exception and as set out in Paragraph 5.29 this clearly relates to villages outside Policy RA1 and permits a small proportion of market housing where it is essential to cross subsidise the affordable housing. In other words, outside village boundaries within the green belt it would be possible to provide rural exception housing supported by limited market housing in principle.

- Policy CP12 – Persimmon Special Projects note and support that Bathampton Village Centre is identified as a Rural Local Centre in Policy CP12. In this respect there is no difference between Bathampton and Batheaston, which is also a village entirely surrounded by the green belt with limited capacity for further growth. Although Policy CP12 also includes Tisbury and Whitchurch, 3 other Policy RA1 Villages, Bishop Sutton, Farmborough and Temple Cloud are not included. In our view this provides further justification for including Bathampton within Policy RA1. In addition, we note the Policy requirement in CP12 is that the centres set out will be maintained and enhanced and we suggest this would be better achieved by including Bathampton within Policy RA1 to enable additional limited development to be provided outside the village boundary.

Change sought to (2) Include Bathampton as an RA1 Village, as it more appropriately meets the criteria set out in Policy RA1 than some existing RA1 Villages.
make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 186\1

Respondent: Cave Associates: Derek Barrett

Representation The policy RA 1 is too restrictive. There are many other villages where small scale development can take
(soundness): place that meets the criteria as set out in para. 5.17.

Additionally the odd small scale rounding of the housing development boundary outside and within the Green Belt if adjusted carefully could take place. This last point is contrary to the policy RA 2. The lesser the traffic movements the more sustainable the policy.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 188\3 S

Respondent: Freshford Parish Council

Representation We wish to register particular, priority, support, with comment where necessary for:

(soundness): 3. Interpretation of Policy RA 1 should not preclude amendment or creation of HDB's in non RA 1 parishes where necessary to allow for small community projects where land is not available for any proven reason with an existing HDB, (other than exceptions policy affordable housing which is subject of course to other policy considerations).

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 197\2

Respondent: Railfuture Severnside

Representation Para. 5.43 implies total reliance on buses for public transport, or at least no development of rail, within

(soundness): the Rural Areas. Strategic issue 10 (Bath) refers to the need to make the most of existing public transport infrastructure. Arguably this should include selective station openings/reopenings on existing rail routes. A train service at Saltford would provide much faster journeys than by bus and would be more likely to attract motorists. The nearest comparable examples of station re-openings at small towns/large Villages in recent years have been on the Ebbw Valley line in South Wales, which reopened in 2008; actual usage within six months was doubled the official forecast (source: "Today's Railway UK", Oct. 2008)

More Locally, the four existing railway stations with BANES all experienced rising passenger numbers between 2006/07 and 2008/09 according to the Office of Rail Regulation's station files published in 2010. Of the four stations, Freshford (the most rural) had the highest rate of growth, averaging at 16% per year over the two year period.

We are confident that a station at Saltford would be well used because of the demand for travel to both Bristol and Bath. For the same reason we would recommend protection of a station site at Bathampton, which is a populous area and well placed for travel to Bath, Bristol, Swindon and Trowbridge.

Change sought to make sound: Amend para. 5.43 to include development of rail services where possible, allowing for reopening of Saltford and bathampton Stations.

Representation (legal compliance): It makes no mention of the proposal to reopen Saltford Railway Station, which is an aspiration of the West of England Partnership Joint Local Transport Plan 3. This Station could be served by the Great Bristol Metro Scheme which is being advocated by the West of England Partnership.

Change sought to make legally compliant: Amend para. 5.43 to include reference to safeguarding a site to enable Saltford railway station railway station to reopen within the JLTP3 period.

Reference: 200\1 S

Respondent: Rosemary Naish

Representation The Parish Council has consulted with the whole community on several different occasions, using a variety of methods, in order to be as inclusive as possible, over a period of years. Therefore their designation of Clutton as being excluded from the RA1 Category is the correct view of the majority of the community and is sound.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): The Parish Council is the legally elected representative of the community of Clutton and can therefore legally make decisions and recommendations on its behalf.

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 201\1 S

Respondent: Suzanne Lawler-McCrea

Representation The Parish Council has consulted the community before reaching this decision.
(soundness):

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): The Parish Council is the local legally elected representative of the community of Clutton and can therefore make decisions on its behalf.

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 213\1

Respondent: Richard Nash

Representation
(soundness):

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): Because I believe that both BANES and SALT FORD p.c. Acted in an unfair and unreasonable manner and therefore illegally. By colluding to take Saltford out of the Core Strategy, as a development village. BANES had originally placed Saltford as a development village, and then on the recommendation of Saltford P.c BANES removed it as such from the Core Strategy, This in spite of SALT FORD p.c. knows full well, as a result of the parish plan survey that 432 Households in Saltford wanted to move to smaller properties in Saltford. Those chose to ignore this acting totally unfairly and unreasonably.

Change sought to make legally compliant: Put Saltford back in the Core Strategy as a development Village.

Reference: 214\1

Respondent: High Littleton Parish Council

Representation Our representations refer to Chapter 5 Rural Areas, section 5b Vision and Policy Framework : Para 5.18
(soundness): states that 'villages which currently meet these criteria (i.e as defined in Para 5.17) and that have some capacity for development. ...This indicative list of Villages will be included in the review of the Core Strategy '. High Littleton Parish Council (HLPC) , which represents the villages of High Littleton and Hallatrow, considers that this wording is too loose and vague.

The Core Strategy should define, categorically, the villages that do or do not meet the criteria. The list of RA1 villages should not be 'indicative' but definitive. There have been lengthy and substantial consultations during the Spatial Options Consultation period to define which villages should and should not be included, which was the purpose of the consultation process. HLPC has made strong representations in this respect during the Spatial Options consultation process, stating that it does not want High Littleton or Hallatrow to be included as a Policy RA1 village, and giving clear reasons for this. (Please refer to previous representations to the Spatial Options consultation by HLPC.) High Littleton has absolutely no capacity for expansion, and wishes its long established village boundary to remain as

it is. It does not want any risk of there being development promoted at a future time 'adjoining the housing development boundary' of High Lillieton or Hallatrow. HLPC is concerned that, with the current wording of Paras 5.18, High Lillieton and Hallatrow, and other villages that do not have any development capacity, may nevertheless find themselves subsequently included on the list at a future time. Via 'the back door', simply because the current wording of this paragraph is open to interpretation because of its vagueness. Whilst High Lillieton fits the criteria of draft Policy RA1, in terms of sub paras a,b and c, HLPC has consistently stated that, notwithstanding. For clearly stated reasons, it does not wish to be included on the selected list, and it wishes this made absolutely clear by the re-wording of the relevant Core Strategy paragraphs and Policy RA 1 (see below)

Change sought to Para 5.18 should be re-worded as follows:

make sound: 'The Villages which meet these criteria and have some capacity for development are: Batheaston, Bishop Sulton, Farmborough, Temple Cloud, Timsbury and Whitchurch. These villages are shown on the diagram 18. This list of villages will be included in the Core Strategy review.'

Policy RA 1 Development in the villages meeting listed criteria should be reworded as follows: 'Proposals for residential and employment development of a scale, character and appearance appropriate to the Village and its setting will be acceptable in and adjoining the housing development boundary of those Villages defined in 5.18, namely Batheaston, Bishop Sulton, Farmborough, Temple Cloud, Timsbury and Whitchurch, provided the proposal is in accordance with the spatial strategy for the District set out under policy DW1 and the Village has: etc...'

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 215\1

Respondent: West Country Park Home Estates

Representation Dear Sir,

(soundness):

I write to express my concern and objection to the proposed development of 340 houses adjacent to the Orchard Park address in Whitchurch Bristol.

The Orchard Park site which I own is retained particularly for over 55s, semi retired and retired people only. Also no dogs or children are allowed, this for the express purpose of minimising noise. The views from the park, overlooking Green Belt Land, give a lovely outlook and a sense of country which give the feeling of peace and tranquillity which is exactly what's enjoyed by the residents. The community spirit that prevails on the park allows a relaxed atmosphere of co-existence and co-operation within a group of like minded people. People living there take pride in their houses and gardens which gives pleasure to the residents and visitors alike. Limited access to the park allows the residents to enjoy a sense of security with Orchard Park which is a Park Home Residential Park.

I understand that the proposed development would be a lengthy process which means that they will have to endure at least 3 years (and probably considerably more) of the noise, disruption and inconvenience of this process. Then it would go from a peaceful little haven to the noise of being surrounded by a "new town" half of our residents would be bordered on two sides. Whitchurch village only has 420 approx houses and development of this size would nearly double the size of the existing village and would join us to Stockwood and therefore to Bristol. All the development is on Green Belt land. Green Belt land has a certain amount of protection by way of planning policy guidelines and this was to:-

- Stop Urban Sprawl
- To maintain the countryside
- To prevent villages and towns from being joined up by development.

This proposed development contravenes all of the above to the detriment of this area. Finally the road system/infrastructure will be completely unable to cope with the anticipated number of vehicles - upwards of 700 cars etc - particularly at the junction of Staunton Lane with the already congested A37.

During a recent survey of the site it was determined that 100% of the residents have expressed their objections to this proposal.

Kindly confirm that you will do your best to help us to prevent this from happening.

Yours sincerely,

David Newman

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 216\1

Respondent: Richard Morison

Representation (soundness): In accordance with Policy DW1 of the Draft Core Strategy, Saltford is identified as a rural settlement, which will contribute to the accommodation of 800 new homes during the plan period. The emerging strategy appears to support housing development in the village as community facilities and social infrastructure are in existence; a requirement for accommodating residential growth.

In terms of opportunities for growth on the edge of Saltford, the land at Manor Road presents the best option in terms of accommodating a suitable scale of growth that is appropriate for the size of the settlement, whilst also achieving a level of new housing that will support additional benefits, notably the provision of affordable housing.

Growth elsewhere on the edge of Saltford is constrained by a number of environmental and strategic constraints:

North of Village – The land drops away and becomes increasingly rural, forming part of the Avon Valley; is partly protected as a recreation ground; and is located adjacent to the historic heart of the Village and the Conservation area. It also forms part of the Green Belt.

East of Village – This land is designated within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), flood plain and also forms part of the Green Belt.

West of Village – Development here would result in the future coalescence of the Green Belt land separating Saltford from Keynsham.

South of Village – This is largely restricted by the presence of Saltford Golf Club, save from the site that is the subject of these representations.

We would also highlight that the site is not located in the AONB, is not located within the defined floodplain and is not located within Saltford conservation area. Further, development at the subject site would be spatially consistent with the development pattern of the existing settlement.

The site is well served by Manor Road, with good visibility in both directions and is in immediate proximity to the nearby Primary School to the north.

The site is reasonably accessible to local facilities in the form of shops and public transport located in the heart of the village on the main A4 (approx 120m distance from the site).

This site is considered to be suitable, available and achievable for new development and can deliver the provision of new homes in the next five years.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 220\2

Respondent: Radstock Cooperative Society

Representation (soundness): RSC also broadly supports Policy RA1 (Development in Villages meeting the listed criteria) and Policy RA2 (Development in Villages not meeting policy RA1 criteria) which direct modest growth to the most sustainable villages in rural areas. RSC supports the Council's view that a wider range of sustainable rural villages should be the focus for development in order to allow modest growth which will help sustain the sense of community, increase the supply of rural housing and encourage investment in local services.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 232\2 S

Respondent: Compton Martin Parish Council

Representation (soundness): Referendum - More clarification is required on how, when, why, who organises please.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 237\1

Respondent: David Packham

Representation (soundness): Here I Refer to policy RA1, which would allow building outside the housing development boundary. It is unsound because it sets aside the careful deliberations which led to the establishment of the housing development boundary. It has the potential to allow building where a more mature assessment would consider it unsuitable

Change sought to make sound: Remove the words "and adjoining" from policy RA 1

Representation (legal compliance): Here I Refer to policy RA1, which would allow building outside the housing development boundary. The housing development boundary is clearly defined on the Local Plan and its position was presumably established after careful consideration. To replace as such a clear criterion by a vague phrase as "adjoining" is to open every application to potential legal challenge over whether "adjoining" has been interpreted too broadly or too narrowly.

Change sought to make legally compliant: Remove the words "and adjoining" from policy RA 1

Reference: 240\1 S

Respondent: Cameley Parish Council

Representation (soundness): We as Cameley Parish Council welcome the classification of Temple Cloud as an RA 1 village. This will enable Temple Cloud to actively support sensitive development within the village. We feel it is necessary to improve the provision of affordable, environmentally sustainable housing to encourage new families into the village and enable first time buyers and 'downsizers' from within the parish to remain here. We would actively encourage provision of housing with a 'live-work' environment to relieve any additional burden on the commuter traffic to Bristol/Bath and to improve the 'community spirit' of our village. We also recognise that Temple Cloud provides employment and services to surrounding communities and wish to encourage enterprise during the changing and challenging economy.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 257\6

Respondent: Valley Parishes Alliance

Representation (soundness): B&NES requires consultation recommendations by parish councils to be founded on Local Plan policies. In practice we have found that notwithstanding the LPA's Statement of Community Involvement its own degree of adherence to policies affecting community facilities and shops is inconsistent and fails to protect these functions in villages. A similar problem arises with regard to design when the clear requirements of Local Plan policy D4 are ignored. A similar problem arises in relation to design and Policy D4 of the Local Plan. We welcome a statement about the LPS's duty to link this requirement to the rightful high-mindedness of Policy RA3.

**Change sought to
make sound:** In Policy RA1 after 'Policy DW1' insert ' and the retained policies of the adopted Local Plan'.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 259\3

Respondent: Edmund Bruegger

Representation (soundness): Meeting Local Needs for Housing and Employment

Paragraphs 5.17 – 5.21

Support is given to the identification of Temple Cloud as a rural settlement which can accommodate additional residential development. The settlement meets all of the requirements in paragraph 5.13 in that:

- i) It has a good range of facilities including a school, community hall and shop, as well as public transport;
- ii) The Parish Council support the principle of additional residential development and are preparing a Parish Plan to guide future development. At present land to the south of Temple Inn Lane has support as the preferred site to accommodate additional development in Temple Cloud. It will be able to consolidate the village form, provide housing to meet both general and local housing needs as well as other identified local needs such as allotments. The site is free from statutory environmental and landscape constraints and there are no technical constraints such as access, drainage etc which would prohibit its development;
- iii) There is capacity for development in the village as stated above.

Objections are however lodged to paragraph 5.21 which states that the scale of development in villages identified in Policy RA1 will be up to and around 30 dwellings. It is unclear from the submitted evidence base where this figure has been derived. There are clearly some rural settlements which could and should accommodate additional development.

One such settlement is Temple Cloud. This quantum of development would sustain and enhance local services and facilities. It is therefore recommended that the figure of 30 dwellings be replaced by up to 50 dwellings as being more appropriate.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 260\1

Respondent: Sawyer Associates Limited

Representation Executive Summary

- (soundness):**
1. The potential development site of 3.0 hectares is undeveloped agricultural land adjacent to, but outside, Clutton's current settlement boundary. The site is not subject to any protected landscape or Green Belt designations.
 2. Clutton is currently designated as an indicative RA2 village in the emerging Core Strategy, but it is contended that the correct indicative designation is as a Policy RA1 village.
 3. The Community Facilities Audit of Villages conducted by BANES Council in consultation with the Parish Council underestimates the scope of existing facilities within the village, and grossly underestimates the level of employment activity in the Parish area.
 4. Detailed baseline assessments undertaken by the project team of specialist consultants has confirmed that the site is developable and could be delivered, in whole or part, early in the life of a subsequent site allocation DPD.
 5. No major development constraints have been identified that are likely to have any significant impact on site deliverability, including the ability of the site to meet the full range of planning obligation requirements, as set out in the adopted SPD.
 6. Responses to two contemporary community consultations reveal a slight majority in favour of additional housing to meet the perceived housing need within the village. The majority of those who believe that no further housing is needed in Clutton appear to be from residents adjacent to or living nearby the site.
 7. Clutton meets the three criteria for inclusion on the indicative list of RA1 villages and it is contended that the only reason for it not being so designated are factually incorrect representations made by members of the Parish Council.
 8. The evidence base for Policy RA1 needs to be amended to enable other evidence of community support for additional housing development in order to ensure that it is representative and is compliant with the principles of the forthcoming Localism Act.
 9. Section 9 of this representation contains our formal representations in response to the December 2010 Publication Draft Core Strategy.

1.0 Introduction

1.1 In December 2009, instructed by Sawyer Associates Limited, GL Hearn submitted formal representations to BANES Council advising the availability of a potential housing development of a 3.0ha site at Clutton in respect of the LDF and SHLAA processes. The initial submission provided details of the site, an overview of the project team's approach to the site promotion.

1.2 During the course of 2010 a multidisciplinary assessment of the subject site's development potential was undertaken. An interim evidence base submission summarising the technical work was submitted to the Council in November 2010. The interim evidence base provides evidence that the subject site could contribute to meeting housing growth in the BANES rural area over the emerging Core Strategy plan period to 2026.

1.3 This statement consolidates the information provide in the two previous submissions, provides an overview of recent consultation undertaken in the village, and sets out a response to the December 2010 Publication Version of the BANES Draft Core Strategy in Sections 9 and 10. The project team remains committed to working with the Council and the local community to deliver this potential exemplar site.

2.0 Project Team

2.1 The core project team comprises the following parties:

Landowner: Neville Harvey

Developer: Sawyer Associates Limited

Planning Consultant: GL Hearn

Transport Consultant: FMW Consultancy

Architect/Urban Designers: Focus on Design

Civil Engineer: Clarke Bond

Landscape Consultant: Nicholas Pearson Associates

Ecological Consultant: The Badger Consultancy

Land Surveyors: Brunel Surveys Limited

3.0 Context Assessment

3.1 The site of approximately 3.0ha is located South of Maynard Terrace, Clutton. The site is presently undeveloped and its lawful use is agricultural. Refer to Appendix A for an aerial location plan.

3.2 The site slopes down from Maynard Terrace to a non main river water course on its southern boundary, and benefits from a south facing aspect. Known locally as the Greensbrook, the stream merges with others, before eventually joining the Cam Brook, below Hallatrow. There is existing agricultural vehicular access to the site from Maynard Terrace.

4.0 Evidence Base

4.1 The multidisciplinary project team has compiled detailed baseline assessments that confirm the developability and deliverability of the site. Meetings have been sought with the Planning Policy team to submit the full evidence base, but they are not meeting to discuss individual sites until later in the planning process. The work undertaken to date is summarised below:

Topography

4.2 A full topographical site survey underpins the various consultant reports that comprise the detailed evidence base.

Drainage, Utilities and Flood Strategy

4.3 Clarke Bond's drainage strategy confirms that the site constraints can be managed by adopting the following proposals:

- Surface water drainage will be to the existing watercourse, by gravity and can be restricted to the greenfield run-off rate, with on-site attenuation of a 1:100 year event with a 30% allowance for climate change, and incorporates Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems, such as retention ponds.
- The existing Wessex Water gravity sewers, the rising main and the culvert renewal have been incorporated in the conceptual master plan, and Wessex Water have confirmed that some additional foul storage will be required at the downstream Wessex pumping station.
- The majority of the site lies within Flood Risk Zone 1. Only a small area of the site falls within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Accordingly the constraints plan recommends a buffer zone and retention pond to the south west boundary.

Highways

4.4 Reports, including traffic and pedestrian surveys undertaken by FMW Consultancy indicate that the existing highway network can accommodate additional traffic generated by the development of the site. A safe vehicular access can be provided to the site, and there is scope to create pedestrian and cycle links to the key facilities in the village. The latter point is perceived as important by the majority of attendees at the Village 'Drop-In' to discuss development in Clutton which the developer organized and took place on the 13th January 2010.

Ecology

4.5 The Badger Consultancy, of Builth Wells, have monitored the site since March 2010 and to date have not identified any significant ecological constraints. The emerging masterplan for the site will maximize opportunities for enhancement to biodiversity through strategic planting and land modeling.

Arboricultural Survey

4.6 Tree Maintenance Limited have identified no major constraints, as the wooded area is largely confined to the perimeter and therefore within the area identified for preservation because of ecological, flooding and drainage considerations. No felling of any significant trees is proposed.

Landscape and Visual Assessment

4.7 Nicholas Pearson Associates have undertaken landscape and visual appraisal of the site. They have confirmed that the future development of the site would not result in a significant impact upon the wider landscape character of Clutton, and that visual impact will be local only. The key

recommendations are summarised below:

- Avoid unacceptable adverse effects on important physical features such as trees, hedgerows and overall landscape character.
- Respond to the character of the local built form with the principal influence on the layout of the site being the terraced housing along Maynard Terrace.
- Consider the openness of the Green Belt which lies near to the site.
- Safeguard existing mature trees and hedgerows.
- Respond to the local vernacular character and avoid 'suburbanisation' of the area.
- Consider new vehicle access points in regard to local topography and the landscape design of sustainable drainage features and areas of public open space.
- Recommends a holistic approach is made to the landscape and external realm through the creation of multi functional landscapes (green infrastructure).

Views of the site prepared by Nicholas Pearson Associates are contained in Appendix C.

Design approach and capacity assessment

4.8 Focus Design have evaluated the site constraints develop an overall scheme for the site. The context and recommendations of the consultant team have been combined to produce a constraints plan shown at Appendix D, and a conceptual site layout schematic at Appendix E.

4.9 Focus Design, whose core expertise lies in new build greenfield housing development, have advised that the subject site has potential to accommodate a scheme of 75 to 90 dwellings.

Viability

4.10 Sawyer Associates Ltd have undertaken a preliminary viability assessment taking into account the development constraints, and assuming the stabilisation of the housing market. This has confirmed that the site is capable of meeting the current and proposed level of s.106 planning obligations including the delivery of affordable housing on a nil subsidy basis. The viability model will be regularly updated, and can be shared with the Council on a confidential basis. However, on the basis of available information the project team is satisfied that the proposed development of the site is viable.

Conclusions

4.11 The evidence base work to date has proved that the site is physically capable of supporting housing development. Advice from the specialist consultant team has confirmed that the impact of future development can be appropriately mitigated.

5.0 Planning Context

5.1 The site is located adjacent to, but outside, Clutton's settlement boundary, as shown on the adopted Local Plan's proposals map. There are no other planning constraints applicable to the site.

5.2 The majority of the site, which slopes steeply up from the water course, lies within Flood Zone 1, as shown on the Environment Agency's indicative flood risk map.

5.3 Clutton is located approximately 20 km to the south west of Bath. In the adopted Local Plan it is classed as an R1 settlement under Policy SC.1 of the adopted Local Plan, which reflects its size and range of existing facilities.

5.4 Clutton has a population of 1,557 comprised of 633 households (2007 figure source:

- www.parish-online.co.uk) and also functions as a single conurbation with Temple Cloud, which lies approximately 1km to the south, and linked by the A37.

5.5 Temple Cloud lies in the Mendip ward, as opposed to the Clutton ward, and the 2001 census records the population as 2,751 for this ward. Temple Cloud is the largest settlement in the Mendip ward, which also includes smaller settlements such as Hinton Blewitt, West Harptree and East Harptree.

5.6 Of the 14 rural settlements in the BANES rural area that were 'C' classified using the methodology in the now revoked RSS, Temple Cloud and Clutton are among the most accessible. They are benefit from

good road links to Bath and Bristol, a regular bus service to Bristol, and an indirect bus service to Bath.

5.7 The Bath/Bristol statutory Green Belt abuts the northern part of Clutton and extends over the whole northern part of the district. However, the subject site is not constrained by the Green Belt or any national or local protected landscape designations

6.0 Community Facilities Audit

6.1 The 2008 audit, which was reviewed by the Parish Council in consultation with officers from BANES, summarises the community facilities within Clutton as follows:

- 1 community meeting place (CMP).
 - 1 Sports facility (SF).
 - 2 Children's Play areas (CPA).
 - 1 Post office (PO)
 - 1 Library/Mobile Library (LMH)
 - 2 Shops (A1)
 - 3 Pubs/Restaurants/Take away (A3 Uses)
 - 1 pre-school provision (PS).
 - 1 Primary School (SCH).
 - 2 Other sources of employment (OTH).
- At least daily bus services.

6.2 The audit does not list a number of other community facilities within the village.

- 1 Shops (A1); the retail premises most recently used as a curtain shop, previously a minimarket/general stores.
 - 1. CF Specialist care facility; (Warwick Gardens supported housing – 10 one bed bungalows with non-resident management staff (part time) and community alarm service.
 - 9 Workshops (Classic motorcycle parts, paint finishes and sales, two car servicing business, a specialist Ducati motorcycle servicing and parts business, a signage business, steel erectors/contractors, window, door and conservatory fitters, and composite stone manufacture and sales.
 - 4 Offices; as part of distribution businesses; a leading e-bay retailer, a supplier of toiletries, suppliers and retailers of African and Indian exotic products and a worldwide warehousing and distribution business.
 - 5 Factories; Fibreglass roof and gutter fabrication, a leading independent cider producer, production of abrasive products, envelope manufacture and sales, printing and distribution of business forms.
- There are further businesses whose operation it was not possible to verify

6.3 These additional businesses are detailed in Appendix B

6.4 Clutton also benefits from the presence of two other community facilities in the shape of the Cabin and Scout Hut; which offer a youth club, scouts, brownies, guides and beaver packs, but are not counted on the 2008 audit or the recent revision that has taken account of as evidence for the emerging Core Strategy for the Rural Areas.

6.5 A recent survey also identifies a number of other voluntary associations operating within the village; Mother and toddler group, jigsaw group, Womens' Institute, Mothers' Union, history group, horticultural society, joggers, aerobics and Neighbourhood Watch scheme.

6.6 Clutton facilities are augmented by those in Temple Cloud, which include the following:

- 1 Sports Facility
- 1 Children's Play Area
- 1 Doctor's surgery
- 1 Garage
- 1 Petrol Filling Station
- 1 Library/Mobile Library
- 3 Pubs/Restaurants/Take away (A3 Uses)
- 1 Pre-school provision

1 Specialist care facility

6.7 As demonstrated above, the Clutton/Temple Cloud conurbation has a wide range of existing community facilities, and local employers.

7.0 Development Opportunity

7.1 Because of Green Belt constraints around Clutton and Temple Cloud, the prospective development site, which is not subject to Green Belt or protected landscape designations, represents the most logical opportunity to meet the local community's development needs over the LDF plan period to 2026. The site relates well to the existing Clutton settlement.

7.2 New development will assist in supporting existing community facilities, which may otherwise become unviable due to demographic trends towards smaller household sizes.

7.3 The developer is advancing the site for housing and community use, with the intention of advancing an application for smaller homes, low cost homes, accommodation for people wishing to downsize, homes for younger people with existing connections with Clutton and to provide additional accommodation for retired people.

7.4 The evidence base reveals no major constraints on development and supports the case that the site is capable of rapid delivery and will be able to meet the social housing and other obligations under a section 106 agreement to be negotiated with the LPA.

7.5 There is community support for development of additional housing to meet the the needs of the village, as they are perceived by local residents. The developer and landowner intend to enter a formal consultation process that will build on the informal consultations that have already taken place (See Section 8).

7.6 One other site that has been registered for potential allocation through the BANES SHLAA process is land at Church Farm, abutting Station Road and the A37. We are not aware of any other non Green Belt sites that are being promoted by landowners/developers in the Clutton area.

8.0 Community Consultation

Parish Council

8.1 Initial approaches to the Parish Council seeking consultations were not welcomed and relations with the Parish Council have continued to be problematic. Our approaches, the responses from the Parish Council and additional relevant information are summarised below. The relevance of reporting the detail of this dialogue is in relation to the role that Parish Councils are proposed to take in the delivery of development in the BANES rural areas, as set out in the emerging Core Strategy.

On the 18th January 2010 we approached the PC to put on record a document stating that we had included the land opposite Maynard Terrace in representation to the BANES Council proposing it's inclusion in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and also as a potential future allocation in the emerging BANES Local Development Framework.

In the context of our public submission we informed the PC that the purposes for which we were registering the land included provision of: social housing, open market housing, employment and/or community use. An informal consultation process with the Parish Council on the planning merits of the potential future LDF allocation was requested. This approach was documented and included a copy of the 2009 LDF representations document prepared by GL Hearn. The PC response expressed by the Chairman, was that the request would be dealt with in the appropriate part of the agenda. The only response made by the PC within the relevant agenda item was the Chairman's verbal, but un-minuted, response that the land would make a very 'useful additional playing field for the school'.

This serious request for consultation was made pursuant to the duty imposed on potential developers to approach Parish Councils at the earliest possible stage to seek informal, then formal consultation, as

advised in the adopted BANES Statement of Community of involvement (SCI). In that context the response of the PC was wholly unsatisfactory. Notwithstanding the response of the PC, we wrote formally seeking consultations with the PC on 2nd February 2010. On the 16th February the Clerk sent a response from the PC 'having consulted with the members of the planning sub-committee', offering a consultation:

"The Council at its meeting last evening agreed that one or two of its number, and possibly one or two residents from Maynard Terrace, would meet with Mr. Sawyer at some relatively near future date." This appeared to be irregular as the proposal concerned the whole village and not just one or two residents from Maynard Terrace. On professional advice the offer of the meeting was declined, as to accept might prejudice both the PC and the case for development. Thenceforth Sawyer Associates Ltd and the landowner maintained a watching brief and concluded a number investigations into the deliverability of the site (summarised in Section 4).

Overall during the course of the year the consensus among the members of the Parish Council remained opposed to the inclusion of Clutton in the list of C settlements, as per the settlement classification in the RSS, designating the village as suitable for additional residential development of a scale character and appearance appropriate to the village. In the December 2010 Publication Draft Core Strategy, BANES introduced two new policies and made an indicative designation of Clutton as an RA2 village on the basis of 'the views of the Parish Council as the locally elected representative of those communities'. On the 17th January 2011 the Parish Council endorsed the RA2 designation by voting 11 'for' to 1 'abstention'.

Parish Plan

8.2 The Clutton Parish Plan was prepared exclusively by five members of the Parish Council in contravention of best practice guidance (Parish Plans; Guidance for Parish and Town Councillors www.ruralcommunities.gov.uk) which make it clear that the Parish Council should not prepare the Parish Plan. It is recommended that Parish Plans are prepared by a representative body drawn from the whole community. The exceptions to this rule are for very small rural communities and not applicable to a parish the size of Clutton.

8.3 Unfortunately consultation responses to the Parish Plan were received from only 5% of the households in the village. In terms of additional housing, the Parish Plan identifies the following 'desired outcomes' on pages 32-33.

Some starter homes available for young buyers.

Local village people given the opportunity to stay in the village.

Enough housing for elderly people to stay in the village but without greatly increasing the housing stock.

No large scale development on any new sites in the village.

Sawyer Associates/Landowner Clutton Village Drop-In Event: January 2011

8.4 Given the paucity of consultation with members of the community as part of the Parish planning process, and the lack of willingness on the part of the PC to engage in an appropriate form of consultation, Sawyer Associates Ltd and the landowner decided to initiate direct consultation with members of the community. Accordingly an open invitation was issued to every household, by distributing a leaflet outlining the issues to be debated and organising a 'village drop-in' to seek views from the community on the advantages and disadvantages of 'Development in Clutton'. A summary of the key responses arising from the consultation event is set out below, with further detailed feedback collated at Appendix F.

8.5 This event was designed to allow people to find out more about the proposed development opposite Maynard Terrace in Clutton and to offer a general forum on the desirability of additional housing development in Clutton.

8.6 92 feedback forms were returned from c240 people attending the meeting. The responses indicate that when leaving the event 33% of respondents opposed development in Clutton, 12% were neutral/undecided and 49% were in favour. It was also apparent that those who were opposed to

development were very strongly opposed. In the space allowed for comments, 32% respondents specified a need in the village for low cost/affordable housing, with 20% of people wanting homes for young people and families particularly those with Clutton roots (19%). Copies of forms for make representations to BANES were also given to a large number of attendees who wished to express their views to BANES and/or deposit written responses at the Drop In.
Ward Councillor Parish Survey: December 2010

8.7 At the same time that the project team were organising the drop-in event it transpired that the Ward Councillor, Stephen Wilcox, had initiated a more extensive survey which had been delivered to every household within the village (633). Councillor Willcox has now published an interim report on the responses received to date (194) to his survey. A copy of the published summary sheet is included at Appendix G

8.8 In Councillor Willcox's interim report (January 2011) 47% of residents are in favour of some form of residential development in Clutton and 46% are opposed to it. People were specifically asked what type of housing should be built in the village and 35% of all respondents felt that low cost/affordable housing for young people/families, whilst 22% saw a need for homes for downsizers and 19% for homes for retired people. Only 7% of people felt there was a need for homes for large families. 15% of people wanted homes for people with Clutton connections whilst 9% wanted to attract more people to the village. Interestingly 9% of respondents said that residential development should take place opposite Maynard Terrace while 2% stated that the land should not be built on.

Summary

8.9 In the context of the results of these two consultations it is clear that there is substantial support for additional housing development within the village, provided that it meets the perceived gaps in housing provision within the village.

9.0 Comment on the BANES Draft Core Strategy

9.1 We have set out below comments on individual policies of the December 2010 Publication Version of the BANES Draft Core Strategy, followed by our comments in prescribed form as section 10:
Policy 1d District Wide Spatial Strategy

9.2 We have a general concern in relation to the very significant reduction in the overall housing requirement for the BANES administrative district over the plan period in comparison to the previous RSS housing figures for the district. Assumptions relating to lower economic activity and anticipated levels of in-migration and not considered to be well founded. We support the detailed representations on this key issue that will be submitted on behalf of the development industry by the HBF.
Policy DW1 District Wide Spatial Strategy

9.3 Notwithstanding our concerns over the overall housing requirement for the BANES administrative district, as described above, we support in proportion the proposed distribution of the housing and employment growth throughout the district. However, should the overall quantum of housing growth be increased it is considered that the rural area has capacity to absorb a proportionate increase in its allocation.
Policies RA1/RA2

9.4 Clutton is currently designated as an indicative RA2 village in the draft Core Strategy. However, we contend that the village's correct indicative designation is as an RA1 village on the following grounds:
- The community facilities audit of villages understates the community facilities and grossly understates the local employment activity. These facilities have been carefully reviewed and presented in section 6 of this report, and additional information on employment sites and businesses within the village is contained in Appendix B. We consider that Clutton meets the community facilities and transport criteria for inclusion in Policy RA1
- The Parish Plan, an informal community consultation and the preliminary results of the Ward Councillor's survey of the Parish, reveal substantial support for additional housing development,

provided that it meets the housing needs of the village.

- The majority of those stating that the village requires no further housing development are residents of homes adjacent to or very close to the land that we are promoting for allocation as part of the Core Strategy process.

9.5 In 5.17 on page 96, the housing and employment aims are expressed as “A number of villages have been identified where:

- access to facilities and public transport is best
- there is capacity for development
- there is community support for some small scale development”

These villages are to be the focus for new small scale development under policy RA1. Community support is demonstrated by the views of the Parish Council as the locally elected representative of those communities.”

9.6 These principles are expressed in Policy RA1 which sets three criteria for the policy to be applicable to a settlement in the rural areas.

- a at least 3 of the following key facilities within the village: post office, school, community meeting place and convenience shop, and
- b at least a daily Monday-Saturday public transport service to main centres, and
- c local community support for the principle of development can be demonstrated.

Criterion a

9.7 Clutton meets the criterion as stated above and arguably exceeds them in the light of the more extensive list of facilities detailed in section 6 of this statement. While the shopping facilities within the settlement are not sufficient to supply all household needs, as evidenced by the support for a community shop detailed in the Ward Councillor’s survey, there are nonetheless four retail premises within the village (post office and some retail goods, a butchers, hairdressers and one unit in Venus lane that appears to be vacant at present).

9.8 In addition Clutton has more facilities, particularly employment activity than those detailed in the Parish audit of facilities that is part of BANES data on the village (see section 6 of this submission and Appendix B). This demonstrates that the formulation of Policy RA1, as currently drafted, is not based on reliable evidence.

Criterion b

9.9 Clutton meets the criterion as stated, with direct daily Monday to Saturday bus services to Bristol and Radstock, and an indirect service to Bath. The need for a direct bus service to Bath was commented on by a number of residents in the Ward Councillor’s survey, at the Drop In and in the Parish Plan.

Criterion c

9.10 It is considered that the Core Strategy is unsound with regard to criterion c, which is clarified on 5.17 on page 96 as being based on the views of the local parish council as the locally elected representative of the community.

9.11 In the case of Clutton, the Parish Council voted eleven ‘for’ to one ‘abstention’ in favour of Clutton remaining within RA2 is demonstrably unrepresentative of the community in Clutton as demonstrated by the recent village drop-in, which recorded 45 responses in favour of additional housing, with 31 opposed of the 92 forms returned. Additionally the Ward Councillor’s survey of the parish, reveal that of the responses received to date and published in his interim results 91 households are in favour of additional housing in the village and 89 against, out of the 194 forms reported as being received. This represents an overall response rate to date of 30.6%.

9.12 The village survey conducted as part of the Parish Plan, elicited responses (verbal and written) from approximately 5% of households, suggesting 32 responses were received. Even on the basis of such a low response, desired outcomes listed on page 32 of the plan include; starter homes available for first time buyers, local village people given the opportunity to stay in the village and additional

housing to enable elderly people to stay in the village. The Parish Plan was compiled by a committee entirely comprised of Parish Council members and its status as a representative document is clearly open to question.

9.13 In the light of the fact that the members of the Parish Council were 'deemed elected' having been co-opted, rather than being elected by a democratic Parish Council election, the status of the PC's representations to the emerging Core Strategy 'as the locally elected representative of those communities' (Core Strategy para 5.17 on page 96) must be called into question, particularly in the light of the responses to the community consultations.

9.14 In the context of the foregoing it is contended that the criteria for 'local community support', as set out in criterion c of policy RA1, is to be justifiable and representative, then it needs to be amended to allow for other evidence of community support in addition to the views of the Parish Council alone. In the light of the forthcoming enactment of the Localism Bill this assumes particular importance. In the absence of such an amendment the Core Strategy for the Rural Areas it is considered to be unsound, and could be open to challenge.

9.15 In the context of Policy DW1 (affordable housing provision target in BANES), and policies RA1, RA2, and RA4, it is contended that new green field sites (outside the Green Belt) must be allocated for development in the BANES rural area to create sufficient economies of scale for the open market housing element to cross subsidise the provision of affordable housing. Land within the existing settlement boundaries cannot be relied upon to deliver the required quantum affordable housing as typically sites within villages are too small, and have higher base land values.

Change sought to make sound: Revise policies RA1, RA2 and RA4 and review the evidence base for d and DW1 housing targets to reflect more realistic growth and inward migration assumptions.

Representation (legal compliance):

1. Not Justified: In terms of the evidence base relating to the housing requirement for the district 1d and DW1.
2. The evidence base regarding facilities within villages and therefore their suitability for additional housing development is unsound in relation to policies RA1, RA2 and RA4.
3. Policy RA1 criterion c, and the reliance placed on the views of Parish Councillors is unsound, unrepresentative and will not comply with the requirements of the forthcoming Localism Act.
4. Not consistent with National Policy; PPS3 and PPS12 (deliverability and flexibility)
5. The above mentioned policies are not going to meet the requirement for additional affordable housing in the rural areas, particularly for younger families and local people.

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 260\5

Respondent: Sawyer Associates Limited

Representation On the second of December 2010 I attended the BANES Full Council meeting and made a

(soundness): representation in relation to the draft Core Strategy for public consultation. I made three points in relation to the rural areas:

1. That, if the basis of the inclusion or exclusion of a village in 'focus' category was based on representations from a minority of PC members, then the application of the policy would be unrepresentative, undemocratic and result in further stagnation in villages where development may be seen as desirable by the residents, whose views are not put forward by the PC, or rather the minority of PC members with which your officers met during the consultation.
2. Second, that to classify a community as a 'focus' village on the basis that 'local community support for the principle of development can be demonstrated', at a single point in time may misrepresent the views of some communities over the period to 2026.
3. That therefore policy RA1 should be an open gateway for the life of the plan so that where the views of a community as to the desirability of development were to change during the course of the LDP then they could avail themselves of 'focus' status. In his summing up, Councillor Gerrish was kind enough to suggest that another look would be taken at the situation in Clutton and also iterated the view that

policy RA1 should be, if not an 'open gateway', at least a policy whose local application is reviewed at intervals throughout the life of the LDP.

After the meeting Chris Beaver, of the planning consultancy GL Hearn, wrote to your officers reminding them of my contribution and requesting a meeting. There was no written response. However, my understanding is that during a subsequent conversation between Chris Beaver and Simon de Beer, Mr de Beer explained that the scope and currency of policy RA1 had apparently been misunderstood by both the members and the public, and that in fact it would operate as I suggested in my contribution to the meeting on the 2nd December. I read the latest consultation draft Core Strategy issued on Wednesday 18 December 2010 and noted that the evidence base for demonstrable community support with regard to RA1 is

now clarified as: "These villages are to be the focus for new small scale development under policy RA1. Community support is demonstrated by the views of the Parish Council as the locally elected representative of those communities."

Nowhere in the report can I find clarification of any extension of the opportunity to meet the criteria for policy RA1 during the currency of the LDP. The first point only serves to emphasise the relevance and importance of my contribution to the debate about the applied of criterion 3 of policy RA1 as I will demonstrate:

In the case of Clutton Parish Council, none of the members of the PC are 'democratically elected', as all the members have been co-opted. In the light of this iteration of the Core Strategy the current classification of Clutton remains invalid.

If the response of your officers is to delete the words 'locally elected' from the policy RA1 criteria, then the entire consultation process is vulnerable to a legal challenge that could have serious consequences, not only for the delivery of much needed new development in the BANES rural areas, but for the legal status of the emerging Core Strategy.

Unfortunately determining the level and extent of 'community support' in relation to criterion 3 of RA1 will take longer than the consultation period available.

Change sought to As far as I can see, the only alternatives to a procedural challenge are to either:

make sound: 1. Designate Clutton as a 'focus village', pending sufficient evidence of community support according to the existing RA1 criteria, thus giving the community time to elect a representative PC and conduct some consultation. Farmborough has also been granted conditional status, so there is a broad precedent for this approach.

2. In my view, your officers cannot take the lesser step of excluding Clutton from 'focus' status and afford the community the opportunity to demonstrate support, because your officers have based their determination on either a lack of evidence or a material misrepresentation by unelected parish councillors. No account has been taken of the evidence I have submitted based on contemporary written notes of PC meetings, minutes of PC meetings and the Parish Plan. The latter is itself invalid as the members of the Parish Plan Committee were, with one exception, members of the PC. The second point requires rapid written clarification from your officers and we suggest will need to be clarified in the Secretary of State submission version of the Core Strategy, following the conclusion of the current round of consultation;

3. Is or is not policy RA1 to? Remain open as a gateway to development in the rural areas, be reviewed at intervals as suggested by Councillor Gerrish, or to remain a single test to be passed during the term of the consultation to February.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 264\14 S

Respondent: Englishcombe Parish Council

Representation This Parish Council fully supports the development of housing in the Villages meeting the DW1 policies **(soundness):** and welcomes the recognition of the importance of the Parish Council in the decision process.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 268\1 S

Respondent: Tony Marwood

Representation As Cabinet Member for the introduction and acceptance of the B&NES Core strategy document I would
(soundness): very much appreciate if you would take 5 minutes out of your very busy schedule to read this 'potted history' on recent events in Clutton, in order for you to be aware of an attempt to undermine the authority and integrity of the Parish Council.

You will remember that following our inclusion as a 'Core' village on the first Core Strategy review we asked that our status be reconsidered as we actually no longer fulfilled the key criteria of having a 'general stores' shop, and that while we did have the other 3 criteria, we had very little else to form the infrastructure for enlargement. We were reassured to see that in the data attached to the draft Strategy, a chart which showed that on the larger sample of 22 key criteria that Clutton fell short on most of the facilities that other villages have, clearly justifying our redefinition as an RA2 village. However, now that we are an RA2 village instead of being an RA1, the change has caused serious upset to one Landowner who bought a field recently (shown on the SLAA as Clu.3). Consequently over the last 2 months there has been a concerted effort by this Landowner and his Agent to canvass local support to overturn the Village's Core status. Equally disappointing is that our District Councillor also believes that the village should be enlarged, so he has been working in parallel with this Landowner to undermine the Parish Council's position, and its role of being representative of the village.

The Parish Council's view has been developed over the last 6 years during the making and introduction of the Parish Plan. Over that time the Parish Council did everything it could to engage the Parishioners including, flyers through everyone's door, presentations at the Village Hall, discussions with local groups, a 'Parish Council' tent at the Village Show, and with a draft Parish Plan on show for comment in August 2009, which was finalised in Oct 2010. All that notwithstanding 6 years worth of Parish Council meetings with the progress and aims of the Parish Plan well publicised in the minutes which were paraphrased in the Parish magazine, and printed in full for the Village Notice Board and the Village Web Site.

Despite our doing the right thing by the Parish, we are under attack from this specific Landowner/Agent. You would have seen his letter to all Parish Councillors and which was copied to yourself following December's PC meeting, where he lambasted the PC during the Public Submission session over our failure to let all Parishioners know of his (not yet submitted) plans. What you may not know is that he has also circulated a flyer through everyone's door (attached above) which contained numerous inaccuracies and in my view was possibly libellous towards the PC. At a meeting he called on the 13th Jan he canvassed local support of his development, and allegedly made various promises of 'extras' that would be provided if the Core status were to change and permissions given, and encouraged folk to complete the B&NES Draft Core Strategy 'Publication Version Representation Form' in order to pressurise B&NES into changing the Core Strategy and have more development.

How many local folk he persuaded to sign his petition is unknown, but unfortunately there is always the possibility that someone from one side of a village will support a development on the other side of the village (not in their back yard). What such people may not realise is that there are actually 3 named sites (Clu.1, Clu.2 & Clu.3) which are virtually east, west, and centre of the Village and that if the RA2 status were to change I am positive that the other developers would be equally keen to offer incentives to be able to build, hence the new development may be on their doorstep after all.

At the same time, our esteemed Ward Councillor has also put 'his own' questionnaire through everyone's door, asking many things but again seeking if there's a wish for development. Whether he is solely supporting this specific Landowner, or other developers, or a separate agenda, is unknown. However

he is certainly not supporting the Parish Plan or the Parish Council, and his knowledge and understanding of what the Village needed was exposed a few PC meetings ago when he didn't even know that the village Post Office/Shop had ceased trading all general stores the year previous !!! What results and analysis this questionnaire will claim is also so far unknown.

To conclude, I believe that our Parish Clerk's response to Simone De Beer very accurately sums up the Parish Council's agreement and support for the B&NES Core Strategy especially for our designation, and for the best for our Village. However we are worried that the concerted efforts by developers to undermine and discredit our views will carry some weight especially when aided and abetted by the Ward Councillor. Whilst this issue may also be prevalent in other Parishes across B&NES where certain people can see £££'s before their eyes, it unfortunately is most prevalent here in Clutton. Obviously any help, advice, or assurance that you could offer to us over this matter would be very welcomed.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 270\4

Respondent: Blue Cedar Homes

Representation Meeting Local Need for Housing and Employment

(soundness): Paragraphs 5.17 – 5.23 and Policy RA1

Support is given to the identification of Farmborough as a rural settlement which can accommodate additional residential development. However, further clarification in respect of the requirement to provide a 'sustainable transport link to local shopping facilities' is necessary.

Farmborough has access to facilities including a primary school, community hall and employment, as well as an hourly public transport service. Whilst the village shop has recently closed down, this has been due to a lack of business as a result of an absence of new development in the settlement in recent times. The addition of new housing in the area will generate more customers and therefore the potential for the village shop to reopen thereby improving the settlement's sustainable credentials further.

Objection is made to the criterion (a) of Policy RA1. The criterion does not allow for occasions where the post office is located within a convenience shop. Neither does it acknowledge those settlements which have a very good school and thriving shop but no post office but have the capacity for additional development. The criterion needs to allow for some flexibility.

Objection is also made to criterion ©. The requirement for community support for a development is not considered an appropriate criterion. The need for community support in order to provide additional growth in a settlement is unreasonable and will not assist the District in meeting its housing requirements. Whilst the issue of community support is a material consideration it should not be a pre-requisite in deciding where additional development should be located.

Land off Brookside Drive adjacent to the primary school, Farmborough (see attached Location Plan) is available for development. Development here would provide housing to meet both general and local housing needs as well as an identified need for retirement accommodation. The site is free from statutory environmental and landscape constraints and there are no technical constraints such as access, drainage etc which would prohibit its development.

The Local Plan Inspector confirmed that Farmborough could accommodate additional development. When commenting on the site at Brookside Drive she stated:

"In the DDLP land was proposed for residential development at Brookside Drive, Farmborough. This is a Greenfield site which was removed from the green belt and safeguarded in the Wandsdyke Local Plan as adopted by the Council for development control purposes in September 2000 for development post

2001. There are a number of objections to the development of this site and further work would be required to assess floodrisk and access and impact on the adjoining school. However the site relates well to the development area of the settlement and its development would not be unduly intrusive in the wider countryside. With a site of 1.3ha it would provide an appropriate scale of development for Farmborough together with an opportunity for the provision of affordable housing as part of the development. I therefore recommend that GDS/1/V9 is reinstated for 30 dwellings as an allocation in the plan.”

The primary school is fully supportive of development at Brookside Drive. The school is currently under capacity and would welcome the additional pupils generated from such a proposal. The funds raised from the sale of the school land to enable access into the site will be used to improve facilities at the school.

Objections are lodged against paragraph 5.21 which states that the scale of development in villages identified in Policy RA1 will be up to and around 30 dwellings. It is unclear from the submitted evidence base where this figure has been derived. There are clearly some rural settlements which could and should accommodate additional development. One such settlement is Farmborough. This quantum of development would sustain and enhance local services and facilities. It is therefore recommended that the figure of 30 dwellings be replaced by up to 50 dwellings as being more appropriate.

Change sought to Changes Required

make sound: i)Amend criterion (a) of Policy RA1 to allow more flexibility.
ii)Remove criterion (c) from Policy RA1.
iii)Increase scale of development permitted based on local circumstances.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 278\9 5

Respondent: Cotswolds Conservation Board

Representation The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for “development in the villages meeting the (soundness): listed criteria” as set out in Policy RA1

Change sought to

make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for “development in the villages meeting the listed criteria” as set out in Policy RA1

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 300\8

Respondent: Somer Housing

Representation Policy RA1

(soundness): 5.1 We welcome the inclusion of a policy for rural areas in principle. The SHLAA acknowledges there are insufficient sites to deliver the required level of rural housing set out in the Core Strategy and as a result the release of sites adjoining settlement boundaries must be allowed.

5.3 Although we support attempts to build consensus for new rural developments, we are concerned that criteria c, which requires local community support for a development could act as a significant barrier to new development. This would effectively be a right to veto all new proposals for new development in rural areas. In addition, the policy does not explain how a developer would demonstrate local community support. The policy has considerable potential to be misused to frustrate acceptable development. We consider that the policy wording should be amended so that community support is only sought and not required.

Change sought to make sound: 5.2 We consider that the policy should contain a clause stating that the Council will loosen the first two policy requirements if it is not delivering sufficient housing to meet the Council's target. This can be easily done through an additional paragraph in the supporting text stating that: 'In the event that it becomes clear that the Council's target of 250 dwellings to be delivered in rural areas cannot be met, the Council will consider other settlements which do not strictly meet the stated criteria, but can demonstrate a clear need and a number of the stated services. This will be monitored and reviewed through the Annual Monitoring Report'.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 301\3

Respondent: South West RP Planning Consortium

Representation 5b Rural Areas

(soundness): Policy RA1

We welcome the inclusion of a policy solely for rural areas where the sustainability of the settlement needs to be properly considered. However we are concerned about the inclusion of a policy which requires local community support for schemes to progress. This would amount to a power of veto over all new developments in rural areas. We consider that the new Neighbourhood Plans will give local residents ample opportunities to shape development in their area.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 323\2 5

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Tudgee

Representation 3.3 We generally support Policy RA1 which seeks to allow proposals for residential and employment

(soundness): development in and adjoining the housing development boundary of, and of a scale and character

appropriate to, the villages identified in Policy DW1 providing the village has,

a) at least 3 of the following key facilities within the village: post office, school, community meeting place and convenience shop, and

b) at least a daily Monday-Saturday public transport service to main centres, and

c) local community support for the principle of development can be demonstrated.

3.4 Paragraph 5.21 of the draft Core Strategy suggests that housing developments of a scale of "up to and around 30 dwellings" will be distributed as appropriate at the villages which meet the RA1 criteria will be acceptable. We assume that this means that more than one such development (i.e. amounting to in excess of 30 dwellings in total) will be permissible in any given village if deemed to be appropriate. We support this flexible approach which allows specific sites to be identified through the Site Allocations DPD based on the capacity of the individual settlements in terms of the range of sites that might be suitable and available, the level of local housing need and the aspirations of the local community towards community benefits that might be delivered in connection with housing or employment development. Such a strategy has been successfully and appropriately adopted by other authorities within the south west region and found to be a sound approach.

3.5 Bishop Sutton supports a variety of facilities such as a primary school, village hall, two churches, two pubs, a football club and benefits from a good bus service to Bristol (two buses operate Monday to Saturday via Bishop Sutton to and from Bristol with up to three services a day). It is rightly considered to be a sustainable settlement for accommodating appropriately scaled residential development for which Policy RA1 should apply and we support this approach as a sound one. This is recognised in paragraph 5.18 of the draft Core Strategy although the only other villages listed in Table 1 to meet the criteria and that have some capacity for development are said to be Timsbury, Batheaston, Temple Cloud, Farmborough (subject to the provision of a sustainable transport link to local shopping facilities)

and Whitchurch. Furthermore, paragraph 5.20 advises that sites adjoining housing development boundaries in the Green Belt will not be acceptable. This effectively rules out any sites outside of the housing development boundaries of Farmborough, Whitchurch and Batheaston as well as limiting the search of sites adjoining the housing development boundaries of Timsbury and to a lesser extent Bishop Sutton. We consider that the draft Core Strategy should make it clearer which villages policy RA1 will be applicable to in order to avoid any misunderstanding and meet the tests of soundness.

3.6 The evidence makes it clear therefore that Bishop Sutton is one of only a few suitable locations for residential development in the Rural Areas having regard to the criteria which the draft Core Strategy applies. The SHLAA Findings Report (December 2010) suggests that there are no suitable and available sites within the existing housing development boundary of Bishop Sutton that can meet the identified need. A site or sites adjoining the settlement boundary will therefore need to be identified within the Site Allocations DPD.

4.0 LAND AT HAM LANE, BISHOP SUTTON

4.1 Our clients own 0.3 hectares of land at Ham Lane which is identified on the plan attached as Appendix 1. The site adjoins the housing development boundary of Bishop Sutton and is outside the green belt as identified by the adopted local plan proposals map. The site is situated on the north eastern side of Bishop Sutton to the north of the A368 which runs through the centre of the village. The site is bounded by Ham Lane to the east, and Stitchings Shord Lane to the south. On the opposite sides of both lanes is existing residential development and to the south of Stitchings Shord Lane is the more recent Cappard's Farm Housing development. Chew Valley Caravan Park, Marlborough House and cottages enclose the site to the north and north west. To the west of the site is a tennis court with a cottage and cold store.

The site is relatively flat and surrounded by mature hedgerows and trees to the east and south. The current land use consists of pasture fields within a predominately residential area. The site has little agricultural value given its relatively small size and distance from any other agricultural land.

4.2 Appendix 1E of the SHLAA Findings Report (December 2010) appraises a site (BIS1) which includes our client's land. It suggests that the BIS1 site is unsuitable because it is outside the Housing Development Boundary but Policy RA1 refers to potentially suitable sites being either in or adjoining such boundaries. Our client's land adjoins the Housing Development Boundary.

4.3 The SHLAA also suggests that the BIS1 site would be unsuitable for reasons relating to visual impact and the existing character of the land, citing a previously dismissed Section 78 appeal for a single dwelling in 1993 as justification. However, the context to that appeal was that it related to an unjustified proposal for housing development outside of the adopted settlement development boundary which was as a matter of principle contrary to the relevant policies of the time. The same objection would not be valid now in respect of a proposal for a planned housing allocation of the site to meet an identified need, particularly as the character of the surrounding area has altered significantly in the intervening period as a result of new development. We consider therefore that the SHLAA assessment of the BIS1 site is unjustified.

4.4 In any case, our client's land is only part of the BIS1 site and Bridges Design Associates Ltd has prepared an initial Landscape Assessment which provides evidence that the SHLAA assessment is unjustified. The Landscape Assessment (which can be provided on request) concludes that the hedgebanks which enclose it and forms the main landscape feature, could be largely retained and reinstated as necessary around any new access should the site be developed for housing. Any views from potential residential development on site would therefore be limited by boundary vegetation and very localised, being restricted to views from the immediately adjacent roads. The Assessment concludes that residential development would be in keeping with the adjacent land uses and whilst some views may change this should not result in any significant detrimental impact on surrounding residential properties views. A well-designed, landscaped residential development on this site should integrate well with the local landscape character of the village.

4.5 FMW Consultancy has prepared an initial transport appraisal of the site which advises that the site benefits from reduced traffic flows on Ham Lane due to no entry signs at the Ham Lane/Hollowbrook Lane junction, while the Highway Authority is proposing to restrict parking and waiting on Ham Lane and The Street (A368). Although visibility to the right at Ham Lane and The Street junction is currently substandard, the low volume of traffic that is likely to be generated by the potential development of the site may not require improvements to the junction. However, a build-out to advance the give way line and increase the visibility could be introduced if required by the Highway Authority. Site access can be provided from Ham Lane, Stitchings Shord Lane or a revised Ham Lane/ Stitchings Shord Lane junction, with Stitchings Shord Lane being the most appropriate option. The main pedestrian link from the site to surrounding facilities is provided via Ham Lane. Ham Lane has a calm traffic environment and fulfils the Manual for Streets criteria for good shared surface streets. The site has good access to local facilities with the post office, grocery store, pub and primary school all within desirable walking distance. A nearby bus stop provides eight daily options to Chew Magna and the services also allow weekday trips to Bristol, Bath and Weston Super Mare. The findings demonstrate that the site is suitable for a residential development from a highways and transport perspective.

4.6 We consider therefore that our client's land at Ham Lane, Bishop Sutton is suitable for a residential development for approximately 5 – 9 houses and could contribute towards the housing requirement identified for the Rural Areas within the draft Core Strategy.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 323\4

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Tudgee

Representation 4.0 LAND AT HAM LANE, BISHOP SUTTON

(soundness): 4.1 Our clients own 0.3 hectares of land at Ham Lane which is identified on the plan attached as Appendix 1. The site adjoins the housing development boundary of Bishop Sutton and is outside the green belt as identified by the adopted local plan proposals map. The site is situated on the north eastern side of Bishop Sutton to the north of the A368 which runs through the centre of the village. The site is bounded by Ham Lane to the east, and Stitchings Shord Lane to the south. On the opposite sides of both lanes is existing residential development and to the south of Stitchings Shord Lane is the more recent Cappard's Farm Housing development. Chew Valley Caravan Park, Marlborough House and cottages enclose the site to the north and north west. To the west of the site is a tennis court with a cottage and cold store. The site is relatively flat and surrounded by mature hedgerows and trees to the east and south. The current land use consists of pasture fields within a predominately residential area. The site has little agricultural value given its relatively small size and distance from any other agricultural land.

4.2 Appendix 1E of the SHLAA Findings Report (December 2010) appraises a site (BIS1) which includes our client's land. It suggests that the BIS1 site is unsuitable because it is outside the Housing Development Boundary but Policy RA1 refers to potentially suitable sites being either in or adjoining such boundaries. Our client's land adjoins the Housing Development Boundary.

4.3 The SHLAA also suggests that the BIS1 site would be unsuitable for reasons relating to visual impact and the existing character of the land, citing a previously dismissed Section 78 appeal for a single dwelling in 1993 as justification. However, the context to that appeal was that it related to an unjustified proposal for housing development outside of the adopted settlement development boundary which was as a matter of principle contrary to the relevant policies of the time. The same objection would not be valid now in respect of a proposal for a planned housing allocation of the site to meet an identified need, particularly as the character of the surrounding area has altered significantly in the intervening period as a result of new development. We consider therefore that the SHLAA assessment of the BIS1 site is unjustified.

4.4 In any case, our client's land is only part of the BIS1 site and Bridges Design Associates Ltd has prepared an initial Landscape Assessment which provides evidence that the SHLAA assessment is unjustified. The Landscape Assessment (which can be provided on request) concludes that the hedgebanks which enclose it and forms the main landscape feature, could be largely retained and reinstated as necessary around any new access should the site be developed for housing. Any views from potential residential development on site would therefore be limited by boundary vegetation and very localised, being restricted to views from the immediately adjacent roads. The Assessment concludes that residential development would be in keeping with the adjacent land uses and whilst some views may change this should not result in any significant detrimental impact on surrounding residential properties views. A well-designed, landscaped residential development on this site should integrate well with the local landscape character of the village.

4.5 FMW Consultancy has prepared an initial transport appraisal of the site which advises that the site benefits from reduced traffic flows on Ham Lane due to no entry signs at the Ham Lane/Hollowbrook Lane junction, while the Highway Authority is proposing to restrict parking and waiting on Ham Lane and The Street (A368). Although visibility to the right at Ham Lane and The Street junction is currently substandard, the low volume of traffic that is likely to be generated by the potential development of the site may not require improvements to the junction. However, a build-out to advance the give way line and increase the visibility could be introduced if required by the Highway Authority. Site access can be provided from Ham Lane, Stitchings Shord Lane or a revised Ham Lane/ Stitchings Shord Lane junction, with Stitchings Shord Lane being the most appropriate option. The main pedestrian link from the site to surrounding facilities is provided via Ham Lane. Ham Lane has a calm traffic environment and fulfils the Manual for Streets criteria for good shared surface streets. The site has good access to local facilities with the post office, grocery store, pub and primary school all within desirable walking distance. A nearby bus stop provides eight daily options to Chew Magna and the services also allow weekday trips to Bristol, Bath and Weston Super Mare. The findings demonstrate that the site is suitable for a residential development from a highways and transport perspective.

4.6 We consider therefore that our client's land at Ham Lane, Bishop Sutton is suitable for a residential development for approximately 5 – 9 houses and could contribute towards the housing requirement identified for the Rural Areas within the draft Core Strategy.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 326\2 S

Respondent: Patricia Pick

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 327\2 S

Respondent: Susan Thatcher

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 328\2 S

Respondent: David Reeve

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 329\2 S

Respondent: Barbara Reeve

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 330\2 S

Respondent: Norman Bellworthy

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be

considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 331\2 S

Respondent: Jean Bellworthy

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 332\2 S

Respondent: Nicholas Owen

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 333\2 S

Respondent: Sandra Webb

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 334\2 S

Respondent: T. Davies

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 335\2 S

Respondent: Jennifer Davies

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 336\2 S

Respondent: Muriel Gibbs

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 337\2 S

Respondent: R. Studley

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 338\2 S

Respondent: A.J. Studley

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 339\2 S

Respondent: Susan Maggs

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 340\2 S

Respondent: Charlotte Maggs

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 341\2 S

Respondent: Terence Wittner

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 342\2 S

Respondent: Jason Stone

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 343\2 S

Respondent: D. Peacey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 344\2 S

Respondent: M. Peacey

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 345\2 S

Respondent: Beatrix Vasarhelyi

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 346\2 S

Respondent: J. Keep

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 347\2 S

Respondent: James Lawson

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 348\2 S

Respondent: E. Webb

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 349\2 S

Respondent: Janet Webb

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 350\2 S

Respondent: Susan Palmer

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 351\2 S

Respondent: R. Palmer

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 352\2 S

Respondent: Richard Hall

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 353\2 S

Respondent: Angela Hall

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 354\2 S

Respondent: R Penney

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 355\2 S

Respondent: P Penney

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 356\2 S

Respondent: Peter Goodwin

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 357\2 S

Respondent: Anita Goodwin

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 358\2 S

Respondent: Clive Powell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 359\2 S

Respondent: J.E. Powell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 360\2 S

Respondent: S. Evans

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 361\2 S

Respondent: Sylvia Cox

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 362\2 S

Respondent: Mervyn Cox

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 363\2 S

Respondent: James Thrower

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 364\2 S

Respondent: Joyce Thrower

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 365\2 S

Respondent: N. Farrance

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 366\2 S

Respondent: Diana Farrance

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 367\2 S

Respondent: Trevor Davis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 368\2 S

Respondent: Sheila Davis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 369\2 S

Respondent: Anne Griffin

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 370\2 S

Respondent: David Whelan

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 371\2 S

Respondent: June Carter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 372\1

Respondent: Timsbury Parish Council

Representation The Parish Council resolved to advise Bath & NE Somerset Council that it wished for the phrase "and
(soundness): adjoining" to be removed from Policy RA1 on p. 96 of the consultation document.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 373\2 S

Respondent: Ann Marshall

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 374\2 S

Respondent: Graham Carter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 375\2 S

Respondent: F. Box

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 376\2 S

Respondent: J. Edwards

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 377\2 S

Respondent: Judith Edwards

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 378\2 S

Respondent: Lilian Hayward

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 379\2 S

Respondent: Michael Searle

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 380\2 S

Respondent: Molly Ford

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 381\2 S

Respondent: M.A. Ford

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 382\2 S

Respondent: Dennis Gould

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 383\2 S

Respondent: Pamela Gould

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 385\2 S

Respondent: Desmond Westlake

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 386\2 S

Respondent: Tony Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 387\2 S

Respondent: Wendy Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 388\2 S

Respondent: Natasha Morgan

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 389\2 S

Respondent: Nathan Morgan

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 390\2 S

Respondent: Kim Thomas

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 391\2 S

Respondent: M.Thomas

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 392\2 S

Respondent: S. Jewell

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 393\2 S

Respondent: V. Perry

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 394\2 S

Respondent: Keith Perry

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 395\2 S

Respondent: P.G.Cook

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 402\2 S

Respondent: L Fennelly

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 403\2 S

Respondent: S Jewell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 404\2 S

Respondent: S Fennelly

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 405\2 S

Respondent: Richard Sweet

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 406\2 S

Respondent: Janet Sweet

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 407\2 S

Respondent: Charles Luker

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 408\2 S

Respondent: P Walsh

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 409\2 S

Respondent: Angela Sims

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 410\2 S

Respondent: Bernard Sims

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 411\2 S

Respondent: Caroline Walsh

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 412\2 S

Respondent: Jason Clark

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 413\2 S

Respondent: Jessica Clark

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 414\2 S

Respondent: D J Clark

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 415\2 S

Respondent: B Clark

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 416\2 S

Respondent: James O'Connell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 417\2 S

Respondent: Penny O'Connell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 418\2 S

Respondent: J H Sobey

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 419\2 S

Respondent: J Dalton

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 420\2 S

Respondent: Alan Dalton

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 421\2 S

Respondent: Lisa Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 422\2 S

Respondent: A R Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 423\2 S

Respondent: Kevin Purnell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 424\2 S

Respondent: K Purnell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 425\2 S

Respondent: J Purnell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 426\2 S

Respondent: Harry Purnell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 427\2 S

Respondent: Matthew Wilkes

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 428\2 S

Respondent: Nathan Wilkes

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 429\2 S

Respondent: Adrian Wilkes

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 430\2 S

Respondent: Susan Wilkes

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 431\2 S

Respondent: Cherri Leando

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 432\2 S

Respondent: J Leando

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 433\2 S

Respondent: Selena Norfolk

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 434\2 S

Respondent: Elizabeth Cox

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 435\2 S

Respondent: Rachel Davis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 436\2 S

Respondent: Trevor Hicks

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 437\2 S

Respondent: Michelle Bane

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 438\2 S

Respondent: Colin Willis

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 439\2 S

Respondent: A M Willis

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 440\2 S

Respondent: Carol Carter

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 441\2 S

Respondent: Ruth Wilmot

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 442\2 S

Respondent: Royston Wilmot

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 443\2 S

Respondent: Marianne Britten

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 444\2 S

Respondent: Rosemary Gullis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 445\2 S

Respondent: L S Carter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 446\2 S

Respondent: Alfred Leonard

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 447\2 S

Respondent: June Leonard

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 448\2 S

Respondent: Jennifer Barker

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 449\2 S

Respondent: Acker Bilk

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 450\2 S

Respondent: Paul Bishop

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 451\2 S

Respondent: Mrs G Bishop

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 452\2 S

Respondent: Mr G Bishop

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 453\2 S

Respondent: Antony Godfrey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 454\2 S

Respondent: Tanya Mabbs-Godfrey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 455\2 S

Respondent: Steve Barker

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 456\2 S

Respondent: Jean Bilk

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 457\2 S

Respondent: Serena Bishop

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 458\2 S

Respondent: Nathan Mabbs-Godfrey

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 459\2 S

Respondent: Mrs N. R. Hill

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 460\2 S

Respondent: J Green

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 461\2 S

Respondent: David Green

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 462\2 S

Respondent: Dennis Baber

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 463\2 S

Respondent: Jane Barber

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 464\2 S

Respondent: Louisa Baber

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 465\2 S

Respondent: Robert Whiting

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 466\2 S

Respondent: W. J. Lacey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 467\2 S

Respondent: K. J. Lacey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 468\2 S

Respondent: Barbara Clarke

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 469\2 S

Respondent: B Davis

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 470\2 S

Respondent: Sylvia Davis

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 471\2 S

Respondent: Geoff Carpenter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 472\2 S

Respondent: Rachael Carpenter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 473\2 S

Respondent: J Carpenter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 474\2 S

Respondent: Gerald Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 475\2 S

Respondent: Susan Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 476\2 S

Respondent: D Milkins

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 477\2 S

Respondent: R Milkins

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 478\2 S

Respondent: Deborah Fletcher

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 479\2 S

Respondent: Andrew Fletcher

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 480\2 S

Respondent: Olive Jones

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 481\2 S

Respondent: Julie Filer

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 482\2 S

Respondent: Tony Filer

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 483\2 S

Respondent: Margaret Foxwell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 484\2 S

Respondent: David E. Foxwell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 485\2 S

Respondent: Brian Worlock

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 486\2 S

Respondent: Janet Worlock

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 487\2 S

Respondent: Allan Price

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 488\2 S

Respondent: Joyce Price

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 489\2 S

Respondent: A Bates

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 490\2 S

Respondent: J Bates

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 491\2 S

Respondent: E. P. Willington

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 492\2 S

Respondent: Mrs P. C. Tichborne

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 493\2 S

Respondent: F. G. Tichborne

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 494\2 S

Respondent: P Reynolds

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 495\2 S

Respondent: P Reynolds

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 496\2 S

Respondent: Richard Harrison

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 497\2 S

Respondent: Linda Harrison

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 498\2 S

Respondent: Mr David Lewis

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 499\2 S

Respondent: Mrs Tracey Lewis

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 500\2 S

Respondent: Colin Taylor

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 501\2 S

Respondent: Cheryl Taylor

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 502\2 S

Respondent: Patience Campbell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 503\2 S

Respondent: Michael Campbell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 504\2 S

Respondent: Jeremy Cox

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 505\2 S

Respondent: Hilary Cox

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 506\2 S

Respondent: Heather Davis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 507\2 S

Respondent: John Davis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 508\2 S

Respondent: Olivia Norfolk

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 509\2 S

Respondent: Andrew Ford

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 510\2 S

Respondent: Allan Peters

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 511\2 S

Respondent: James Peters

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 512\2 S

Respondent: J. Roach

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 513\2 S

Respondent: Peter Roach

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 514\2 S

Respondent: A Bishop

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 515\2 S

Respondent: T. E. Stokes

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 516\2 S

Respondent: T. J. Stokes

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 517\2 S

Respondent: W. J. Rose

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 518\2 S

Respondent: Tony Griffith

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 519\2 S

Respondent: Judith Griffith

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 520\2 S

Respondent: The Rev'd John W. Masding

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 521\2 S

Respondent: Margaret Masding

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 522\2 S

Respondent: J. G. Slade

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 523\2 S

Respondent: D. H. Slade

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 524\2 S

Respondent: Mrs B. J. Osborne

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 525\2 S

Respondent: Marina Norris

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 526\2 S

Respondent: Janet Russ

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 527\2 S

Respondent: Michael Russ

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 528\2 S

Respondent: Neil Davies

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 529\2 S

Respondent: Miguel Humblet

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 534\2 S

Respondent: Carolyn Brennan

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 535\2 S

Respondent: Melanie Crane

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 537\2 S

Respondent: Jonathan Crane

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 538\2 S

Respondent: F. Barton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 539\2 S

Respondent: K. E. Barton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 540\2 S

Respondent: Mrs B Jones

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 541\2 S

Respondent: Mr G Jones

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 542\2 S

Respondent: M. Norman

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 543\2 S

Respondent: Mary Clark

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 544\2 S

Respondent: T. Clark

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 545\2 S

Respondent: E. O'Regan

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 546\2 S

Respondent: G. O'Regan

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 547\2 S

Respondent: Sally Paul

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 548\2 S

Respondent: Cllr Peter Edwards

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 549\2 S

Respondent: Ann Edwards

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 550\2 S

Respondent: D. L. Pearce

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 551\2 S

Respondent: M. L. Pearce

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 552\2 S

Respondent: Peter Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 553\2 S

Respondent: J. R. Smith

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 554\2 S

Respondent: J. Payne

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 555\2 S

Respondent: Kim Payne

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 556\2 S

Respondent: Christine Saunders

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 557\2 S

Respondent: Barrie Saunders

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 558\2 S

Respondent: S J E Thomas

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 559\2 S

Respondent: Mary Thomas

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 560\2 S

Respondent: Denise Rhodes

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 561\2 S

Respondent: Philip Young

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 562\2 S

Respondent: C I Young

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 563\2 S

Respondent: P A Hill

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 564\2 S

Respondent: Janet Head

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 565\2 S

Respondent: N J Head

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 566\2 S

Respondent: Jennifer Skuse

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 567\2 S

Respondent: Anthony Skuse

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 568\2 S

Respondent: S D Matthews

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 569\2 S

Respondent: J Nethercott

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 570\2 S

Respondent: Joyce Williams

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 571\2 S

Respondent: Brian Ogborne

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 572\2 S

Respondent: Patricia Ogborne

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 573\2 S

Respondent: Kirstie Towe

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 574\2 S

Respondent: Nicholas Towe

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 575\2 S

Respondent: Paul Hammerenn

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 576\2 S

Respondent: Ann Summers

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 577\2 S

Respondent: Martyn Summers

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 578\2 S

Respondent: B L Hauser

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 579\2 S

Respondent: Mary Hauser

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 580\2 S

Respondent: Louise Western

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 581\2 S

Respondent: Craig Western

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 582\2 S

Respondent: R Tucker

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 583\2 S

Respondent: A Tucker

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 584\2 S

Respondent: J Bethell

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 585\2 S

Respondent: A Bethell

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 586\2 S

Respondent: Martin Parry

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 587\2 S

Respondent: Susan Parry

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 588\2 S

Respondent: Mrs N W Wiggins

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 589\2 S

Respondent: Ruth Osborne

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 590\2 S

Respondent: L G Osborne

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 591\2 S

Respondent: S Gunter-Phillips

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 592\2 S

Respondent: C Gunter-Phillips

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 593\2 S

Respondent: B Webb

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 594\2 S

Respondent: Keith Webb

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 595\2 S

Respondent: David Bennett

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 596\2 S

Respondent: M Bennett

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 597\2 S

Respondent: J P Howard

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 598\2 S

Respondent: P B Howard

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 599\2 S

Respondent: Avril Backwell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 600\2 S

Respondent: Alan Backwell

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 601\2 S

Respondent: Janet Wilmshurst

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 602\2 S

Respondent: L J Wilmshurst

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 603\2 S

Respondent: Margaret Stone

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 604\2 S

Respondent: Raymond Stone

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 605\2 S

Respondent: J A Phillips

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 606\2 S

Respondent: D Phillips

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 607\2 S

Respondent: L Tucker

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 608\2 S

Respondent: Jason Stevens

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 609\2 S

Respondent: Tracey Stevens

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 610\2 S

Respondent: Lorraine Coombes

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 611\2 S

Respondent: M A Greatbanks

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 612\2 S

Respondent: A E F Quinn

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 613\2 S

Respondent: L M Quinn

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 614\2 S

Respondent: M Subow

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 615\2 S

Respondent: Ceri Giles

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 616\2 S

Respondent: James Giles

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 617\2 S

Respondent: Darren Broomfield

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 618\2 S

Respondent: H C Broomfield

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 619\2 S

Respondent: Audrey Tovey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 620\2 S

Respondent: H A Greatbanks

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 621\2 S

Respondent: I P Greatbanks

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 622\2 S

Respondent: B J Gitsham

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 623\2 S

Respondent: C M Gitsham

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 624\2 S

Respondent: Audrey Cowley

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 625\2 S

Respondent: Audrey Ashton

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 626\2 S

Respondent: S P Spencer

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 627\2 S

Respondent: Jennifer Eaton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 628\2 S

Respondent: Richard Eaton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 629\2 S

Respondent: Richard Woodbridge

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 630\2 S

Respondent: Pamela Woodbridge

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 631\2 S

Respondent: R G Miles

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 632\2 S

Respondent: Ena Miles

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 633\2 S

Respondent: Jean Francis

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 634\2 S

Respondent: B Spragg

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 635\2 S

Respondent: David Ballinger

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 636\2 S

Respondent: Rosemary Ballinger

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 637\2 S

Respondent: D Finnemore

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 638\2 S

Respondent: Judith Finnemore

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 639\2 S

Respondent: C Carter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 640\2 S

Respondent: F J Carter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 641\2 S

Respondent: Mrs P J Melrose

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 642\2 S

Respondent: Caroline Stewart

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 643\2 S

Respondent: Janet Ogilvie

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 644\2 S

Respondent: S Rawlings

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 645\2 S

Respondent: Lizz Rawlings

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 646\2 S

Respondent: Roger Webster

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 647\2 S

Respondent: M Webster

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 648\2 S

Respondent: Peter Glirton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 649\2 S

Respondent: Gloria Glirton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 650\2 S

Respondent: V J Tattersall

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 651\2 S

Respondent: G A Tattersall

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 652\2 S

Respondent: Mrs J S Gwyther

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 653\2 S

Respondent: David Gwyther

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 654\2 S

Respondent: Mark Crane

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 655\2 S

Respondent: Sylvia Clements

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 656\2 S

Respondent: Julie Tooze

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 657\2 S

Respondent: Andrew Tooze

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 658\2 S

Respondent: Evelyn Read

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 659\2 S

Respondent: Gareth Read

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 660\2 S

Respondent: Jean Miles

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 661\2 S

Respondent: S Hucker

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 662\2 S

Respondent: A Hucker

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 663\2 S

Respondent: Mrs J M Jewell

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 664\2 S

Respondent: G M Daniel

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 665\2 S

Respondent: Nicholas Mansfield

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 667\2 S

Respondent: Jean Mansfield

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 668\2 S

Respondent: J Hampton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 669\2 S

Respondent: G Knighton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 670\2 S

Respondent: M Knighton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 671\2 S

Respondent: Paul Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 672\2 S

Respondent: Sandie-Jay Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 673\2 S

Respondent: Mrs N Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 674\2 S

Respondent: Shane Smith

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 675\2 S

Respondent: Rebecca Demytruk

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 676\2 S

Respondent: Justin Jones

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 677\2 S

Respondent: M J Squire

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 678\2 S

Respondent: Carol Squire

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 679\2 S

Respondent: P Hieron

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 680\2 S

Respondent: Rosemary Hieron

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 681\2 S

Respondent: J Stout

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 682\2 S

Respondent: Keith Stout

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 683\2 S

Respondent: Rosemary Davis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 684\2 S

Respondent: David Spear

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 685\2 S

Respondent: Bernard Coles

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 686\2 S

Respondent: Gillian Coles

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 687\2 S

Respondent: Harold Gully

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 688\2 S

Respondent: J E A Gully

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 689\2 S

Respondent: Lionel Webb

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 690\2 S

Respondent: Ann Webb

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 691\2 S

Respondent: Mrs Bernice Parsons

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 692\2 S

Respondent: Mr Keith Parsons

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 693\2 S

Respondent: S Gunton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 694\2 S

Respondent: Mrs J Gunton

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 695\2 S

Respondent: T K Banks

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 696\2 S

Respondent: S Banks

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 697\2 S

Respondent: Maureen Hawkins

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 698\2 S

Respondent: Roger Hawkins

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 699\2 S

Respondent: Angela Prescott

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 700\2 S

Respondent: Neil Prescott

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 701\2 S

Respondent: Lucinda Blurton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 702\2 S

Respondent: John Blurton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 703\2 S

Respondent: Mr R A Fricker

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 704\2 S

Respondent: Josephine Pike

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 705\2 S

Respondent: Derek Pike

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 706\2 S

Respondent: Brian Vowles

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 707\2 S

Respondent: Angela Vowles

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 708\2 S

Respondent: Peter Leavey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 709\2 S

Respondent: Mrs W D Green

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 710\2 S

Respondent: Mr M Green

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 711\2 S

Respondent: Mrs W D Green

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 712\2 S

Respondent: Julie Williams

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 713\2 S

Respondent: Michael Williams

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 714\2 S

Respondent: Mrs Y J Daniell

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 715\2 S

Respondent: John Frape

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 716\2 S

Respondent: Janet Frape

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 717\2 S

Respondent: Terrence Gerrish

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 718\2 S

Respondent: June Gerrish

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 719\2 S

Respondent: Mr P R James

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 720\2 S

Respondent: R H Bamford

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 721\2 S

Respondent: Janet Harding

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 722\2 S

Respondent: Beverley Harding

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 723\2 S

Respondent: Shirley Britton

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 724\2 S

Respondent: Walter Britton

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 725\2 S

Respondent: G Ridley

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 726\2 S

Respondent: M Ridley

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 727\2 S

Respondent: Patricia Martin

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 728\2 S

Respondent: Allan Martin

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 729\2 S

Respondent: Pearl Meredith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 730\2 S

Respondent: A T Broad

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 731\2 S

Respondent: B A Leach

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 732\2 S

Respondent: Mrs M J Thomas

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 733\2 S

Respondent: S W Thomas

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 734\2 S

Respondent: A Markey

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 735\2 S

Respondent: Christopher Markey

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 736\2 S

Respondent: G E Allward

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 737\2 S

Respondent: C J Allward

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 738\2 S

Respondent: T J Allward

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 739\2 S

Respondent: C Allward

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 740\2 S

Respondent: Paul Ollis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 741\2 S

Respondent: A Ollis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 742\2 S

Respondent: P Gardiner

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 743\2 S

Respondent: G R Gardiner

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 744\2 S

Respondent: G Foster

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 745\2 S

Respondent: A Francomb

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 746\2 S

Respondent: Mrs A J Francomb

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 747\2 S

Respondent: David Meredith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 748\2 S

Respondent: J Meredith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 749\2 S

Respondent: Gwendoline Hill

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 750\2 S

Respondent: A White

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 751\2 S

Respondent: John Winter

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 752\2 S

Respondent: Frank Evey

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 753\2 S

Respondent: M D Coleman

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 754\2 S

Respondent: J H Coleman

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 755\2 S

Respondent: Mrs P A Barrett

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 756\2 S

Respondent: Mr G A Barrett

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 757\2 S

Respondent: B E Rosenthal

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 758\2 S

Respondent: John Rosenthal

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 759\2 S

Respondent: Stephen Johnson

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 760\2 S

Respondent: Judith Johnson

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 761\2 S

Respondent: Frank Lewis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 762\2 S

Respondent: Margaret Lewis

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 763\2 S

Respondent: Leonard Wills

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 764\2 S

Respondent: Linda Wills

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 765\2 S

Respondent: Sharon Bryant

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 766\2 S

Respondent: Peter Bryant

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 767\2 S

Respondent: Gary Sweet

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 768\2 S

Respondent: Fiona Sweet

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 769\2 S

Respondent: Fiona Britten

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 770\2 S

Respondent: Lee Smith

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 771\2 S

Respondent: Vicki Ephgrave

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 772\2 S

Respondent: Paul Feltham

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 773\2 S

Respondent: Vicky Feltham

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 774\2 S

Respondent: Nancy Mathias

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 775\2 S

Respondent: Neal Mathias

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 776\2 S

Respondent: M D Shortman

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 777\2 S

Respondent: P D Shortman

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 778\2 S

Respondent: Bernard Coombs

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 779\2 S

Respondent: Beryl Coombs

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 780\2 S

Respondent: Jane Hewitt

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 781\2 S

Respondent: Martin Hewitt

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 782\2 S

Respondent: Margaret Barnes

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 783\2 S

Respondent: R Tippins

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 784\2 S

Respondent: Sherry Robins

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 785\2 S

Respondent: Rosemary Pond

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 786\2 S

Respondent: James Pond

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 787\2 S

Respondent: M J Parsons

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 788\2 S

Respondent: J A Parsons

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 789\2 S

Respondent: G Hall-Kenny

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 790\2 S

Respondent: Paul Moylett

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 791\2 S

Respondent: Mrs B Moylett

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 793\2 S

Respondent: Lorraine Davies

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 794\2 S

Respondent: Alison Davies

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 795\2 S

Respondent: Ruth Wear

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 796\2 S

Respondent: John Wear

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 797\2 S

Respondent: Sarah Sheenhan

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 798\2 S

Respondent: Kerry Sheenhan

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 799\2 S

Respondent: L M Johnson

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 800\2 S

Respondent: A L Johnson

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 801\2 S

Respondent: A Lindley

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 802\2 S

Respondent: G Lindley

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 803\2 S

Respondent: Alan York

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 804\2 S

Respondent: D D Lugiewicz-York

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 805\2 S

Respondent: A Cooper

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 806\2 S

Respondent: S Cooper

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 807\2 S

Respondent: J Blackwell

Representation (soundness): Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 808\2 S

Respondent: Susan Rosolek

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 809\2 S

Respondent: Enrico Rosolek

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 810\2 S

Respondent: Paul Britten

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 811\2 S

Respondent: Jill Britten

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 812\2 S

Respondent: S Penfold

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 813\2 S

Respondent: John Lane

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 814\2 S

Respondent: Angela Lane

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 815\2 S

Respondent: Cologero Santilippo

Representation Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with
(soundness): small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

**Plan Reference: Policy RA2: Development in the Villages outside the Green Belt not meeting Policy RA1
Criteria**

Reference: 49\1 S

Respondent: Clutton Parish Council

Representation "This council, having considered the settlement designations in the Core Strategy proposed by B&NES
(soundness): Council and the data on which they are based, supports the designation of Clutton as an RA2
Settlement."

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 112\1 S

Respondent: Miss Elise Halford

Representation Clutton should remain as R2 category village since there is limited provision of and accessibility to local
(soundness): facilities, service and employment

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 118\3 S

Respondent: Mrs J. Tinworth

Representation Existing Villages, etc. small scale infilling with new homes
(soundness):

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 126\2 S

Respondent: D.M. Phillips

Representation In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing (soundness): neighbourhoods.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 127\2 S

Respondent: Lady Rosemary Thompson

Representation In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing (soundness): neighbourhoods.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 128\2 S

Respondent: Ms Margaret Dunn

Representation In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing (soundness): neighbourhoods.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 134\2 S

Respondent: Mr and Mrs May

Representation In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing neighbourhoods (soundness):

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 135\2

Respondent: John and Sheila Deuch

Representation In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing neighbourhoods (soundness):

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 136\2 S

Respondent: Mrs B. Hobbs

Representation (soundness): In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing neighbourhoods

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 141\1 S

Respondent: Mr Keith Bates

Representation (soundness):

Change sought to make sound: None

Representation (legal compliance): Legally Compliant - I support the Core Strategy and the proposal to give Clutton RA2 status within it. I do not consider that Clutton offers sufficient local facilities or infrastructure to support extensive further housing development.

As an RA2 site, Clutton remains a potential site for future identified social housing requirements which can be met through the use of small brown field site developments within the existing housing development boundary and that would be of a suitable scale and character

Change sought to make legally compliant: None

Reference: 145\1 S

Respondent: Mrs Saskia Jackson

Representation (soundness): I believe that the information as set out in the draft core strategy shows consideration and understanding of local circumstances.

My comment refers in particular to the classification of Clutton Village.

I believe that the village is unsuitable for significant housing development due to the existing infrastructure (eg sewerage) , facilities (school, amenities) and traffic / transport (traffic volume, lack of footpaths, lack of public transport links)

Where moderate development is being proposed within the village boundaries this should take place on brownfield sites

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 149\2 S

Respondent: Jean

Representation (soundness): In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing neighbourhoods

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 150\2 S

Respondent: Mr and Mrs Awebb

Representation In particular I support and find sound: small scale infilling of new houses within existing neighbourhoods
(soundness):

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 155\2 S

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Press

Representation I wish to support this policy and the limit of development for RA2 village to those areas within the
(soundness): existing housing development boundary. Coincident with this I support the existing local plan map for CLUTTON and the retention along the current boundaries of the Housing Development Boundary.

**Change sought to
make sound:** None –Support Strategy

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 232\3

Respondent: Compton Martin Parish Council

Representation If individual plots are available outside of the village fence of R2 villages these should be considered by
(soundness): the planning sub-committee and not a referendum.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 260\2

Respondent: Sawyer Associates Limited

Representation Policies RA1/RA2

(soundness): 9.4 Clutton is currently designated as an indicative RA2 village in the draft Core Strategy. However, we contend that the village's correct indicative designation is as an RA1 village on the following grounds: The community facilities audit of villages understates the community facilities and grossly understates the local employment activity. These facilities have been carefully reviewed and presented in section 6 of this report, and additional information on employment sites and businesses within the village is contained in Appendix B. We consider that Clutton meets the community facilities and transport criteria for inclusion in Policy RA1.

The Parish Plan, an informal community consultation and the preliminary results of the Ward Councillor's survey of the Parish, reveal substantial support for additional housing development, provided that it meets the housing needs of the village. The majority of those stating that the village requires no further housing development are residents of homes adjacent to or very close to the land that we are promoting for allocation as part of the Core Strategy process.

9.5 In 5.17 on page 96, the housing and employment aims are expressed as;

"A number of villages have been identified where:

- access to facilities and public transport is best
- there is capacity for development
- there is community support for some small scale development"

These villages are to be the focus for new small scale development under policy RA1. Community support is demonstrated by the views of the Parish Council as the locally elected representative of

those communities.”

9.6 These principles are expressed in Policy RA1 which sets three criteria for the policy to be applicable to a settlement in the rural areas.

- a at least 3 of the following key facilities within the village: post office, school, community meeting place and convenience shop, and
- b at least a daily Monday-Saturday public transport service to main centres, and
- c local community support for the principle of development can be demonstrated.

Criterion a

9.7 Clutton meets the criterion as stated above and arguably exceeds them in the light of the more extensive list of facilities detailed in section 6 of this statement. While the shopping facilities within the settlement are not sufficient to supply all household needs, as evidenced by the support for a community shop detailed in the Ward Councillor’s survey, there are nonetheless four retail premises within the village (post office and some retail goods, a butchers, hairdressers and one unit in Venus lane that appears to be vacant at present).

9.8 In addition Clutton has more facilities, particularly employment activity than those detailed in the Parish audit of facilities that is part of BANES data on the village (see section 6 of this submission and Appendix B). This demonstrates that the formulation of Policy RA1, as currently drafted, is not based on reliable evidence.

Criterion b

9.9 Clutton meets the criterion as stated, with direct daily Monday to Saturday bus services to Bristol and Radstock, and an indirect service to Bath. The need for a direct bus service to Bath was commented on by a number of residents in the Ward Councillor’s survey, at the Drop In and in the Parish Plan.

Criterion c

9.10 It is considered that the Core Strategy is unsound with regard to criterion c, which is clarified on 5.17 on page 96 as being based on the views of the local parish council as the locally elected representative of the community.

9.11 In the case of Clutton, the Parish Council voted eleven ‘for’ to one ‘abstention’ in favour of Clutton remaining within RA2 is demonstrably unrepresentative of the community in Clutton as demonstrated by the recent village drop-in, which recorded 45 responses in favour of additional housing, with 31 opposed of the 92 forms returned. Additionally the Ward Councillor’s survey of the parish, reveal that of the responses received to date and published in his interim results 91 households are in favour of additional housing in the village and 89 against, out of the 194 forms reported as being received. This represents an overall response rate to date of 30.6%.

9.12 The village survey conducted as part of the Parish Plan, elicited responses (verbal and written) from approximately 5% of households, suggesting 32 responses were received. Even on the basis of such a low response, desired outcomes listed on page 32 of the plan include; starter homes available for first time buyers, local village people given the opportunity to stay in the village and additional housing to enable elderly people to stay in the village. The Parish Plan was compiled by a committee entirely comprised of Parish Council members and its status as a representative document is clearly open to question.

9.13 In the light of the fact that the members of the Parish Council were ‘deemed elected’ having been co-opted, rather than being elected by a democratic Parish Council election, the status of the PC’s representations to the emerging Core Strategy ‘as the locally elected representative of those communities’ (Core Strategy para 5.17 on page 96) must be called into question, particularly in the light of the responses to the community consultations.

9.14 In the context of the foregoing it is contended that the criteria for ‘local community support’, as set out in criterion c of policy RA1, is to be justifiable and representative, then it needs to be amended

to allow for other evidence of community support in addition to the views of the Parish Council alone. In the light of the forthcoming enactment of the Localism Bill this assumes particular importance. In the absence of such an amendment the Core Strategy for the Rural Areas it is considered to be unsound, and could be open to challenge.

9.15 In the context of Policy DW1 (affordable housing provision target in BANES), and policies RA1, RA2, and RA4, it is contended that new green field sites (outside the Green Belt) must be allocated for development in the BANES rural area to create sufficient economies of scale for the open market housing element to cross subsidise the provision of affordable housing. Land within the existing settlement boundaries cannot be relied upon to deliver the required quantum affordable housing as typically sites within villages are too small, and have higher base land values.

Change sought to make sound: Revise policies RA1, RA2 and RA4 and review the evidence base for d and DW1 housing targets to reflect more realistic growth and inward migration assumptions.

- Representation (legal compliance):**
1. Not Justified: In terms of the evidence base relating to the housing requirement for the district 1d and DW1.
 2. The evidence base regarding facilities within villages and therefore their suitability for additional housing development is unsound in relation to policies RA1, RA2 and RA4.
 3. Policy RA1 criterion c, and the reliance placed on the views of Parish Councillors is unsound, unrepresentative and will not comply with the requirements of the forthcoming Localism Act.
 4. Not consistent with National Policy; PPS3 and PPS12 (deliverability and flexibility)
 5. The above mentioned policies are not going to meet the requirement for additional affordable housing in the rural areas, particularly for younger families and local people.

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 278\10

Respondent: Cotswolds Conservation Board

Representation (soundness): The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for “development in the villages not meeting Policy RA1 criteria” as set out in Policy RA2

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for “development in the villages not meeting Policy RA1 criteria” as set out in Policy RA2

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.26

Reference: 279\8

Respondent: English Heritage

Representation (soundness): Protection and enhancement of social infrastructure

Components of social infrastructure such as schools, libraries and other community focused buildings are also often significant heritage assets. Their value to local communities should be recognised and their continued use, and where necessary, their sensitive refurbishment could be advocated, at point 5.26 for example, in order to continue, where permitting, their community and social function.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Policy RA3: Community Facilities and Shops

Reference: 264\12

Respondent: Englishcombe Parish Council

Representation (soundness): This Parish Council supports Policy RA3 in respect of Community Facilities and Shops.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): This Parish Council supports Policy RA3 in respect of Community Facilities and Shops

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 278\11 S

Respondent: Cotswolds Conservation Board

Representation (soundness): The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for "Community facilities and shops" as set out in Policy RA3.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for "Community facilities and shops" as set out in Policy RA3.

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Policy RA4: Rural Exception Sites

Reference: 85\2

Respondent: Mr Anthony Acton

Representation (soundness): I hope the need for more affordable housing, on a scale proportionate to the size of the existing communities, will if possible be given greater recognition. In a small village like Corston, the provision of say a dozen new affordable homes would be very beneficial for our local community. We recently had a very sad case where Somer evicted a very local family from their (deceased) mother's house. Families like that are part of our community and it would be good if they continue to live here.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 117\3

Respondent: Priston Parish Council

Representation (soundness): Rural Exceptions Sites. It is essential that any exceptions must only result from an exhaustive housing needs survey and that occupancy is only available to individuals with very local connections. We do not consider market housing to be appropriate under these circumstances.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 162\8 S

Respondent: Batheaston Parish Council

Representation While we welcome Policy RA4 it appears to us that that the physical nature of the surrounding terrain
(soundness): and landscape quality constraints may limit its applicability to Batheaston where there is unallocated land within the existing village housing development boundary

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 188\6 S

Respondent: Freshford Parish Council

Representation We wish to register particular, priority, support, with comment where necessary for:
(soundness): 6. Policy RA4. Affordable housing.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 223\1

Respondent: Transition Bath Food Group

Representation As a result of climate change and the escalating monetary and environmental costs of transportation,
(soundness): the need for local food production will increase and there will be greater demand for accommodation of agricultural workers. (The absence of affordable local housing is already a deterrent to attracting people to work on the land.)

Reference is made in para 5.29 to the Government's as yet undeveloped 'Home on the Farm' scheme, to turn farm buildings into affordable housing. However, the National Farmers Union planning adviser is quoted as saying: "The Home on the Farm scheme is an excellent opportunity for farmers who want to use their outbuildings for a constructive purpose. It should also sustain the rural economy by providing homes for those working in it. (Our italics).
<http://www.communities.gov.uk/newsstories/housing/1743381> accessed 30.01.11]

The arguments for retention of agricultural buildings are made in paragraph 5.36 which appears to be disconnected from both para 5.29 and Policy RA4.

Change sought to make sound: The following two changes are therefore required to make the Core Strategy sound:

- i. The sentence in paragraph 5.29 which starts "There may also be opportunities" should be amended to read: "If there are farm buildings which are not required for local food production, there may also be opportunities"
- ii. Policy RA4, Rural Exceptions Sites, Subpara 'c' should be amended.
C. occupancy of the affordable housing would remain, as a first priority, for agricultural workers and then for those with demonstrated local connections.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 232\4 S

Respondent: Compton Martin Parish Council

Representation Referendum to apply to developments to include social construction.
(soundness):

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 260\4

Respondent: Sawyer Associates Limited

Representation (soundness): 9.15 In the context of Policy DW1 (affordable housing provision target in BANES), and policies RA1, RA2, and RA4, it is contended that new green field sites (outside the Green Belt) must be allocated for development in the BANES rural area to create sufficient economies of scale for the open market housing element to cross subsidise the provision of affordable housing. Land within the existing settlement boundaries cannot be relied upon to deliver the required quantum affordable housing as typically sites within villages are too small, and have higher base land values.

The above mentioned policies are not going to meet the requirement for additional affordable housing in the rural areas, particularly for younger families and local people.

Change sought to make sound: Revise policies RA1, RA2 and RA4 and review the evidence base for d and DW1 housing targets to reflect more realistic growth and inward migration assumptions.

Representation (legal compliance):

1. Not Justified: In terms of the evidence base relating to the housing requirement for the district 1d and DW1.
2. The evidence base regarding facilities within villages and therefore their suitability for additional housing development is unsound in relation to policies RA1, RA2 and RA4.
3. Policy RA1 criterion c, and the reliance placed on the views of Parish Councillors is unsound, unrepresentative and will not comply with the requirements of the forthcoming Localism Act.
4. Not consistent with National Policy; PPS3 and PPS12 (deliverability and flexibility)
5. The above mentioned policies are not going to meet the requirement for additional affordable housing in the rural areas, particularly for younger families and local people.

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 264\13

Respondent: Englishcombe Parish Council

Representation (soundness): This Parish Council, whilst fully supporting the aims and objectives in RA4, has strong reservations in respect of the last sentence of 5.29 which refers to Community Right to Build.

Government Legislation in respect of Community Right to Build is at the present time far from clear and we feel that inclusion in the Core Strategy, as a firm policy, is premature and unwise.

Change sought to make sound: Say that B&NES will consider bringing this forward once the CRB legislation is clearer.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 278\8 S

Respondent: Cotswolds Conservation Board

Representation (soundness): The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for "Rural Exceptions Sites" as set out in Policy RA4.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance): The Cotswolds Conservation Board supports the policy for "Rural Exceptions Sites" as set out in Policy RA4.

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 300\9

Respondent: Somer Housing

Representation Policy RA4 – Rural Exceptions Sites

(soundness): 5.4 We strongly support this policy, which acknowledges the difficulties of providing rural exception schemes in an era where there is little to no public subsidy available for these projects. We still advocate the Council listing the settlements which this policy would apply to, for the purposes of clarity. We suggest that, given the scale of need for affordable housing and the proposed lack of supply, the Council should consider widening the criteria for which settlements this is applied to. Exception style developments permitted at appeal elsewhere across England include on the edge of settlements as large as Chesterfield in Derbyshire. Widening of the criteria for exception style policies could therefore deliver a significant number of additional affordable homes throughout the District.

5.5 Furthermore, we do not consider that it is necessary to specify that the policy only applies to small sites. This might suggest only sites of less than 10 units. However, given the importance of rural exception sites in the future to deliver the shortfall of rural housing, this would appear unnecessary when the Parish Needs Surveys suggest that developments much larger than this are required. The policy already contains what should be the ultimate constraint, whether the development is 'in scale and keeping with the form and character of its location'.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 301\4

Respondent: South West RP Planning Consortium

Representation Policy RA4

(soundness): We strongly support this policy which acknowledges the need for cross-subsidy for rural exception schemes without public subsidy. Lack of public subsidy is a very significant issue for our members when delivering their own schemes and we are pleased to see the Council is putting policies in place to deal with this. However, a flexible approach will be required on all types of schemes delivered by housing associations in the current climate, not just rural exception schemes.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 819\1

Respondent: Paulton Parish Council

Representation Whilst this policy is not particularly relevant to Paulton as it deals with residential developments with

(soundness): 100% affordable housing clause 5.33 states "that occupancy of the development should remain for people with local connections", and it concludes, "Prioritisation of local connections will be agreed within the s.106 agreement, but adds, "and in accordance with housing letting guidance" It is my understanding that affordable/social housing occupancy is decided much as it was at the time of council housing, on a points scheme, therefore it follows that local people do not get a preference over anyone else in the district.

Change sought to make sound: I am of the opinion that this requires amendment, to give those with local connections a higher priority over people from out-side the village.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.35

Reference: 232\1 S

Respondent: Compton Martin Parish Council

Representation (soundness): Encourage small business through the live and work developments.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 291\15

Respondent: Stubbs Rich LLP

Representation (soundness): Rural regeneration

In tandem with the risk to skilled and semi-skilled industrial employment, lies the long-term trend to impoverished rural employment. I support policies which seek to reduce this trend.

In particular, the low carbon agenda may increase the demand on rural areas to provide labour and development of sustainable energy generation (biogas, incineration, AD, algae). At the moment, British farming is labour efficient but land inefficient. If, as we anticipate, fuel crops become an important even vital component of sustainable energy, yields per hectare must increase and hopefully employment will increase to suit.

I recommend that the rural policies are reviewed to ensure that the kind of wholly positive regeneration of rural communities is not restrained by anachronistic policies designed to prevent the current and less desirable gentrification of rural areas.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.36

Reference: 73\2 S

Respondent: Newton St Loe Conservation Group

Representation (soundness): With regard to agriculture it is predicted that agricultural production for local markets will become increasingly important as energy prices rise and globalised food supplies are disrupted by changing weather patterns. Farming in the rural areas will have an important role to play in supporting food security and as such, local farm shops, local food production and small scale farm developments will be supported.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 106\2

Respondent: Pro Planning

Representation Paragraph 5.36 of the Core Strategy describes suitable circumstances for farm diversification and the **(soundness):** implications for conversion or replacement of currently underused agricultural buildings. The Policy introduced a test that the change of use should only take place:
“If it can be demonstrated that these buildings are not required for local food production purposes.”

The need to demonstrate redundancy of agricultural barns is a new test compared with the BANES Local Plan. Yet paragraph 5.41 states that proposals for the reuse of rural buildings will be considered against Local Plan Policy ET.9. Policy ET.9 does not however, include this test of redundancy. There is therefore an inconsistency between the draft Core Strategy and the Local Plan policy from which it purports to be derived. Furthermore, the test is not just to demonstrate redundancy within the agricultural unit concerned, but more generally in the local area. This is a very onerous test and is not considered to derive from government Policy PPS7 for sustainable development in the countryside either. The newly introduced requirement makes no allowance for the fact that many traditional agricultural buildings are unsuited for modern agricultural practices so that even with an existing farm unit, new agricultural buildings can be required, whilst traditional buildings are redundant. This new restriction on barn conversion policies for reuse proposals of benefit to the local economy is considered to be counterproductive to the objectives of the Local Plan to support the local economy of rural areas.

Change sought to make sound:

- Delete the reference in paragraph 5.36: “Therefore, conversion or replacement of currently underused agricultural buildings should only take place if it can be demonstrated that these buildings are not required for local good production purposes.”
- Paragraph 5.38 delete: “(where they are not needed for agriculture)”
- Delete any other references in the Core Strategy which would have the same unduly restrictive policy effect.

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 208\8 S

Respondent: Brian C Tanner

Representation Both my wife and I are resident in Bath and North East Somerset and we are writing to register out **(soundness):** joint support for the revised Draft Core Strategy which is currently under discussion.

This is Indeed an important document and the forward confirms that this will enable the Council to shape the physical change within the Bath and North East Somerset Council. The Draft Core Strategy provides the strategic policy to guide the change for the development and the use of the available land for the next 15 years until the year 2026

In particular I commend the Strategic Document for the following proposals:

8.0 I was pleased to note that you propose full support for local farming and full protection of the flood production chain.

I trust that these comments are helpful in your deliberations.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.38

Reference: 117\4

Respondent: Priston Parish Council

Representation As it is acknowledged that broadband infrastructure is generally of “unacceptably low quality it is **(soundness):** essential that B&NES robustly exerts pressure “on service providers and continues to do so until wide

ranging improvements are made. Small businesses and the self-employed will not flourish until this is achieved.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 118\5 S

Respondent: Mrs J. Tinworth

Representation In view of expectant food shortages in a few years, no reduction in areas of farmland already in use for
(soundness): food production

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.43

Reference: 157\1

Respondent: Chew Stoke Parish Council

Representation Our concern is about the effectiveness of the strategy for rural areas in terms of infrastructure and
(soundness): delivery.

The Core Strategy states that it aims to improve the rural economy and maintain and enhance community facilities in rural areas (Policy RA3).

However, the Core Strategy document also identifies poor access to public transport as a major issue in rural areas. Section 5C talks grandly about key transport infrastructure improvements and the need for people and villages to be well connected. However, there is no real detail or even a solid statement of intent that public transport serving the rural areas is even being looked at beyond the Greater Bristol Bus Network Improvements (which will do very little to help most rural areas). The reality for rural areas over the last 10 years has been an ever-reducing bus service making it impossible to make most “normal” journeys without using a car. This lack of public transport creates barriers to getting access to services and facilities – and, as ever, those who suffer most are the young people, the elderly and those who cannot afford their own car. The document talks about better links to Bristol and Bath from the rural “hub” villages but, even if this does happen, this seems to have the potential to leave the rest of the rural areas even more isolated. Ad hoc community travel arrangements do not give the assurance of a regular service to those in rural areas and this means that they are not used as fully as they might be and the isolation continues – a public bus service is the only correct and fair answer for rural areas, even though it will have to be heavily subsidised. The rural areas deserve this as a minimum.

Section 5C also makes vague and weak comments about broadband in rural areas and partnership working to improve access to rural services. If rural areas are to thrive in terms of business use, fast broadband is essential and we are disappointed at the lack of progress here.

Generally, in the rural areas, there is a perception that those who make the decisions for B&NES in Bath take little note of the problems for rural areas and policies are very “Bath-centric”. It is felt that those in the built up areas love to visit the beautiful rural areas at weekends, but fail to understand the problems with lack of transport, lack of facilities and lack of investment in rural areas. With current cut-backs it would seem that the problems are only going to get worse.

Finally, with rural areas being so dependent on the car for access to services and facilities, it may be hard for the area to achieve some of the other strategic objectives, such as climate change targets and

proposals to reduce reliance on car travel.

Change sought to make sound: We feel that, for rural areas at least, B&NES needs to have a clear intent to review the rural public transport infrastructure and to invest in it and also to have a clearer vision and intent in terms of technology such as faster broadband (although the delivery of improvements is reliant upon service providers, there is much that B&NES can do).

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 307\2

Respondent: SWAN

Representation (soundness): Reference is made to key transport infrastructure improvements serving rural areas this is not technically correct. The services run through rural areas but unless residents are within 5 minutes walk or ½ km of a bus stop on the service there will be little improvement to their experience of the transport infrastructure

Change sought to make sound: Acknowledge that public transport infrastructure in rural areas can be met in many different ways and that the strategy will enable conditions for these schemes to be developed

This will then link in with the statement 6.98

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.44

Reference: 407\4 S

Respondent: Charles Luker

Representation (soundness): I am pleased to see that land identified in the Local Plan of Whitchurch for the purposes of a potential viillage bypass will remain safeguarded.

Change sought to make sound:

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.45

Reference: 151\1

Respondent: Dunkerton Parish Council

Representation (soundness): While Dunkerton Parish Council believes the Core Strategy to be legally compliant and sound we have some comments on the Rural Areas section of the Strategy. In considering the factors that will drive growth in the rural economy, Dunkerton Parish Council believes that the draft significantly overplays the potential of employment in renewables (biomass, hydro etc). We see little evidence of, or scope for, such expansion in the Cam Valley and particularly in our Parish. Conversely, we believe that the significance of extant, relatively poor rural broadband coverage is underplayed. We feel it is unadventurous and cautious that the draft simply "recognise" that rural coverage is patchy. In developing the strategy, B&NES takes a properly energetic and proactive line in the way it proposes engagements with public transport providers; a similarly focused strategic line needs to be developed to engage with broadband providers, to enable homeworkers and small rural businesses to market their services and products.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 152\4

Respondent: Corston Parish Council

Representation (soundness): 1E Infrastructure. We are concerned that there is no comment on the provision and improvement to deliver an acceptable level of Broad Band IT services, particularly in rural areas This is an important matter and should be added to the Draft Core Strategy.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Reference: 264\8

Respondent: Englishcombe Parish Council

Representation (soundness): As in many Parishes in the Rural Area, Englishcombe has a proportion of people either working from home all the time, or, rather than travelling to London or other major towns/cities, working from home one or two days a week.

This is hampered by slow and unreliable Broadband connection (my current speed is 0.65 Mbs); we therefore strongly support the Council in their efforts to provide substantially improved speed and reliability

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant:

Plan Reference: Paragraph 5.46

Reference: 188\8 S

Respondent: Freshford Parish Council

Representation (soundness): For rural areas Item 5.46 is strongly supported.

**Change sought to
make sound:**

Representation (legal compliance):

Change sought to make legally compliant: