

# Bath and North East Somerset Council Draft Core Strategy

## REPRESENTATIONS BY RESPONDENT

Bath and North East Somerset Council - Draft Core Strategy - Representations by Respondent (Numbers 501 to 600)

Respondent Number: 501 Respondent: Cheryl Taylor

**RepresentationReference:** 501\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 501\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 501\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 502 Respondent: Patience Campbell

---

**RepresentationReference:** 502\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 502\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 502\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 503 **Respondent:** Michael Campbell

---

**RepresentationReference:** 503\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 503\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 503\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 504 **Respondent:** Jeremy Cox

---

**RepresentationReference:** 504\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 504\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 504\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 505 **Respondent:** Hilary Cox

---

**RepresentationReference:** 505\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 505\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 505\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 506 **Respondent:** Heather Davis

---

**RepresentationReference:** 506\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 506\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 506\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 507 **Respondent:** John Davis

---

**RepresentationReference:** 507\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 507\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 507\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 508 **Respondent:** Olivia Norfolk

---

**RepresentationReference:** 508\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the



County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 508\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 508\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 509 **Respondent:** Andrew Ford

---

**RepresentationReference:** 509\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 509\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 509\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 510 **Respondent:** Allan Peters

---

**RepresentationReference:** 510\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 510\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 510\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 511 **Respondent:** James Peters

**RepresentationReference:** 511\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 511\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 511\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 512 Respondent: J. Roach

---

**RepresentationReference:** 512\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 512\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 512\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 513 Respondent: Peter Roach

---

**RepresentationReference:** 513\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 513\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 513\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 514 **Respondent:** A Bishop

---

**RepresentationReference:** 514\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 514\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 514\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 515 **Respondent:** T. E. Stokes

---

**RepresentationReference:** 515\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 515\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.



Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 515\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 516 **Respondent:** T. J. Stokes

---

**RepresentationReference:** 516\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 516\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 516\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 517 **Respondent:** W. J. Rose

---

**RepresentationReference:** 517\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 517\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 517\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 518 **Respondent:** Tony Griffith

---

**RepresentationReference:** 518\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference: 518\2 S**

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference: 518\3 S**

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 519 **Respondent:** Judith Griffith

---

**RepresentationReference: 519\1 S**

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 519\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 519\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 520 **Respondent:** The Rev'd John W. Masding

---

**RepresentationReference:** 520\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 520\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 520\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 521 **Respondent:** Margaret Masding

---

**RepresentationReference:** 521\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

---

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 521\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 521\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 522 **Respondent:** J. G. Slade

**RepresentationReference:** 522\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 522\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 522\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**



**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 523 Respondent: D. H. Slade

---

**RepresentationReference:** 523\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 523\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 523\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 524 Respondent: Mrs B. J. Osborne

---

**RepresentationReference:** 524\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 524\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 524\3 S

---

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number:	525	Respondent: Marina Norris
--------------------	-----	---------------------------

**RepresentationReference:** 525\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 525\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 525\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 526 **Respondent:** Janet Russ

---

**RepresentationReference:** 526\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 526\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 526\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 527 **Respondent:** Michael Russ

---

**RepresentationReference:** 527\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 527\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 527\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 528 **Respondent:** Neil Davies

---

**RepresentationReference:** 528\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 528\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 528\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 529 **Respondent:** Miguel Humblet

---

**RepresentationReference:** 529\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 529\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 529\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 534 **Respondent:** Carolyn Brennan

---

**RepresentationReference:** 534\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the



County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 534\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 534\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 535 **Respondent:** Melanie Crane

---

**RepresentationReference:** 535\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 535\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 535\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 537 **Respondent:** Jonathan Crane

---

**RepresentationReference:** 537\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

---

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 537\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 537\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 538 **Respondent:** F. Barton

**RepresentationReference:** 538\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 538\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 538\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 539 Respondent: K. E. Barton

---

**RepresentationReference:** 539\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 539\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 539\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 540 Respondent: Mrs B Jones

---

**RepresentationReference:** 540\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 540\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 540\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 541 **Respondent:** Mr G Jones

**RepresentationReference:** 541\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 541\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 541\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 542 **Respondent:** M. Norman

---

**RepresentationReference:** 542\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 542\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.



Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 542\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 543 **Respondent:** Mary Clark

---

**RepresentationReference:** 543\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 543\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 543\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 544 **Respondent:** T. Clark

---

**RepresentationReference:** 544\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 544\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 544\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 545 **Respondent:** E. O'Regan

---

**RepresentationReference:** 545\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 545\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 545\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 546 **Respondent:** G. O'Regan

---

**RepresentationReference:** 546\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 546\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 546\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 547 **Respondent:** Sally Paul

---

**RepresentationReference:** 547\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 547\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 547\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 548 **Respondent:** Cllr Peter Edwards

---

**RepresentationReference:** 548\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 548\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 548\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 549 **Respondent:** Ann Edwards

**RepresentationReference:** 549\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 549\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 549\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**



**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 550 Respondent: D. L. Pearce

---

**RepresentationReference:** 550\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 550\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 550\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 551 Respondent: M. L. Pearce

---

**RepresentationReference:** 551\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 551\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 551\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 552 **Respondent:** Peter Smith

---

**RepresentationReference:** 552\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 552\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 552\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 553 **Respondent:** J. R. Smith

---

**RepresentationReference:** 553\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 553\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 553\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 554 **Respondent:** J. Payne

---

**RepresentationReference:** 554\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 554\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 554\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 555 **Respondent:** Kim Payne

---

**RepresentationReference:** 555\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 555\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 555\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 556 **Respondent:** Christine Saunders

---

**RepresentationReference:** 556\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 556\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 556\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 557 **Respondent:** Barrie Saunders

---

**RepresentationReference:** 557\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the



County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 557\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 557\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 558 **Respondent:** S J E Thomas

---

**RepresentationReference:** 558\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 558\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 558\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 559 **Respondent:** Mary Thomas

---

**RepresentationReference:** 559\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

---

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 559\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 559\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 560 **Respondent:** Denise Rhodes

---

**RepresentationReference:** 560\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 560\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 560\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 561 Respondent: Philip Young

---

**RepresentationReference:** 561\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 561\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 561\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 562 Respondent: C I Young

---

**RepresentationReference:** 562\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 562\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 562\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 563 **Respondent:** P A Hill

---

**RepresentationReference:** 563\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 563\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 563\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 564 **Respondent:** Janet Head

---

**RepresentationReference:** 564\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 564\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.



Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 564\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 565 **Respondent:** N J Head

---

**RepresentationReference:** 565\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 565\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 565\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 566 **Respondent:** Jennifer Skuse

---

**RepresentationReference:** 566\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 566\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 566\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 567 **Respondent:** Anthony Skuse

---

**RepresentationReference:** 567\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 567\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 567\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 568 **Respondent:** S D Matthews

---

**RepresentationReference:** 568\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 568\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 568\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 569 **Respondent:** J Nethercott

---

**RepresentationReference:** 569\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 569\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 569\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 570 **Respondent:** Joyce Williams

---

**RepresentationReference:** 570\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 570\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 570\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 571 **Respondent:** Brian Ogborne

---

**RepresentationReference:** 571\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 571\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 571\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**



**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 572 Respondent: Patricia Ogborne

---

**RepresentationReference:** 572\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 572\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 572\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 573 Respondent: Kirstie Towe

---

**RepresentationReference:** 573\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 573\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 573\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 574 **Respondent:** Nicholas Towe

---

**RepresentationReference:** 574\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 574\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 574\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 575 **Respondent:** Paul Hammerenn

---

**RepresentationReference:** 575\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 575\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 575\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 576 **Respondent:** Ann Summers

---

**RepresentationReference:** 576\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 576\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 576\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 577 **Respondent:** Martyn Summers

---

**RepresentationReference:** 577\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 577\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 577\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 578 **Respondent:** B L Hauser

---

**RepresentationReference:** 578\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 578\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 578\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 579 **Respondent:** Mary Hauser

---

**RepresentationReference:** 579\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the



County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 579\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 579\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 580 **Respondent:** Louise Western

---

**RepresentationReference:** 580\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 580\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 580\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 581 **Respondent:** Craig Western

---

**RepresentationReference:** 581\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 581\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 581\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 582 **Respondent:** R Tucker

**RepresentationReference:** 582\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 582\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 582\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 583 Respondent: A Tucker

---

**RepresentationReference:** 583\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 583\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 583\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 584 Respondent: J Bethell

---

**RepresentationReference:** 584\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 584\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 584\3 S

---

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 585 **Respondent:** A Bethell

---

**RepresentationReference:** 585\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 585\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 585\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 586 **Respondent:** Martin Parry

---

**RepresentationReference:** 586\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 586\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.



Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 586\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 587 **Respondent:** Susan Parry

---

**RepresentationReference:** 587\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 587\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 587\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 588 **Respondent:** Mrs N W Wiggins

---

**RepresentationReference:** 588\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorses the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularly a round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 588\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 588\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 589 **Respondent:** Ruth Osborne

---

**RepresentationReference:** 589\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 589\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 589\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 590 **Respondent:** L G Osborne

---

**RepresentationReference:** 590\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the

County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 590\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 590\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 591 **Respondent:** S Gunter-Phillips

---

**RepresentationReference:** 591\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 591\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 591\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 592 **Respondent:** C Gunter-Phillips

---

**RepresentationReference:** 592\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

---

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 592\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Representation Reference:** 592\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 593 **Respondent:** B Webb

---

**RepresentationReference:** 593\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 593\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 593\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**



**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 594 Respondent: Keith Webb

---

**RepresentationReference:** 594\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly around Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 594\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 594\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation (soundness):** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must also be care taken that developers do not take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

Respondent Number: 595 Respondent: David Bennett

---

**RepresentationReference:** 595\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation (soundness):** I am pleased to write endorsing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt particularly round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath within BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 595\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation (soundness):** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**RepresentationReference:** 595\3 S

---

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 596 **Respondent:** M Bennett

---

**RepresentationReference:** 596\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 596\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 596\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 597 **Respondent:** J P Howard

---

**RepresentationReference:** 597\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 597\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 597\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 598 **Respondent:** P B Howard

---

**RepresentationReference:** 598\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 598\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with **(soundness)**: small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 598\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must **(soundness)**: also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

**Respondent Number:** 599 **Respondent:** Avril Backwell

---

**RepresentationReference:** 599\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endoresing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt **(soundness)**: particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 599\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 599\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**Respondent Number:** 600 **Respondent:** Alan Backwell

---

**RepresentationReference:** 600\1 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy DW1: District Wide Spatial Strategy

**Representation** I am pleased to write endorsesing the Draft Core Strategy which now protects the Green Belt  
**(soundness):** particularlya round Whitchurch. I find it Sound and Legal for the following reasons:

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 3 - Using Brownfield sites as a priority for new housing is sound.

Page 20, Policy DW1, Para 4 - No strategic changes to the Green Belt Boundary of Bristol-Bath witin BANES this protecting this precious land.

I am pleased that the new housing numbers identified by BANES can be met by utilizing brown field sites, empty properties, allocating small housing developments around identified villages within the County and using certain redundant agricultural buildings.

This is great progress from the previously massive housing developments being thrust on this Country and I hope your Draft Core Strategy is Adopted.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 600\2 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy RA1: Development in the Villages meeting the listed criteria

**Representation** Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.17 & 5.18 - I am pleased to see Whitchurch identified as a Focus Village with  
**(soundness):** small scale development only.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.20 - This further enforces 5.18 as CP8 Green Belt protection must be considered with RA1 which will safeguard the area from any Urban Extension of Bristol.

Page 96, Policy RA1, Para 5.36 - It is encouraging to see that Agriculture and the land is now being seen as important.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**

---

**RepresentationReference:** 600\3 S

**Plan Reference:** Policy CP8: Green Belt

**Representation** Page 120, Policy CP8, Para 6.61 to 6.64 - This Policy will hopefully protect the Green Belt but there must  
**(soundness):** also be care taken that developers do no take advantage of the "Community Right to Build".

Page 121, Policy CP8, Table 8 - It is right and sound to uphold the Green Belt and all 12 points are relevant.

**Change sought to  
make sound:**

**Representation (legal compliance):**

**Change sought to make legally compliant:**