



86 / 1 Name: Mrs S Respondent: Dyer Organisation: Whitchurch Parish Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

GT. Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Potential pitch provision: 2 permanent pitches

Following the full meeting of Council held on 4th July 2012 Whitchurch Parish Council submit the following comments and wish for these to be logged and considered as part of the current consultation process:-

In the latest Communities and Local Government Planning policy for traveller sites it clearly states under Policy E: Traveller sites in Green Belt 14.

'Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development.'

Very special circumstances are not defined in National Policy. However the NPPF states that very special circumstances will not exist unless the potential harm to the green belt is clearly outweighed by other considerations.

There are no very special circumstances applicable to this site Policy E: 14 must be adhered to.

Mistakes have been made in the Detailed Site Assessment Report and the site scoring matrix. There are several discrepancies in the marks awarded to this site. Points being awarded for safe access to public transport when there are no footpaths to the nearest bus stop, points awarded for being a Brownfield site when it has been proved and only recently admitted by B&NES Council that the site is entirely Greenfield. At the recent exhibition in Whitchurch Village it stated that 'The site is well screened from the surrounding highway and residential area' If you drive along Woollard Lane you can see quite clearly into the site, so this statement is incorrect. It also stated that there is public transport to health facilities. There is no bus service to Stockwood Health Centre or Whitchurch Health Centre along the A37 only a bus service into Bristol so this information is also incorrect.

The previous history of this site has obviously not been taken into consideration. It states in The Preferred Sites document that 'The granting of the temporary planning permission does however indicate the site suitability for this purpose' The temporary permission granted for this site dated 25th November 2010 states that 'This permission shall expire on 20 November 2015 and the use hereby permitted shall be discontinued and the land reinstated in accordance with a scheme of works and a programme of implementation which shall first have been submitted for approval by the Local Planning Authority'

Reason. The Council is preparing a Development Plan Document allocating sites for gypsies and travellers and the use of this site as proposed is only appropriate for a temporary period until that document has been finalised and alternative sites made available. It does not state that the granting of this temporary planning permission indicates the suitability for a permanent site.

There seems to be inconsistency in many of the council's planning decisions as the Parcel 7100 has been refused by B&NES on four previous occasions in line with other local planning applications in the area, as it is set in the Green Belt.

The selection of this site as a preferred option should be immediately withdrawn as errors have been made in the Detailed



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Site Assessment report and the site scoring matrix thus making this option completely flawed and wrong information given to stakeholders, residents and the general public during the majority of the consultation period.

Respondent: 263 / 1 Name: Ms Gillian Sanders Organisation: Wessex Water

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Bristol Water for water supply.

Subject to application there is a public foul sewer available for connection in the vicinity of the site

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 281 / 1 Name: Ms Alison Howell Organisation: Natural England

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Preferred Options

The Council has selected six preferred sites for development. The site selection process identified four main constraints: Green Belt, landscape and/or wildlife designations, flood risk and contaminated land. Natural England is satisfied the assessment criteria and scoring matrix applied to the site selection appears robust and consistent with government policy for the planning of traveller sites.

We consider the approach taken also broadly accords with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), including with respect to paragraph 110 which states "In preparing plans to meet development needs, the aim should be to minimise pollution and other adverse effects on the local and natural environment. Plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value, where consistent with other policies in this Framework".

Site Constraints

Notwithstanding the above, the Sustainability Appraisal has identified a number of issues with the development of the preferred sites, including likely impacts on landscape, ecology and public access.

-The assessment of Site GT.1 in Whitchurch confirms it lies within the Green Belt, although it is not considered to be sensitive nor likely to adversely impact on the character of the area. However it does suggest further perimeter screen fencing (such as 1.8m close board) would be beneficial to ensure existing vegetation was retained and managed.

Natural England considers the constraints and suggested mitigation and enhancement measures outlined in the Sustainability Appraisal appear reasonable, however we would like to make the following comments:-





Sustainable Development - the Natural Environment and Biodiversity

Natural England would welcome a commitment in the Plan to moving from a net loss of biodiversity to achieving net gains for nature, as required in the NPPF paragraph 9.

Use of Brownfield land of low environmental value

The preferred options reflect the NPPF paragraph 111 in terms of the need for planning policies and decisions to encourage the effective use of land by re-using land that has been previously developed, however the Plan should also recognise that some brownfield land can be of high environmental value and ensure suitable avoidance or mitigation measures are in place.

Enhancing landscape and biodiversity in Green Belts

Natural England would welcome a greater emphasis on enhancement of the Green Belt in line with the NPPF Paragraph 81 in terms of seeking opportunities to provide access; to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation; to retain and enhance landscapes, visual amenity and biodiversity; or to improve damaged and derelict land".

Light Pollution – Landscape and Wildlife

Natural England would welcome a requirement to minimise light pollution in accord with NPPF paragraph 125 "By encouraging good design, planning policies and decisions should limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and nature conservation".

Ecological networks and Green Infrastructure

Making Space for Nature (A review of England's Wildlife Sites and Ecological Network) highlighted action to support ecological networks as an effective response to conserve wildlife in environments that have become fragmented by human activities. It stated: "An ecological network comprises a suite of high quality sites which collectively contain the diversity and area of habitat that are needed to support species and which have ecological connections between them..." with this in mind, Natural England would welcome a commitment in the Plan to ensuring the development of all preferred sites incorporate multifunctional green infrastructure in accordance with the emerging Bath & North East Somerset Core Strategy policy, draft Green Infrastructure Strategy and local biodiversity action plan.

Respondent: 296 / 1 Name: Ms Gill Stirling Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I can only comment on your list although I believe some of these were not even thought suitable by your own consultants. It seems to me that both the travellers and local residents should be considered when making a decision. I do not live near any sites and believe that those who do should have the greater influence.

GT1: Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

If there is no objection from those living nearby then it should go ahead but it doesn't seem to be offering any extra for travellers as there is already a site being used.

Respondent: 327 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Thatcher Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.
This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.
The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.
Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.
·
Respondent: 327 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Thatcher Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will he Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.
Respondent: 355 / 1 Name: P. Penney Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will he Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

		7			
Respondent: 35	55 / 1	Name:	P.	Penney	Organisation:
	Somerset	t Council to d	determine who		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Pa	arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane,	Whitchurch	
Do you agree with the	e Council	l's assessmer	nt of opportur	nities and constraints o	n this site?
Do you agree that the	potenti	al pitch prov	ision be taken	forward as a formal al	location?
Do you have any othe	r genera	l comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?	
I write to object to thi	s Site be	ing included	in your Coun	cil's Proposed Options	List for a Gypsy Site.
				t is inappropriate deve e should be adhered to	lopment within the Green Belt and as o.
The new entrance to t	the Site i	s unsafe and	I furthermore	the old entrance is still	being used.
The site only has temperate in 2015 about the	-	cence for this	s use until201	5. No further developn	nent should take placed until a decision is
Also this Site is on the	main ar	terial route i	into Bristol wh	nich again is inappropri	ate.
This Site should be rea	moved fr	rom your Co	uncil's Propos	ed Options List.	
Respondent: 38	36 / 1	Name: Mr	Tony	Smith	Organisation:
	Somerset	t Council to d	determine who		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Pa	arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane,	Whitchurch	
Do you agree with the	e Council	l's assessmer	nt of opportur	nities and constraints o	n this site?
Do you agree that the	notonti	al nitch prov	ision he takon	forward as a formal al	location?

must be left until after 2015.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions





It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	386 / 3	1 Name: Mr	Tony	Smith	Organisation:
nespondent.	300 / .	L INGILIE, IVII	TOTTY	Jillitti	Organisation

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 387 / 1 Name: Ms Wendy Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 387 / 1 Name: Ms Wendy Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 391 / 1 Name: M **Thomas** Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 393 / 1 Name: Mr V Perry Org	Organisation:
--	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 393 / 1 Name: Mr V Perry Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should b	e remove	d from your	Council's Pr	oposed Options List.		
Respondent:	402 / 1	1 Name:	L	Fennelly	Organisation:	
	ast Somer	set Council t	to determin		the Preferred Options document which will ailable, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	help
Site commenting	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100), Woollard	Lane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	h the Cour	ncil's assessr	nent of opp	ortunities and constra	ints on this site?	
Do you agree tha	t the pote	ntial pitch p	rovision be f	taken forward as a fori	mal allocation?	
Do you have any	other gene	eral comme	nts on the P	referred Options pape	r?	
therefore any dec	cision to ex protected	xpand it sho I by Planning	uld surely w Guidelines	ait until 2015 when ar and should be upheld	nent. The Site has only a temporary Licence extension will be either extended or not. Special circumstances do not apply here.	
Respondent:	402 / 1	1 Name:	L	Fennelly	Organisation:	
	ast Somer	set Council t	to determin	•	the Preferred Options document which will ailable, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	help
Site commenting	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100), Woollard	Lane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	h the Cour	ncil's assessr	nent of opp	ortunities and constra	ints on this site?	
Do you agree that	t the pote	ntial pitch p	rovision be	taken forward as a fori	mal allocation?	
	_			referred Options pape		
I would like to sta	te that th	e proposal fo	or a Gypsy S	ite at the Junction of t	he A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable	€.

405 / 1 Name: Mr a Richard & Respondent: Sweet Organisation: Janet

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 405 / 1 Name: Mr a Richard & Sweet

Organisation:

Janet

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.





It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take no	otice of	this letter fro	m a local hav	ving a local viev	<i>N</i> .		
Respondent: 40	06 / 1	Name: Mrs	Janet	Sweet		Organisation:	
•	omerse	et Council to c	determine wh			Preferred Options document which will s, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	help
Site commenting on:	GT.1 P	arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	2 Counc	il's assessmeı	nt of opportu	unities and cons	straints o	n this site?	
We would like to regis Stanton Wick and Wh		-				t the present time are that the two site Belt area.	s, ie
Wollard Lane parcel 7 gateway road from th		•	s planning ap	oplications refu	sed and w	vill become an eyesore from the main	
Do you agree that the	potent	ial pitch prov	ision be take	n forward as a	formal all	location?	
Do you have any othe	r gener	al comments	on the Prefe	rred Options p	aper?		
Respondent: 40)7 / 1	Name: Mr	Charles	Luker		Organisation:	
•	omerse	et Council to c	determine wh			Preferred Options document which will s, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	help
Site commenting on:	GT.1 P	arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	2 Counc	il's assessmer	nt of opportu	unities and cons	straints or	n this site?	
Do you agree that the	potent	ial pitch prov	ision be take	n forward as a	formal all	location?	
Do you have any othe	r gener	al comments	on the Prefe	rred Options p	aper?		

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 407 / 1 Name: Mr Charles Luker Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 411 / 1 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Your Council has previously found this Site to be unsuitable and has twice refused it planning. On Appeal the Inspector overruled the LA giving it Temporary use until2015. Whether this land has formally been removed from the Green Belt by the Secretary of State is questionable.

This Site should be removed from your Options Shortlist because it has only Temporary Use and it would be quite wrong to double its size at this time.

This proposed development is contrary to many planning policies and guidelines running throughout your Core Strategy.

Pol GBI The proposed development would represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt.

Local Planning Policy Context 2.7

This site fails on 4 out of 5 points

- 1. A car is needed to access all local amenities
- 2. The access is onto a very busy road with no footpath/pavement
- 3. It is visual on the main arterial route into Bristol



Bath & North East Somerset Council

4. Will adequate services be deliverable?

CP II

This site fails on the following points

- a). Services only accessible by car- very busy roads at this 4 point road junction with A3 7 and no footpath/pavement on access road.
- D) The Site will harm appearance- It is on main A37 arterial route into Bristol.39 caravans were identified on unauthorized sites. This would indicate a need of 10-15 sites. At present your LA has identified far too many- 34 Permanent & 20 Transit Sites (A Pitch- is a Site for 3 or less caravans+ 1 motorhome +all cars+ visiting relations)

Issues Visual Impact

Again this is on the main A3 7 arterial route into Bristol and is in the Green Belt.

Green Belt.

There is a presumption against development in the Green Belt.

Any Sites in the Green Belt will be considered Less Favourably than those outside the Green Belt.

The LA Scoring System is incorrect for this Site.

The Doctors Surgery is not safely accessible on foot- there is no footpath/pavement

Proximity to Transport Node is not safe to walk to- no footpath/pavement

Brownfield Site is ambiguous due to the planning history.

Site should not be near a Hazardous Place- it is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Gas Pipe.

Safe access to Highway - no footpath/pavement.

In conclusion the Score for this Site should be 19 not 30

Further, the Government's National Planning Policy Framework states, PolE Para 14 The Green belt will be protected. Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very exceptional circumstances. Travellers Sites (Temporary or Permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Local Government Minister, Bob Neil has strengthened the planning process to protect the Green Belt and reiterates that Travellers Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt and that Local Communities must be listened to. As your LA has twice deemed this site unsuitable for a Gypsy Site with 1 pitch, and it is in the Green Belt, we would respectfully ask that this Site be removed from the Short List

Respondent: 411 / 2 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We refer to the recent belated acknowledgement by B&NES that the above site was incorrectly assessed as Brownfield and thus incorrectly scored when the Cabinet made its decision on the 9th May 2012 to designate it as a Preferred Site. As a result the Whitchurch Site was selected as a Preferred Site in error or directly as a result of error. Notwithstanding that this was advised to the Council before and at the Cabinet Meeting, it has taken until the end of June for the Council to read its own files and confirm that it has made an error. There has also been no direct written apology including to the members of the Whitchurch Village Action Group who made relevant statements at the Cabinet Meeting on the 9th May and which are referenced in the Minutes of the meeting. It is very clear from the Minutes of the Cabinet of 9th May that this key issue was before the meeting i.e. before any decision was made. The minutes do not of course, indicate whether anybody in the





Cabinet was listening and no clarification was sought from Officers as to the position. All of course should have been listening otherwise their presence was useless. There were Senior Officers present who should have understood the importance of the issue and either offered advice and/or subsequently sought (before the start of consultation) to ascertain whether the Council was in error- as it was and is. From the outset and since Council's publication of the Issues and Options Evaluation, we have continually contacted Officers regarding the Brownfield status as we felt it incorrect and therefore there has been an abundance of time and opportunity for Officers to access the History of this Site.

For ease of reference, the published Minutes include the following:-'Mary Walsh (Joint Chair, Whitchurch Village Action Group) made a statement (a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 13 and on the Council's Website) asking the Cabinet to remove the Woollard Lane Site from the consultation list. She disputed the contention that the Site was Brownfield, and explained that it had historically been acknowledged as green belt.'

Mary's statement for Whitchurch Village Action Group (in full with Minutes) also made clear that the Site was not Brownfield. The punctuation has been amended for ease of reading.

'The Site has never had a permanent building. As stated by the Inspector in 1982 upon the expiry of a temporary licence it was still referred to as a Greenfield within the Greenbelt. The Inspector in 2009 referred to the site as Green Belt. We ask when did this become brownfield and who was responsible for change? We therefore dispute that this is Brownfield land and would ask you to amend the scoring accordingly'

Christine Saunders for Whitchurch Village Action Group, also made clear in her statement (in full with Minutes) as to the implications as regards scoring predominantly because of the 10 points that should have been deducted for the Greenbelt (and which would have meant that the Site would have been ranked 13th and not 5th in terms of the scoring matrix.)

'IN YOUR ISSUES AND OPTIONS EVALUATION THIS SITE SCORES 19 AND NOT 30'

We, the Whitchurch Village Action Group, do not believe that the measures taken by the Council since acknowledging the error as related to the 'Brownfield issue' are appropriate or sufficient to remedy what is a fundamental error. Parcel 7100 is at present a PREFERRED SITE and other sites that scored less have now been removed by Cabinet (i.e. Decision 7) and a fair comparison therewith is not now possible at the Cabinet's Meeting in September. The information before Cabinet, Policy Development and Scrutiny, Special Council and in the Consultation document, on the Council's website and at the relevant roadshows has all been materially misleading and inaccurate.

We might have expected - indeed we truly did expect - Officers to have considered the Planning History (including but not limited to two Appeal decisions by two independent Gov. appointed Inspectors), before recommending as a PREFERRED Site. Similarly when an Appeal decision was referenced at a Cabinet Meeting we would have expected that Senior Officers would have checked the position. The relevant Appeal Decision is quoted below - see in particular Paragraph 7.

http://idox.batbnes.gov.uk/W AM/doc/Appeal%20Decision-303428.pdf?extension=.pdf&id=303428&location=VOLUME2&contentType=application/pdf&pageCount= 1

The History of this Site cannot be ignored. Three times the Site has been judged unsuitable, all Greenfield and inappropriate within the Greenbelt When this Site was occupied illegally, why were the occupants not instructed to leave, as any other person would have been. Whoever invited the occupants to apply for Retrospective planning, obviously did not refer to the History of the Site. This is clearly demonstrated throughout the Council's flawed process of selecting this Site. It must be asked why, in planning terms, is it logical to double the size of a Site that only has Temporary Planning Permission until 2015?

The Cabinet cannot now Confirm Whitchurch as a Preferred Site at its meeting in September. If it does, then it will open the Council up to a further area of challenge beyond those already identified by the other Action Groups. The Council should remove Whitchurch from the Preferred list immediately and furthermore the Council should halt consultation now.

We are in discussions with Clarke Osborne and other Action Group leads with a view to our joining them in any Judicial Review Challenge to the Council's flawed and negligent decision-making and consultation process.





Respondent: 411 / 3 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

The thing that concerns me Is how B&NES can conduct a fair consultation and stand by the outcome when after 2 Inspectors have refused this site on Green Belt. Then while the temporary permission is still in place the owner is allowed to apply for a further pitch I cannot fathom the logic of spending so much time money and effort on refusing then offering the application is B&NES capable of getting a fair and honest outcome?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 411 / 4 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We note your recent acknowledgement and apology that Parcel 7100 Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village is not brownfield but is in entirety a Greenfield Site within the Greenbelt.

It is with some disappointment that it has taken the Council so long to look into matters which were raised by ourselves and others, as far back as May. This we take as some slight and indifference to our letters and indeed our integrity. Many times it was pointed out to your Council that two Planning Inspectors had deemed this Site Greenfield and emphatically NOT brownfield.

We would like to know, and if not, we will use the Freedom ofInformation Act, who it was who decided that because the site was being used illegally, to invite retrospective planning at this site and in the process incorrectly categorise this Site as Brownfield. Both actions by Bath & North East Somerset Council's Planning department completely overrode the previous two independent Government Inspectors decisions that this Site was inappropriate.

We would further AGAIN, point out that this Site is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Mains Gas Pipe therefore too near a HAZARD and therefore your scoring Matrix is again wrong.

It is surely impossible for your Council to continue with this Site given such serious inaccuracies and we therefore assume you will be taking it off your Proposed Options Site List.

Respondent: 411 / 5 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

We write to you with regard to a proposal to double the size of a Temporary Site set in Green Belt land at Whitchurch Village. Your Council has previously found this Site to be unsuitable and has twice refused it planning. On Appeal the Inspector overruled the LA giving it Temporary use until 2015. Whether this land has formally been removed from the Green Belt by the Secretary of State is questionable.

This Site should be removed from your Options Shortlist because it has only Temporary Use and it would be quite wrong to double its size at this time.

This proposed development is contrary to many planning policies and guidelines running throughout your Core Strategy.

Pol GB1 The proposed development would represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt.

Local Planning Policy Context 2.7

This site fails on 4 out of 5 points

- 1. A car is needed to access all local amenities
- 2. The access is onto a very busy road with no footpath/pavement
- 3. It is visual on the main arterial route into Bristol
- 4. Will adequate services be deliverable?

CP11

This site fails on the following points

- a). Services only accessible by car-very busy roads at this 4 point road junction with A37 and no footpath/pavement on
- D) The Site will harm appearance- It is on main A37 arterial route into Bristol.

History Identified Need in L.A.

39 caravans were identified on unauthorized sites. This would indicate a need of 10-15 sites. At present your LA has identified far too many- 34 Permanent & 20 Transit Sites (A Pitch - is a Site for 3 or less caravans + 1 motorhome + all cars + visiting relations)

Issues Visual Impact

Again this is on the main A3 7 arterial route into Bristol and is in the Green Belt.

Green Belt.

There is a presumption against development in the Green Belt.

Any Sites in the Green Belt will be considered Less Favourably than those outside the Green Belt.

The LA Scoring System is incorrect for this Site.

The Doctors Surgery is not safely accessible on foot-there is no footpath/pavement

Proximity to Transport Node is not safe to walk to- no footpath/pavement

Brownfield Site is ambiguous due to the planning history.

Site should not be near a Hazardous Place- it is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Gas Pipe.

Safe access to Highway- no footpath/pavement.

In conclusion the Score for this Site should be 19 not 30

Further, the Government's National Planning Policy Framework states, PolE Para 14 The Green belt will be protected. Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very exceptional circumstances. Travellers Sites (Temporary or Permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Local Government Minister, Bob Neil has strengthened the planning process to protect the Green Belt and reiterates that Travellers Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt and that Local Communities must be listened to.





As your LA has twice deemed this site unsuitable for a Gypsy Site with 1 pitch, and it is in the Green Belt, we would respectfully ask that this Site be removed from the Short List.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent:

411 / 6 Name: Ms Mary

Walsh

Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Yes.

Policy GB1. The proposed development of this area would represent inappropriate development in the green belt

Policy T1 The proposed Site is too far from essential services, facilities etc as worded in your Issues & Options Scoring Matrix requirements

Planning Policy Guidance Note No 13

The proposed development is too far from services, facilities etc all requiring a car for access thus increased traffic movements on to a fast dangerous road with no pavement.

National Planning Policy Framework, March 2012 Core Planning principles item 17 item 28, item 37

Green Belt Item 87

Planning Policy for Travellers Sites, March 2012

Item 4 Inappropriate development in the Green Belt

Item 4 access by car needed for Senior schools, Doctors Surgery, NHS Dentist, Employment, Shopping. Primary school already oversubscribed.

Policy E traveller sites in Green Belt

Item 14 states, "inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved. Travellers sites in the Green Belt are inappropriate development"

Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD)

Highways are congested and in certain areas dangerous for both vehicle and pedestrian use. There is no pavement at the access point which is near a sharp bend and traffic reports show numerous accidents with one fatality within the last 10 years - see letter sent to Mr. Everitt, Chief Executive.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No we do not. The evaluation was cursory, inaccurate and continues to be inaccurate and should not have been placed on the Preferred Options Site List - see letter to Mr Everitt, Chief Executive. The assessments and evaluations are flawed, the process should be stopped. The Council has admitted mistakes which has resulted in this Site being selected as a Preferred Site in error or directly as a result of error- see Letter to Mr. Everitt, Chief Executive. The Cabinet cannot now Confirm Whitchurch as a Preferred Site at its meeting in September. Due to the above Parcel 7100 should be removed from the Preferred List immediately.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





No we do not agree. The Site does not meet with many criteria set out in your Policies and Procedures. There should be no pitch provision as this Site was selected as a Preferred Site in error or directly as a result of error and should be taken off the list NOW.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Well, all have been addressed in comments above. The whole procedure is flawed, the Scoring Matrix for the Issues and Options Evaluation is incorrect for this Site but the error only corrected after the Site was put on the Preferred Options Site List. It should and must be removed. The History of this Site has been ignored. Some investigation must be made as to why a Site twice refused by two independent Planning Inspectors has arrived at a Temporary Licence until 2015. When this Site was occupied illegally instead of upholding the Green Belt planning policy guidelines by asking for the removal of this illegal occupancy, your Council invited the lodging of a Retrospective Planning Application which gained approval with temporary use until 2015 thus completely over riding the Planning Inspectors. Worse, this site was categorically declared Greenfield within the Green Belt by these Inspectors and your Council overrode this as well calling it Brownfield. Although this error has been admitted, the matter of the Retrospective Planning has not been explained.

Respondent: 411 / 7 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Thank you for listening to questions regarding the changed status now given to the temporary Gypsy Site at Woollard Lane In all new documents from Bath and North East Council it is referred to as a "Brownfield site" within "Green Belt my question is when and why did this change?. No permanent building has ever been erected on the site.

The Inspectors employed by the Council have twice refused this site for gypsies and travellers as it is Green Belt emphatically stating the site is not Brownfield B&NES encouraged Mr Jones to submit a retrospective Planning application advising him the site was Brownfield by granting a temporary permission have overruled 2 separate Inspectors reports on this site. To add to this fiasco the owner is able to attend a seminar set up by the council looking for appropriate sites and is advised he can apply to expand even though he knows his permission is temporary. We need to know if this is a tactical move by the present council to score against the last Conservative run council.

We do hope the people involved with these decisions are aware that they are affecting the Human rights of ordinary people I read in documents referring to Human rights of travellers but it must work both ways.

It should be noted that the original site entrance and the new entrance are in use The Woollard site is on a dangerous corner where there have been several accidents in the last few weeks. Should the Council have to re route roads in the future who would pay compensation to the Gypsies? I guess the rate payers.

Respondent: 424 / 1 Name: K Purnell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other g	general comments	on the Preferr	ed Options paper?	
planning guidelines are t The new entrance to the	It and the Site is all there to protect Gr site is unsafe and rary licence for this Site. Iain arterial route i	I Greenfield. It een Belt these furthermore to suse until 201 nto Bristol wh	is inappropriate developments is should be adhered to the old entrance is still 5. No further developments ich again is inappropris	opment within the Green Belt and as being used. ment should take placed until a decision is
	,			
Respondent: 424	/ 1 Name:	K	Purnell	Organisation:
	nerset Council to d	etermine whe		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on: G	T.1 Parcel 7100, W	oollard Lane,	Whitchurch	
Do you agree with the C	ouncil's assessmer	nt of opportun	ities and constraints o	n this site?
Do you agree that the po	otential pitch provi	sion be taken	forward as a formal al	location?
Do you have any other g	reneral comments	on the Dreferr	ad Ontions naner?	
I write to object to this S			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Be planning guidelines are t				opment within the Green Belt and as
The new entrance to the	e Site is unsafe and	furthermore t	the old entrance is still	being used.
The site only has tempor made in 2015 about this	•	s use until2015	5. No further developn	nent should take placed until a decision is
Also this Site is on the m	ain arterial route i	nto Bristol wh	ich again is inappropri	ate.
This Site should be remo	oved from your Cou	ıncil's Propose	ed Options List.	
Respondent: 432	/ 1 Name:	J	Leando	Organisation:
	nerset Council to d	etermine whe		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on: G	T.1 Parcel 7100, W	oollard Lane,	Whitchurch	

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 432 / 1 Name: J Leando Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 434 / 1 Name: Ms Elizabeth Cox Organisation:

A

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.





It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 434 / 1 Name: Ms Elizabeth Cox Organisation:

Ann

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 435 / 1 Name: Ms Rachel Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.





Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

435 / 1 Name: Ms

Davis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Rachel

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

443 / 1 Name: Ms

Marianne

Britten

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.





It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Resp	ondent:	443 /	′ 1	Name: Ms	Marianne	Britten	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 446 / 1 Name: Mr Alfred Leonard Organisation: Charles

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	446 /	1	Name: Mr	Alfred	Leonard	Organisation:
-------------	-------	---	----------	--------	---------	---------------

Charles

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

447 / 1 Name: Mrs June Leonard Respondent: Organisation:

Rosemary

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions





must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 447 / 1 Name: Mrs June Leonard Organisation: Rosemary

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 461 / 1 Name: Mr David Green Organisation: Michael

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 461 / 1 Name: Mr David Green Organisation:

Michael

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 462 / 1 Name: Mr Dennis Baber Organisation: William

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 462 / 1 Name: Mr Dennis Baber Organisation:

William

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 462 / 2 Name: Mr Dennis Baber Organisation: William

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No, I believe the council has used incorrect details in their assessment.

No.





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

The proposal is an inappropriate development and is harmful to the Greenbelt.

It is also contrary to E14 and no exceptional circumstances prevail.

The site should be subjected to a complete and full re-assessment.

Respondent:

463 / 1 Name: Mrs Jane

Baber

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

463 / 1 Name: Mrs Jane

Baber

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is





no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 464 / 1 Name: Miss Louisa Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 464 / 1 Name: Miss Louisa Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed





from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	469 /	1 Name:	В	Davis	Organisation:	
	st Some	erset Council to	determine v		out in the Preferred Options document which will he available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	nelp
Site commenting o	n: GT.	1 Parcel 7100,	Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Cou	ıncil's assessme	ent of oppor	tunities and co	nstraints on this site?	
Do you agree that	the pote	ential pitch pro	vision be tak	ken forward as	a formal allocation?	
this temporary use Green Belt and the two different occas use. This is most st Green Belt land is p no special case and National Planning	the pro e is agair ere is no sions on trange a protected d any su Policy Fr cil's inco	pposed expansion visited by you special case for Appeals, whice and irregular and by Planning och developmer ramework.	on of what is ar Departmen or this develo th your Coun ad should be Guidelines a nt would be o	s only a tempor nt. In the mean opment. This ha cil have appare looked into. nd where they deemed inappr	ary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 whe time I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within is been decided by two previous Planning Inspector ntly decided to overrule by giving the Site tempora apply to one person they should apply to all. There opriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the g this Site it would be best that this Site be remove	n the rs on ary e is e
Respondent:	469 /	1 Name:	В	Davis	Organisation:	
	st Some	erset Council to	determine v		out in the Preferred Options document which will he available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	nelp
Cita commenting o	on. CT	1 Damed 7100	Manual La			
Site commenting o				ne, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Cou	ıncil's assessme	ent of oppor	tunities and co	nstraints on this site?	
Do you agree that	the pote	ential pitch pro	vision be tak	ken forward as	a formal allocation?	
Do you have any o					-	
this temporary use Green Belt and the	e is agair ere is no	n visited by you special case fo	ur Departmen or this develo	nt. In the mean opment. This ha	ary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 whe time I would say the whole Site is Greenfield withing been decided by two previous Planning Inspector ntly decided to overrule by giving the Site tempora	n the rs on

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the

Respondent: 469 / 1 Name: **Davis** Organisation:

use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

National Planning Policy Framework.





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

469 / 2 Name:

Davis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

В

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

As a resident of Whitchurch Village I feel very let down by BANES council and I am not alone in my opinion, many other residents also feel the same, to allow a traveller site in this fine area is an outcry, especially on greenbelt land which has always been kept in good stead by previous councils.

I feel that if the villager's objections are not taken in to serious consideration the votes for the current locally elected party could be jeopardised.

The A37 is a through road to many major destinations and it would be a shame to have a traveller's site in plain view of people passing through and tourist to the area.

This is a very close community, with many fine houses and proud residents 1 cannot see the benefit of placing a traveller site within close proximity to residents homes and businesses it would not only be unfair to the residents of the community, who keep their homes and surrounding areas in keeping with a small village but I feel it would also be unfair to the travellers and their families as they will not be met with a welcome reception and I cannot see the opinions of the community changing should the site be placed within Whitchurch Village.

The community of this area has always had good faith in their local council in the past and I feel this could be damaged for the future should the site be chosen to accommodate travellers.





Respondent:

470 / 1 Name: Ms Sylvia

Davis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help
Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

470 / 1 Name: Ms Sylvia

Davis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

474 / 1 Name: Mr Gerald

Smith

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

475 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Respondent: Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 475 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Milkins 476 / 1 Name: Respondent: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 477 / 1 Name: Mrs R Milkins Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 477 / 1 Name: Mrs R Milkins Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 481 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on:

GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

481 / 1 Name: Ms Julie

Filer

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

482 / 1 Name: Mr Tony

Filer

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 482 / 1 Name: Mr Tony Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 483 / 1 Name: Ms Margaret Foxwell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.





It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

483 / 1 Name: Ms

Margaret

Foxwell

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

484 / 1 Name: Mr

David E.

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Foxwell



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

484 / 1 Name: Mr

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

David E.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Foxwell

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent:

484 / 1 Name: Mr David E.

Foxwell

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.





It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 503 / 1 Name: Mr Michael Campbell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 503 / 1 Name: Mr Michael Campbell Organisation: John

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Re	cn	nn/	امه	nt٠
r	NI 11) (101	

518 / 1 Name: Mr Tony

Griffith

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

518 / 1 Name: Mr Tony

Griffith

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

519 / 1 Name: Ms Judith

Griffith

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

519 / 1 Name: Ms Judith

Griffith

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of





the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

520 / 1 Name: Rev' John

Masding

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

Respondent:

521 / 1 Name: Mrs Margaret

Masding

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.





It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 521 / 1 Name: Mrs Margaret Masding Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 522 / 1 Name: Ms J. G. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.





The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 522 / 1 Name: Ms J. G. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 522 / 2 Name: Ms J. G. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

No additional information.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No this land is greenfield within the green belt.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No. The site is on the main arterial route into Bristol and is inappropriate development.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

There have been a number of accidents at this junction and any development could only add to their number.

Respondent: 523 / 1 Name: D. H. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

523 / 1 Name:

D. H. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.





Respondent:	523 / 2	2 Name:	D. H.	Slade	Organisation:
	st Somer	set Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting o	n: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the Cou	ncil's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraints o	n this site?
No					
Do you agree that to No	the pote	ntial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal al	llocation?
Do you have any of	ther gen	eral comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?	
Respondent:	527 / :	1 Name: Mr	Michael	Russ	Organisation:
	st Somer	set Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting o	n: GT 1	Parcol 7100 V	Noollard Lano	Whitchurch	
_				nities and constraints o	on this site?
NO	the Coul	3 8336331116	пс от оррогса	inities and constraints o	iii tilis site:
Do you agree that t	the pote	ntial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal al	llocation?
Do you have any of All the land is greed Inappropriate deve	nfield in	a green belt ar	ea.	red Options paper?	
Respondent:	549 / :	1 Name:	Ann Betty	Edwards	Organisation:
	st Somer	set Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting o	n: GT 1	Parcel 7100, \	Moollard Lane	Whitchurch	
				nities and constraints o	on this sita?
Do you agree mat i	ine pote	iiliai pittii prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal al	iiocation:
Do you have any of I write to object to				red Options paper?	
		•		•	ese circumstances. Any further decisions

must be left until after 2015.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.





be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	549 /	1 Name:	Ann Betty	Edwards	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 556 / 1 Name: Mrs Christine Saunders Organisation:
Ann

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 556 / 1 Name: Mrs Christine Saunders Organisation: Ann

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: Saunders 557 / 1 Name: Mr Barrie Organisation:

Micheal

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Nο

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

The site in Woollard Lane lies in Green Belt and will not fit in. This area the gateway to Chew Valley and Mendip Hills is wholly inappropriate. An area of beauty will have a blot on the landscape if a gypsy, traveller site is allows to be developed on this site. PLEASE NO NO NO. RESIDENTS MUST BE LISTENED TO TOO!

Respondent:

557 / 1 Name: Mr

Barrie Micheal Saunders

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

557 / 1 Name: Mr

Barrie Micheal Saunders

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.





Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	557 / 3	1 Name: Mr	Barrie Micheal	Saunders	Organisation:
	ast Somer	set Council to	determine wl		t in the Preferred Options document which will help available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: GT.1	. Parcel 7100, V	Voollard Land	e, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the Cou	ncil's assessme	nt of opportu	unities and cons	traints on this site?
No do not agree t	hat this si	te is suitable fo	or a Traveller	s Site.	
Do you agree that	the pote	ntial pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a f	formal allocation?
list. This site is wh Somerset Council, This site is outside temporary site aw	nolly inap but unde the hous aiting rel all areas o	propriate as it is er appeal was g sing boundary f ocation. Wool f outstanding r	is within the (iven a tempo for Whitchurd lard Lane is a	Green Belt. This orary only licence th Village and m rural location a	ald kindly ask it if could be taken off your options is site was refused 4 times by Bath and North East e up to 2015 to allow other sites to be established. Just not be allowed to double in size as this is a nd is the gateway to the beautiful Chew Valley and a Travellers Site in the area would be out of
Do you have any o	_				per? h have good access to local services, facilities etc.
Respondent:	561 / 3	1 Name: Mr	Philip	Young	Organisation:
	ast Somer	set Council to	determine wl		t in the Preferred Options document which will help available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: GT.1	. Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Land	e, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the Cour	ncil's assessme	nt of opportu	unities and cons	traints on this site?
No this is Green B	elt				
Do you agree that	the pote	ntial pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a f	formal allocation?
No.					
Do you have any o	other gen	eral comments	on the Prefe	erred Options pa	per?
Respondent:	562 / 3	1 Name:	СІ	Young	Organisation:
•	ast Somer	set Council to	determine wl		t in the Preferred Options document which will help available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
	ı				
Site commenting	on: GT.1	. Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the Cou	ncil's assessme	nt of opportu	unities and cons	traints on this site?
Green Belt - defin	ately not				





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO					
Do you have any other	general comments	on the Prefe	rred Options	paper?	
Respondent: 564	/ 1 Name: Ms	Janet	Head	Organisat	tion:
	merset Council to o	determine wh			Options document which will help and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane	e, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the 0	Council's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and co	nstraints on this site?	
Do you agree that the p	ootential pitch prov	ision be take	n forward as	a formal allocation?	
Do you have any other	general comments	on the Prefe	rred Options	paper?	
I write to object to the			•		
It only has temporary us must be left until after 2		can a Council	expand a site	e under these circums	stances. Any further decisions
It is now established that		sent out inco	orrect informa	ation about this Site.	
It is not Brownfield. It is	Greenfield within	the Greenbel			proposal. The scoring matrix will
be wrong on this count	•		in 1000 metr	es of this Site which c	onstitutes a Hazard as demanded
of your scoring matrix.	Tressure dus Main	passing with	III 1000 IIICII	es of this site willen e	onstitutes a mazara as acmanaea
It is outside the housing	g dev. Boundary for	Whitchurch	Village.		
_	•		-	etc such as senior sch	nools, shops, doctor's surgery and
Your Core Strategy and	National Planning	Policy Frame	work state th	at Gypsy Sites are ina	ppropriate in the Green Belt.
There should be no furt	ther discussion oth	er than to tak	e this Site off	your Proposed Option	ons Sites.
I trust you will take noti	ice of this letter fro	m a local hav	ing a local vie	ew.	

Respondent: 564 / 1 Name: Ms Janet Head Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.





Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

565 / 1 Name: Mr

Head

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Neil

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

565 / 1 Name: Mr

Head

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 573 / 1 Name: Ms Kirstie Towe Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

No evidence - traffic is bad enough - don't need extra volume on roads. No room in local schools as it is.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

- This is a greenbelt area and should remain so.
- Absolutely under no circumstance should this be taken forward as a potential site. This is completely inappropriate.

Respondent: 590 / 1 Name: L G Osborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the





Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

590 / 1 Name:

LG

Osborne

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

594 / 1 Name: Mr

Keith

Webb

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.





The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

594 / 1 Name: Mr

Webb

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Keith

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

603 / 1 Name: Mrs Margaret

Stone

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

I do not agree that this site should be approved as a designated site for a gypsy location. I have visited the area to look at the site and have used the roads surrounding it many times. Apart from its intrusion into the green belt which is wrong, the access sites are dangerous being either side of a sharp bend. They do not meet your own criteria (or the government's) about safe access. In addition they will certainly harm the character of the locality or have a harmful effect on neighbouring properties. The green belt behind the site will be used for extensive travellers sites and councils are notoriously slow and weak in removing others as they arrive. It will also cost the council a lot of money to deal with this problem.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent:

604 / 1 Name: Mr

Raymond Stone Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Show	people provision?			
Site commenting on: GT.1 I	Parcel 7100, Woollard Land	e, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the Counc	cil's assessment of opportu	unities and const	raints on this site?	
Do you agree that the potent	tial pitch provision be take	en forward as a fo	ormal allocation?	
Do you have any other gener Planning Policy re Gypsy Site			per?	
the site and have used the roaccess sites are dangerous babout safe access. In addition properties. The green belt be	pads surrounding it many te eing either side of a sharp In they will certainly harm to whind the site will be used	imes. Apart fron bend. They do n the character of for extensive tra	a gypsy location. I have visited the its intrusion into the green belt of meet your own criteria (or the the locality or have a harmful effectivellers sites and councils are noted of money to deal with this problem.	which is wrong, the government's) ect on neighbouring priously slow and
Respondent: 609 / 1	Name: Ms Tracey	Stevens	Organisation:	
	et Council to determine wl		in the Preferred Options docume vailable, suitable and achievable	
Site commenting on: GT.1 I	Parcel 7100, Woollard Land	e, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the Counc	cil's assessment of opportu	unities and const	raints on this site?	
Do you agree that the poten	tial pitch provision be take	en forward as a fo	ormal allocation?	
Do you have any other general would like to state that the			per? f the A37 and Sleep Lane to be m	ost unsuitable.
			oment. The Site has only a tempo an extension will be either extend	
The Green Belt is protected I	by Planning Guidelines and	d should be uphe	ld. Special circumstances do not a	ipply here.
Your Council should look car	efully at the history of this	Site.		
Respondent: 609 / 1	Name: Ms Tracey	Stevens	Organisation:	
	et Council to determine wl		in the Preferred Options docume vailable, suitable and achievable	
_				
Site commenting on: GT.1 I	Parcel 7100, Woollard Land	e, Whitchurch		

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

633 / 1 Name: Ms Jean Carol

Francis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

633 / 1 Name: Ms Jean Carol

Francis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.





Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	634	/ 1	Name:	В	Spragg	Organisation:
•	st Son	nerse	et Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting o	n: G	T.1 P	arcel 7100, \	Noollard Lane	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the C	ounci	il's assessme	nt of opportur	nities and constraints o	on this site?
Do you agree that	the po	otent	ial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal a	llocation?
Do you have any o	ther g	ener	al comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?	
I write with regard with this.	to the	e pro	posed Gypsy	Site at 7100 P	Parcel, Woollard Lane, '	Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree
All this land is Greetemporary permission surprising that you the Site.	sion o may r Cou	f use not b ncil g	until 20 15 s e granted. G granted a ten	o why would f iven that two nporary permis	urther expansion of th Inspectors have previo ssion thus overriding th	oropriate development. It only has a is site be contemplated before 2015 when usly stated this Site to be unsuitable it is nese Inspectors independent assessments of
				•	•	Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. me it should be removed from the Proposed
Respondent:	634	/ 1	Name:	В	Spragg	Organisation:
	st Son	nerse	et Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting o	n: G	T.1 P	Parcel 7100, \	Noollard Lane,	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the C	ounci	il's assessme	nt of opportur	nities and constraints o	on this site?
Do you agree that	the po	otent	ial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal a	llocation?
Do you have any of	ther g	ener	al comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?	
temporary permiss	sion o	f use	until 2015 so	why would fu	urther expansion of thi	oropriate development. It only has a s site be contemplated before 2015 when usly stated this Site to be unsuitable it is '

surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed

Respondent: 641 / 1 Name: Mrs PJ Melrose Organisation:

Bath and North East Somerset Council

the Site.

Options List.





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

641 / 1 Name: Mrs PJ

Melrose

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.





It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

656 / 1 Name: Ms Julie

Tooze

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

656 / 1 Name: Ms Julie

Tooze

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.





This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 657 / 1 Name: Mr Andrew Tooze Organisation: John

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 657 / 1 Name: Mr Andrew Tooze Organisation: John

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which





constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 676 / 1 Name: Mr Justin Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 676 / 1 Name: Mr Justin Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Respondent:

696 / 1 Name

Rank

Organisation:

Respondent:	090 / 1	Name.	3	Baliks	Organisation:
	Somerse	et Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on	: GT.1 F	Parcel 7100, V	Noollard Lane	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with the	ne Counc	:il's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraints o	on this site?
Do you agree that th	ie potent	tial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal a	Ilocation?
This Site is within the therefore any decision	that the e Green I on to exp otected b	proposal for a Belt and is the pand it should by Planning G	a Gypsy Site a erefore inappr d surely wait u uidelines and	t the Junction of the A3 opriate development. ntil 2015 when an exte should be upheld. Spec	37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. The Site has only a temporary Licence ension will be either extended or not. cial circumstances do not apply here.
Respondent:	696 / 1	Name:	S	Banks	Organisation:
Do you have any evi	dence or Somerse	· information et Council to o	about any of t	the sites set out in the	Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on	: GT.1 F	Parcel 7100. \	Noollard Lane	Whitchurch	
_		<u> </u>		nities and constraints o	on this site?
, -				n forward as a formal a	
Do you have any oth I would like to state	_				37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.
					The Site has only a temporary Licence ension will be either extended or not.
The Green Belt is pro	otected b	y Planning G	uidelines and	should be upheld. Spec	cial circumstances do not apply here.
Your Council should	look care	efully at the h	nistory of this S	Site.	
Respondent:	599 / 1	Name: Ms	Angela	Prescott	Organisation:
-	Somerse	et Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on	: GT.1 F	Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with tl	ne Counc	cil's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraints o	on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

699 / 1 Name: Ms Angela

Prescott

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

700 / 1 Name: Mr

Prescott

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Neil

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

700 / 1 Name: Mr

Prescott

Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.
Respondent: 701 / 1 Name: Ms Lucinda Blurton Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
All the land is greenfield within the Greenbelt and the site is outside the housing boundary for the village.
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
No.
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
No.
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
Respondent: 701 / 1 Name: Ms Lucinda Blurton Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.





The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

701 / 1 Name: Ms Lucinda

Blurton

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

702 / 1 Name: Mr John

Blurton

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and





NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	702 / 1	Name: Mr	John	Blurton	Organisation:	
	st Somers	set Council to	determine		It in the Preferred Options document which available, suitable and achievable for Gyps	•
Site commenting of	n: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Noollard La	ane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Coun	cil's assessme	nt of oppo	rtunities and cons	straints on this site?	
Do you agree that	the poter	ntial pitch prov	ision be ta	ken forward as a	formal allocation?	
Do you have any o	ther gene	eral comments	on the Pre	eferred Ontions na	aner?	
	ary use ur	ntil2015. How			under these circumstances. Any further dec	cisions
	the Green	belt. How can			ion about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is osal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on th	
Further, there is a constitutes a Haza	_				s of this Site which	
It is outside the ho	using dev	. Boundary fo	r Whitchur	ch Village.		
It is unsustainable. NHS dentist.	. Cars are	needed to acc	cess all ame	enities, facilities e	tc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's su	irgery and
		_	-		Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green our Proposed Options Sites.	ı Belt.
I trust you will take	e notice o	f this letter fro	om a local h	naving a local view	v .	
Respondent:	711 / 1	Name: Ms	Helen	Keen	Organisation:	
	st Somers	set Council to	determine		at in the Preferred Options document which available, suitable and achievable for Gyps	
Site commenting of	n: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Noollard La	ane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Coun	cil's assessme	nt of oppo	rtunities and cons	straints on this site?	
Do you agree that	the poter	ntial pitch prov	vision be ta	ken forward as a	formal allocation?	
Do you have any o	ther gene	eral comments	on the Pre	eferred Options pa	aper?	

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.





planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 711 / 1 Name: Ms Helen Keen Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 724 / 1 Name: Mr Walter Britton Organisation: Edward

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.





The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 724 / 1 Name: Mr Walter Britton Organisation:

Edward

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 727 / 1 Name: Mrs Patricia Ann Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is





made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

727 / 1 Name: Mrs Patricia Ann Martin

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

728 / 1 Name: Mr Allan John

Martin

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

728 / 1 Name: Mr Allan John

Martin

Organisation:



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
NO II
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
YES
Respondent: 728 / 2 Name: Mr Allan John Martin Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.
Respondent: 730 / 1 Name: A T Broad Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: CT 1 Parcel 7100 Weelland Lane Whitehurch
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

730 / 1 Name:

Broad

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

ΑT

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

751 / 1 Name: Mr John

Winter

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is





Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 751 / 1 Name: Mr John Winter Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 755 / 1 Name: Mrs PA Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 755 / 1 Name: Mrs PA

Barrett

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 755 / 1 Name: Mrs PA Barrett Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
NO
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
NO
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
This site is GREEN BELT and NO BUILDING or PLOTS on GREEN BELT. If this goes ahead whats to stop building of further housing on green belt thank you.
Respondent: 756 / 1 Name: Mr G A Barrett Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this. All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.
Respondent: 756 / 1 Name: Mr G A Barrett Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Options List.									
Respondent: 756 / 1 Name: Mr G A Barrett Organisation:									
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch									
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?									
NO									
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?									
NO									
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?									
Green belt must be left green belt. I am sure there must be other land.									
Respondent: 757 / 1 Name: B E Rosenthal Organisation:									
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch									
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?									
NO									
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?									
NO									
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?									
Service Servic									
Respondent: 757 / 2 Name: B E Rosenthal Organisation:									
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

757 / 2 Name:

ΒE

Rosenthal

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

758 / 1 Name: Mr John

Rosenthal

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Land at Woollard Lane (Parcel 7100) is in green belt "against government" wishes to develop green belt land

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

A long hard look should be taken of more brown field opportunities

Respondent: 758 / 1 Name: Mr John Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 758 / 1 Name: Mr John Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.





Respondent:

761 / 1 Name: Mr Frank

Lewis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?							
Traffic problems & dan	ngerous corner						
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch				
Do you agree with the	Council's assessm	ent of opportu	inities and cons	traints on this site?			
No							
Do you agree that the	potential pitch pr	ovision be take	n forward as a f	formal allocation?			
No							
Do you have any other Green belt to remain g		ts on the Prefe	rred Options pa	iper?			
Respondent: 763	1 / 1 Name: Mi	Frank	Lewis	Organisation:			
	omerset Council to	determine wh		t in the Preferred Options docume available, suitable and achievable			
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch				
Do you agree with the	Council's assessm	ent of opportu	inities and cons	traints on this site?			
Do you agree that the	potential pitch pr	ovision be take	n forward as a f	formal allocation?			
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.							
Options List.		Thistory of this	Site and in the	meantime it should be removed f	- Tom the Proposed		
Respondent: 763	1 / 1 Name: Mi	Frank	Lewis	Organisation:			
	omerset Council to	determine wh		t in the Preferred Options docume available, suitable and achievable	•		
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch				
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?							

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 762 / 1 Name: Ms Margaret Lewis Organisation: Elizabeth

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 762 / 1 Name: Ms Margaret Lewis Organisation:

Elizabeth

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when





further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

763 / 1 Name: Mr

Leonard

Wills

Organisation:

Henry

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

763 / 1 Name: Mr

Leonard Henry

Wills

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.





Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Wills

Respondent:

764 / 1 Name: Ms Linda

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

764 / 1 Name: Ms

Wills

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Linda

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is





made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 765 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon Bryant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 765 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon Bryant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.





It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

765 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon

Bryant

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Placing a site here would set a president and would leave the way open to further expansion of the site. It should not be made a permanent site.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

I do not agree with the points as an opportunity, the constraints are that this is Green Belt land and therefore should not be allowed to make this a permanent site.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO. I do not believe that this should be put forward to planning application or considered for further pitches. I believe that this should not be made permanent.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to express my opinion in the fact that it would seem that 'travellers' seem to be given more consideration of their needs than the normal general public and residents in this area. Under no circumstances should travellers be given special dispensation and be allowed to establish their home on Green Belt Land.

Respondent:

766 / 1 Name: Mr Peter

Bryant

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.





The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

766 / 1 Name: Mr Peter

Bryant

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

774 / 1 Name: Ms Nancy Jane

Mathias

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

774 / 1 Name: Ms

Nancy Jane Mathias

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

776 / 1 Name:

M D

Shortman

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

776 / 1 Name:

M_D

Shortman

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 793 / 1 Name: Ms Lorraine Davies Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 793 / 1 Name: Ms Lorraine Davies Organisation:



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 810 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Britten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We write to express our astonishment that this Site is on your Preferred Options Site List. There have been serious inaccuracies within your 'process' which have disadvantaged decision making prior to this Site being selected for your POSL. The Site is all Greenfield within the Greenbelt. Please adhere to your Council's Core Strategy and the NPPF - please see letter to John Everitt. This was pointed out to you and your department as far back and beyond as May - publicly and individually over the phone.

All amenities, schooling (senior) Doctors Surgery, NHS Dentist, etc need to be accessed by car.

The newly formed access was somehow agreed by highways. This entrance is on a very busy road- Woollard Lane- with many accidents logged by the Police including one fatality. There is no footpath for pedestrian use.

The Site has only Temporary Use until 2015. Why would any planning department see fit to double the size of something/anything that only has a possible limited timespan. The actual gaining of this Temporary Use is also extremely questionable given the history of this Site.

We respectfully suggest that the History of this Site is revisited by your Department. What is fair for one is fair for all. This Site should be removed from the Preferred Options Site List immediately. Your Council's error in the scoring matrix meant Parcel 7100 was incorrectly scored at point of selection and therefore cannot be put forward for further discussion in September.

Respondent: 811 / 1 Name: Ms Jill Britten Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

We write to you with regard to a proposal to double the size of a Temporary Site set in Green Belt land at Whitchurch Village. Your Council has previously found this Site to be unsuitable and has twice refused it planning. On Appeal the Inspector overruled the LA giving it Temporary use until 2015. Whether this land has formally been removed from the Green Belt by the Secretary of State is questionable.

This Site should be removed from your Options Shortlist because it has only Temporary Use and it would be quite wrong to double its size at this time.

This proposed development is contrary to many planning policies and guidelines running throughout your Core Strategy.

Pol GB1 The proposed development would represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt.

Local Planning Policy Context 2.7

This site fails on 4 out of 5 points

- 1. A car is needed to access all local amenities
- 2. The access is onto a very busy road with no footpath/pavement
- 3. It is visual on the main arterial route into Bristol
- 4. Will adequate services be deliverable?

CP11

This site fails on the following points

- a). Services only accessible by car- very busy roads at this 4 point road junction with A37 and no footpath/pavement on access road
- D) The Site will harm appearance- It is on main A37 arterial route into Bristol.

History Identified Need in L.A.

39 caravans were identified on unauthorized sites. This would indicate a need of 10-15 sites. At present your LA has identified far too many- 34 Permanent & 20 Transit Sites (A Pitch - is a Site for 3 or less caravans + 1 motorhome + all cars + visiting relations)

Issues Visual Impact

Again this is on the main A3 7 arterial route into Bristol and is in the Green Belt.

Green Belt.

There is a presumption against development in the Green Belt.

Any Sites in the Green Belt will be considered Less Favourably than those outside the Green Belt.

The LA Scoring System is incorrect for this Site.

The Doctors Surgery is not safely accessible on foot- there is no footpath/pavement

Proximity to Transport Node is not safe to walk to- no footpath/pavement

Brownfield Site is ambiguous due to the planning history.

Site should not be near a Hazardous Place- it is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Gas Pipe.

Safe access to Highway- no footpath/pavement.

In conclusion the Score for this Site should be 19 not 30

Further, the Government's National Planning Policy Framework states, PolE Para 14 The Green belt will be protected. Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very exceptional circumstances. Travellers Sites (Temporary or Permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Local Government Minister, Bob Neil has strengthened the planning process to protect the Green Belt and reiterates that Travellers Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt and that Local Communities must be listened to.





As your LA has twice deemed this site unsuitable for a Gypsy Site with 1 pitch, and it is in the Green Belt, we would respectfully ask that this Site be removed from the Short List.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? Respondent: 811 / 1 Name: Ms Jill Britten Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? We write to express our astonishment that this Site is on your Preferred Options Site List. There have been serious inaccuracies within your 'process' which have disadvantaged decision making prior to this Site being selected for your POSL. The Site is all Greenfield within the Greenbelt. Please adhere to your Council's Core Strategy and the NPPF - please see letter to John Everitt. This was pointed out to you and your department as far back and beyond as May - publicly and individually over the phone. All amenities, schooling (senior) Doctors Surgery, NHS Dentist, etc need to be accessed by car. The newly formed access was somehow agreed by highways. This entrance is on a very busy road- Woollard Lane- with many accidents logged by the Police including one fatality. There is no footpath for pedestrian use. The Site has only Temporary Use until 2015. Why would any planning department see fit to double the size of something/anything that only has a possible limited timespan. The actual gaining of this Temporary Use is also extremely questionable given the history of this Site. We respectfully suggest that the History of this Site is revisited by your Department. What is fair for one is fair for all. This Site should be removed from the Preferred Options Site List immediately. Your Council's error in the scoring matrix meant Parcel 7100 was incorrectly scored at point of selection and therefore cannot be put forward for further discussion in September. 811 / 2 Name: Ms Jill Britten Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group Respondent: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Thank you for listening to questions regarding the changed status now given to the temporary Gypsy Site at Woollard Lane In all new documents from Bath and North East Council it is referred to as a "Brownfield site" within "Green Belt my





question is when and why did this change?. No permanent building has ever been erected on the site.

The Inspectors employed by the Council have twice refused this site for gypsies and travellers as it is Green Belt emphatically stating the site is not Brownfield B&NES encouraged Mr Jones to submit a retrospective Planning application advising him the site was Brownfield by granting a temporary permission have overruled 2 separate Inspectors reports on this site. To add to this fiasco the owner is able to attend a seminar set up by the council looking for appropriate sites and is advised he can apply to expand even though he knows his permission is temporary. We need to know if this is a tactical move by the present council to score against the last Conservative run council.

We do hope the people involved with these decisions are aware that they are affecting the Human rights of ordinary people I read in documents referring to Human rights of travellers but it must work both ways.

It should be noted that the original site entrance and the new entrance are in use The Woollard site is on a dangerous corner where there have been several accidents in the last few weeks. Should the Council have to re route roads in the future who would pay compensation to the Gypsies? I guess the rate payers.

Respondent:

812 / 1 Name:

Penfold

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

1111 / 1 Name: Mrs Sue

Bressington

Organisation: Compton Dando Parish

Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Parcel 7100 Woollard Lane is very close to the boundary of our Parish, which includes the villages of Woollard and Queen Charlton. The village of Queen Charlton is a conservation area, and is located approx 1.2 miles from this site.

The site is in the Green Belt.

Woollard Lane is regularly used as a 'rat run" for traffic between Whitchurch and Keynsham, or Whitchurch through to Bath.



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

In general, yes. Our main concern would be how BANES will monitor and regulate the number of families and pitches on the site, given that it is in private ownership, and the consultation states that it does not consider ownership status.

While this site may be suitable for one or two pitches, we would not support further development on the site, which is in the Green Belt

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Yes

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

This Parish Council recognises the need to provide suitable sites to Gypsies, Travellers and Showpeople. It also recognises that authorised sites need to be provided, in order to avoid occupation of unauthorised sites (an issue that we have some experience of)

We do however believe that Traveller sites in the Green Belt remain inappropriate development, and that scarcity of land outside the Green Belt is not, of itself, a very special circumstance that overrides harm to the Green Belt. Therefore we do not agree with the implication in the Preferred Options paper that Green Belt sites may be considered suitable.

In our parish there was a recent appeal to The Planning Inspectorate with reference to an unauthorised site near Queen Charlton (APP/F0114/A/10/2127069). The Inspector's conclusion included the following: "I must attach substantial weight to the harm to the Green Belt by reason of the proposal's inappropriateness. I have also found that the proposal would result in significant harm to the openness of the Green Belt. In addition, the proposal would cause material harm to the local landscape (which is in the Green Belt) and limited harm to the Queen Charlton Conservation Area." He concludes: "My overall conclusion, therefore, is that the other material considerations in favour of the proposal do not clearly outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and other harm that I have found."

In fact, land adjoining this unauthorised site was considered as part of the site selection process (GT23) and rejected because of the impact on the Green Belt, encroachment into open countryside, visual impact on surrounding areas, and absence of access from the public highway. This parish council fully endorses the reasons given for rejecting this site, and notes the absolute commitment given at the Parishes Liaison meeting of 20TH June 2012 by Bath and North East Somerset Council that discarded sites will not be reconsidered.

As noted above, our other concern is with the monitoring of the sites to ensure that they do not grow beyond the number of pitches permitted, and that they are not used for commercial activity except where this has been included in planning permission.

We were surprised to see that some of the sites brought forward seemed to have fairly low scores on BANES own scoring matrix, for example Ellsbridge House, but still made it onto the list.

Respondent:

2028 / 1 Name: Mr

Gurton

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a





temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 20)28 /	1 Name: M	r P	Gurton	Organisation:				
	Some	rset Council t	o determ	-	t in the Preferred Options docume available, suitable and achievable f				
assessment in the sit	te "sco 012) co ld site noved	oring matrix." Contains misle With greenbe from the "lis	The lett ading an elt status	er from B&NES planni d incorrect informatio	as been erroneously given (by B&N ng policy, planning service (Ref: LDI n. Both parts of this preferred site	F/ML/2028 but			
Site commenting on:	Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch								
Do you agree with th	ne Cou	ncil's assessr	ment of o	pportunities and cons	traints on this site?				
No.									
Do you agree that th No.	e pote	ential pitch pi	rovision b	e taken forward as a f	formal allocation?				
Do you have any oth	er gen	eral commer	nts on the	Preferred Options pa	per?				
Respondent: 20)28 /	2 Name: M	r P	Gurton	Organisation:				
	Some	rset Council t	o determ	•	t in the Preferred Options docume available, suitable and achievable f	•			
Site commenting on:	GT.	1 Parcel 7100), Woolla	rd Lane, Whitchurch					
Do you agree with th	ne Cou	ncil's assessr	ment of o	pportunities and cons	traints on this site?				
Do you agree that th	e pote	ntial pitch p	ovision b	e taken forward as a f	ormal allocation?				
Do you have any oth	er gen	eral comme	nts on the	Preferred Ontions na	ner?				

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as





Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

2685 / 1 Name: Mr John

Kelly

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

3012 / 1 Name: Mr Steve

Filer

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

The proposed site has very poor vehicular access and is therefore unsuitable for additional movements

I have witnessed one collision outside the new and I understand illegal entrance to the site since it was formed.

The surrounding green belt land and this green belt area is not suitable for development and will detract from the rural nature of the area contrary to your local plan.





Such a development would detract from the existing resident's enjoyment of the current open and rural aspect of the area and this is contrary to your local plan.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?									
Do you have an	ny other gener	ral comments	on the Pi	referred Options pa	per?				
Respondent:	3095 / 1	Name: Mr	Joe	Evans	Organisation: Avonside CPRE				

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

It is important to note that the planning permission granted following application application 10/03798/FUL in November 2010 was intended to be temporary, not permanent. The granting of temporary planning permission has little meaning if it is subsequently seen as sole and sufficient justification for a permanent site, as seems to be the case here.

We would ask that before the site allocation process is taken further, the conditions of the original temporary planning permission should be reviewed to ensure that they have been fully complied with. The relevant conditions are:

- 1. The site is solely for the use of Mr John Jones and his immediate family.
- 2. New access should be constructed to the specifications given; hedging should be maintained and the previous access should remain closed off.
- 3. Previously existing containers and wooden structures on the site to be removed and not brought back onto the site at any time.

Details relating to condition 2 are set out on site plan 0954/02A and drawing 18135_100 Rev A.

Given that the previously granted temporary planning permission appears to be the sole justification for allocating two pitches to this site on a permanent basis, it is important that the conditions of the temporary planning permission have been strictly adhered to.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

1. Evidence base

We question the validity of the current evidence base for the needs of Gypsies and Travellers and its compliance with current government policy. The 2007 Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation (and other needs) Assessment has been used as the basis for this process. However, at five years old, it cannot be taken as an authoritative guide to the needs of a population that is by definition transient and fluid. Paragraph 4 of the DCLG's 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' of March 2012 states:

"...that local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning"
B&NES Council has clearly not made its own assessment of need as required; it has relied on five year old regional research. B&NES Council's own Site Assessment Report states:

"The precise need for sites to meet the new national policy will require a review of the GTAA study, preferable [sic] at the West of England level." [para. 1.4]

This has also not yet been carried out.





The March 2012 Planning Policy also states:

"In assembling the evidence base necessary to support their planning approach, local planning authorities should:

- 1. pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups)
- 2. co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups, other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-to-date understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas over the lifespan of their development plan working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities
- 3. use a robust evidence base to establish accommodation needs to inform the preparation of local plans and make planning decisions."

Because B&NES has not carried out its own research, it does not comply with this policy, having had no new formal consultation with travellers on their accommodation needs.

Because older research is being used, B&NES Council will not have a 'up-to-date understanding' of travellers' needs as required.

In failing to comply with the first two requirements, B&NES Council has not created a 'robust evidence base' and thus does not comply with the third requirement.

We are calling for a fresh process of evidence-gathering to inform a revised list of possible preferred sites based on up-to-date evidence.

2. Requirements of different travelling communities

The DPD recognises that the needs of travelling showpeople are different from those of gypsies and travellers [2.7]. However, it does not recognise the different and sometimes incompatible needs of New Travellers, Romany Gypsies and Irish Travellers. These groups do not always have harmonious relations with one another and B&NES Council's previous consultations suggested that enough land should be allocated to allow groups to live separately from one another [3.3]. The current proposed preferred options list places 15 of 25 permanent places on one site, the Old Colliery at Stanton Wick. This would not allow different groups to live separately from one another and would thus not meet the aims set out at 3.3. We would argue that a larger number of small sites would better meet travellers' needs than the current list. It would also minimise the impact on settled communities.

This is back by Paragraph 4.6 of the B&NES Council Issues and Options Report on this subject, which states:

"The need for smaller sites in Bath & North East Somerset district is supported by the West of England GTAA which found that most surveyed respondents expressed a preference to live in smaller family-sized sites of no more than five pitches." We would like to see an analysis of the different needs of the different sectors of the travelling community as part of the new evidence-gathering process mentioned above.

3. Green Belt

Policy E (para. 14) of the March 2012 policy states:

"Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. "

This is backed by the National Planning Policy Framework. Government policy thus prevents the development of Gypsy and Traveller Sites in Green Belt except in very special circumstances. We do not feel that this requirement has been met by the current process. Of the six proposed Preferred Sites, GT1 (Woolard Lane), GT 2 (Old Colliery, Stanton Wick) and GT8 (Lower Bristol Road) lie within Green Belt. The only justification given for the 'very special circumstances' required to approve development in Green Belt is that no other sites are available. The Sites Assessment Report states:

"The absence of appropriate land outside the Green Belt to meet the identified level of need is considered to contribute to very special circumstances."

In this case, the absence of other available sites does not simply 'contribute' to the 'very special circumstances; it is the sole justification for Green Belt development.

We feel that this is plainly not sufficient to prove that 'very special circumstances' exist, especially taken alongside the outof-date evidence base being used. If the justification for Green Belt development is that there is a need that cannot be met in any other way, then plainly the need must be derived from the most robust evidence. Equally, although the Call for Sites did not yield any other sites outside of Green Belt, such sites may well exist, especially if the principle set out above of





using smaller sites rather than larger is followed.

We feel that a fresh needs assessment should be carried out to provide a robust evidence base; further work should then be done to identify additional potential sites that are not in Green Belt. The current list of preferred options should be revised, to include only those Green Belt sites for which there is robust, up-to-date evidence of need and for which it can be demonstrated that there are genuinely exceptional circumstances to justify their inclusion.

4. Flaws in policy-making processes

Although the DPD has been prepared with a process that gives the appearance of being based on assessment of evidence, it is in fact deeply flawed. The matrix used to assess the suitability of sites in the Site Assessment Report appears to have been scored in an arbitrary manner in places; furthermore, the results of the scoring matrix bear little relationship to the shortlist of sites taken through to the Preferred Options DPD consultation document. A decision was taken at the Council's Cabinet meeting on 9 May 2012 to eliminate rejected sites so as to prepare the Preferred Options DPD consultation document. At that stage, no meaningful consultation had been carried out on either the process of site selection, the needs of the Gypsy and Traveller community in the area or the specific sites included in the process. The decision to create a shortlist before consultation had been carried out was contrary to both the 2008 Regulations on the preparation of Development Plan Documents and the 2012 NPPF, which states:

"155. Early and meaningful engagement and collaboration with neighbourhoods, local organisations and businesses is essential. A wide section of the community should be proactively engaged, so that Local Plans, as far as possible, reflect a collective vision and a set of agreed priorities for the sustainable development of the area, including those contained in any neighbourhood plans that have been made."

Respondent:	3324 /	1	Name: Mr	Derek	Winstone	Organisation:
-------------	--------	---	----------	-------	----------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Nο

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

The site has past through the parish council for planning purposed for two or three years. The planners have taken the view that the site would only sustain one converted building into a residential property. (I rest my case)

Respondent: 3443 / 1 Name: Mr Ian Mills Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

МΟ

This site is on Green Belt and should not be available for development in any way so a gypsy site should equally NOT be allowed.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO. Again this is green belt and should not be a permanent allocation.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3452 / 1 Name: Mr M Wilcox Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Travellar or Travelling Showncorle provision?

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We moved into the property directly opposite this parcel of land in February 1992, we bought the property because it is in a 'greenbelt' catchment area of natural beauty and untouched land and trees

The Mead is surrounded by agricultural land and trees which are partly used for farming, our own land totals several acres, and as greenbelt is protected and cannot be developed.

We have lived here for over twenty years and the parcel of land currently occupied by Mr. Jones and his family has always been greenbelt, and has never been occupied until Mr. Jones and his family moved in, there were a couple of storage containers that were left there but the land was never used or lived on.

Wollard lane is a treacherous stretch of road and over the years we have unfortunately witnessed numerous accidents and a tragic fatality which occurred outside the entrance the gypsy site, these accidents have in the main had the police and emergency services called to and I would like the police involved in this decision.

It begs belief that the council would consider this land as a potential site on such a dangerous road, this is without even taking into consideration that is greenbelt land, and it should not be considered.

Respondent: 3453 / 1 Name: Peter and Francomb Organisation: Kathryn

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We are writing to gain support for the Wilcox's to object to any planning permission being given to the land at Wollard Lane opposite "The Mead".

In fact we lived at The Mead from 1983 to 1992 before selling it to the current occupiers Debbie and Martyn Wilcox. We have always known that all the land around Wollard Lane and Queen Charlton Lane to be Greenbelt. It would have a detrimental effect on the area if the council grant the land to be used as a gypsy site.

Respondent: 3454 / 1 Name: Mr Denis Porter Organisation:



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, \	Noollard Lane	e, Whitchurch							
Do you agree with the	Oo you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?									
Do you agree that the	potential pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a fo	ormal allocation?						
Do you have any other	general comments	on the Prefe	rred Options par	per?						
I write to object to the It only has temporary to must be left until after It is now established the	use until2015. How 2015.	can a Counci	l expand a site ui	nder these circumstances. An	y further decisions					
			lt. How can you	progress with this proposal. I	The scoring matrix will					
be wrong on this country further, there is a High of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housin	n Pressure Gas Mair	n passing with		of this Site which constitutes	a Hazard as demanded					
	-		_	such as senior schools, shop	s, doctor's surgery and					
	rther discussion oth	er than to tal	ke this Site off yo	Gypsy Sites are inappropriate ur Proposed Options Sites.	in the Green Belt.					
Respondent: 345	4 / 1 Name: Mr	Denis	Porter	Organisation:						
	omerset Council to	determine wh		in the Preferred Options doo vailable, suitable and achieva						
Woollard Lane. When the road works had seen, and he had w				had more heavy trucks and	volume of vehicles he					
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, \	Noollard Lane	e, Whitchurch							
Do you agree with the	Council's assessme	nt of opportu	ınities and const	raints on this site?						
Not a safe place for tra A major road at the sit										
Do you agree that the	potential pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a fo	ormal allocation?						
Do you have any other	general comments	on the Prefe	rred Options par	per?						
Respondent: 345	4 / 1 Name: Mr	Denis	Porter	Organisation:						
·	omerset Council to	determine wh		in the Preferred Options doo vailable, suitable and achieva	·					

Site commenting on:

GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view. Ellis 3455 / 1 Name: Mr Tim Respondent: Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Yes, visability on the road. Very dangerous Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? Respondent: 3456 / 1 Name: Ms Deb Dowling Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Bath and North East Somersel Local Development Framework			•	Parcel 7100, Woo	ollard Lane, Whitchurch	Somerset Counci
NO				,	,	
Do you have an	y other gene	ral comments	s on the Pref	erred Options pap	er?	
Respondent:	3457 / 1	Name: Mr	Andrew	Dowling	Organisation:	
•	•		-		in the Preferred Options do vailable, suitable and achiev	·

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NΟ

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3458 / 1 Name: Ms Fiona Penfold Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3458 / 1 Name: Ms Fiona Penfold Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Green belt land not considered contamination eg gas and water high pressurised pipes near by not considered traffic and added congestion on unsuitable roads

added congestion on u	nsultable roads					
Do you agree that the p	ootential pitch prov	vision be take	en forward as a f	formal allo	ocation?	
Do you have any other Why have greenbelt if a				per?		
willy have greenbert in a	аррисаціону нке цін	is are put till	ough on it ::			
Respondent: 3458	3 / 1 Name: Ms	Fiona	Penfold		Organisation:	
	merset Council to	determine w			eferred Options document v suitable and achievable for	•
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Lan	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	Council's assessme	nt of opport	unities and const	traints on	this site?	
Do you agree that the p	potential pitch prov	vision be take	en forward as a f	formal allo	ocation?	
temporary permission of further permission may surprising that your Co the Site. The Site is unsustainable	of use until 2015 so y not be granted. G uncil granted a tem le. A car is necessa	o why would iven that two nporary perm ry for all visit	further expansic o Inspectors have nission thus over as to Shops, NHS	on of this see previous riding the Dentist, D	opriate development. It only site be contemplated before sly stated this Site to be unsuse Inspectors independent a poctor, Senior School, and other it should be removed from	2015 when uitable it is 'assessments of the cher amenities.
Respondent: 3459	9 / 1 Name:				Organisation:	
Bath and North East So Traveller or Travelling S	merset Council to o Showpeople provisi	determine w ion?	hether they are	available,	eferred Options document v suitable and achievable for en - their safety will be impa	Gypsy,
should be the responsi						area ana
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Lan	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	Council's assessme	nt of opport	unities and const	traints on	this site?	
Do you agree that the p	potential pitch prov	vision be take	en forward as a f	formal allo	ocation?	
Do you have any other	general comments	on the Prefe	erred Options pa	aper?		
Respondent: 3487	7 / 1 Name: Mr	lan	Plummer		Organisation:	





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
NO
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
NO
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? We do not want it here
Respondent: 3493 / 1 Name: S McCaig Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? No
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Yes.
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Yes.
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
Respondent: 3495 / 1 Name: G Summers Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
No.
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
No.
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
Respondent: 3496 / 1 Name: Mr Graham Day Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,





Site commenting on:

GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3496 / 1 Name: Mr Graham

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Day

NO

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All the 7 options are good reason why this site is not a possibility. Also more traffic in a small area.

Respondent:

3496 / 2 Name: Mr Graham

Day

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is





made in 2015 about this Site.

۱lso	this Si	ite is d	on the	main	arterial	route i	nto F	Bristol	which	again	is inapı	propriate.
			J		a. cc. ia.				*****	~D~	ap	p. 0 pa.cc.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3498 / 1 Name: Mr Williams Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view. Williams Respondent: 3498 / 1 Name: Mr D Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.





It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Daanandanti	2400 /	1 Names NA	_	\A/:II: a ma a	Oussisstian
Respondent:	3498 /	1 Name: Mr	υ	Williams	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Station Road, Newbridge, Bath

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Poor position for access onto Woollard Lane, road narrow and this is green belt land which should be preserved. I object most strongly to this proposal.

Respondent: 3499 / 1 Name: Mrs J Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Traveller of Travelling Showpeople provision:

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed





from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3499 / 1	Name: Mrs	J	Williams	Organisation:
-------------	----------	-----------	---	----------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

I object very strongly to this proposal. I live almost on the junction of Woollard Lane & A37. The lane is very narrow and twisty. Access to site would be very difficult and dangerous. It is also green belt. Planning permission has always been denied for any householders to build or extend on their properties on the A37 going into the village - rightly so, as it is very busy with heavy traffic

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3499 / 1 Name: Mrs J Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3586 / 1 Name: Mr M J Naunton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

The site at Woollard Lane should be discounted immediately as it is on GREENBELT land that should not be developed on.





The police have said as the road is so trecherous with so many accidents and a death they should be consulted. It is in a area that should not be considered and as a mistake was made by the planning department saying it was brownfield, the temporary planning should be changed to greenbelt laws and the gypsies evicted.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No, they made a mistake it is greenbelt, the road is trecherous with accidents and a fatality and temporary planning should not have been given after so many eviction notices served by the council originally.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Planning have said they made a mistake and now are saying it is greenbelt. I have lived opposite for 22 years, we know the people who lived here before and before that going back seventy years, it has always been greenbelt and never occupied only a rusty storage container. I have photo's going back thirty years I can present to back this up. This land should not be occupied.

I totally support all these points also it is a trecherous road, so many accidents have occured on Woollard Lane including someone killed on a motorbike. I have contacted the police who have said planning should have contacted them due to the road. We live opposite and have many acres of land which are all greenbelt, the definition of greenbelt is land that cannot be developed. This will have a detrimental affect on this area and will be the first thing people see from the A37. I am suffering from a tumor being removed and my oncologist has said this stress is adding to making me ill.

Respondent: 3587 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Holmes Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Ex MOD Sites

Outside Greenbelt

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Inappropriate development in the greenbelt.

Respondent: 3594 / 1 Name: Mr Philip Caines Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

I believe this site to be most unsuitable for this purpose. This is a very busy junction. A family with a number of children it would be dangerous. Also not suitable for working vehicles. Also the proper respect for the working cemetery nearby. Lots of local people would love to live there but would never get permission to build. Special treatment for any individual is not fair. I think they should put their name on the council house list like the young people in the area and take their turn. Nobody should get special treatment. No local people would get permission to build on Greenbelt lane so nobody should. 'It is spoiling our village'.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO. There should be no exceptions regarding Greenbelt land for ANYONE

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

DEFINITELY NOT.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

More consideration should be given to the effect on people already living in the area and not people outside who are quite happy that it is not on their doorstep. I am thinking of SOME councillors I have come into contact with.

Respondent:

3594 / 2 Name: Mr

Philip

Caines

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3599 / 1 Name:

Chambers

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.





Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3621 /	/ 1 !	Name: Ms	Alison	Bardsley	Organisation:			
Bath and North Ea	Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Fraveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?								
Site commenting	Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch								
Do you agree with	n the Co	ouncil	l's assessmer	nt of opportun	nities and constraints or	this site?			
Do you agree that	t the po	otentia	al pitch provi	ision be taken	forward as a formal all	ocation?			
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? All this land is 'greenfield' within the greenbelt and must therefore be inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this site to be unsuitable it is suprising that your Council granted temporary permission thus overriding theses Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to shops, doctors, senior schools and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.									
Respondent:	3694 /	/ 1 !	Name:	Katie	Kierski	Organisation:			
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch									
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?									
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?									
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. t is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as									

planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3716 / 2 Name: Mr Colin Holloway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3724 / 2 Name: Mrs Lynda

Holloway

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3735 / 1 Name:

Hallett

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as





planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3736 / 1 Name:

Hallett

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3737 / 1 Name: Ms Rachel

White

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Respondent:

3738 / 1 Name:

M.A

Williams

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3739 / 1 Name:

ΑJ

Williams

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3740 / 1 Name: Mr

Magre

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3743 / 2 Name: Mrs V

Bayliss

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3744 / 1 Name:

RJC Mead Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 37	45 / 1	L Name:	М	Buck	Organisation:					
Bath and North East S	Oo you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help sath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, raveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									
Site commenting on:	GT.1	Parcel 7100	, Woollar	d Lane, Whitchurch						
Do you agree with the	e Cour	ncil's assessn	nent of o	pportunities and con	straints on this site?					
Do you agree that the	e potei	ntial pitch pr	ovision b	e taken forward as a	formal allocation?					
Do you have any other	er gene	eral commen	its on the	Preferred Options p	aper?					
t is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.										
Respondent: 37	46 / 1	L Name:	Α	Buck	Organisation:					
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?										
Site commenting on:	GT.1	Parcel 7100	, Woollar	d Lane, Whitchurch						
Do you agree with the	e Cour	ncil's assessn	nent of o	pportunities and con	straints on this site?					
Do you agree that the				•						
· •	<u> </u>	· · ·								
Do you have any other	er gene	eral commen	nts on the	Preferred Options p	aper?					
I write to object to th	e prop	osed expans	sion of th	is Gypsy Site.						

of your scoring matrix.

must be left until after 2015.

be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3747 / 1 Name: Mrs C Fennel Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3748 / 1 Name: CR Bayliss Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3749 / 1 Name: Ms Clare Bayliss Organisation:



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3750 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Copeland Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3751 / 1 Name: Mr Allan Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?	
I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 wh	en

this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3752 / 1 Name: Danielle Millard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3753 / 1 Name: Wilson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3754 / 1 Name: AJ Mills Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3755 / 1 Name: J Barton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.





Respondent: 3	/56 / 1	Name:		Hoapps		Organisation:	
-	Somers	et Council to de	etermine wh			Preferred Options document which will he e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	!lp
Site commenting on	: GT.1 I	Parcel 7100, W	oollard Lane	, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	ne Cound	cil's assessmen	t of opportu	nities and cons	straints or	1 this site?	
Do you agree that th	e poten	tial pitch provis	sion be taker	n forward as a	formal all	ocation?	
Do you have any oth	or gono	ral cammants a	n the Drefer	erad Ontions no			
with this. All this land is Green temporary permission in surprising that your the Site. The Site is unsustain	o the profield with on of use nay not lection Council able. A coable.	pposed Gypsy S hin the Greenb until 20 15 so be granted. Giv granted a temp	elt and musi why would f en that two orary permi	Parcel, Woollar t therefore be further expansi Inspectors hav ssion thus over to Shops, NHS	an inapprion of this ye previou rriding the	Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree ropriate development. It only has a site be contemplated before 2015 when usly stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ese Inspectors independent assessments Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities it should be removed from the Propose	of es.
Do you have any evi	dence oi Somers	et Council to de	bout any of tetermine wh			Organisation: Preferred Options document which will he s, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	·lp
Site commenting on	: GT.1 I	Parcel 7100, W	oollard Lane	. Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	ne Cound	cil's assessmen	t of opportu	nities and cons			
Do you agree that th	ie poten	tial pitch provis	sion be taker	n forward as a f	tormal all	ocation?	
Do you have any oth							
with this. All this land is Green temporary permission further permission n	field wit on of use nay not I	hin the Greenb until 20 15 so pe granted. Giv	elt and mus why would f en that two	t therefore be urther expansi Inspectors hav	an inappr ion of this ve previou	Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree ropriate development. It only has a site be contemplated before 2015 when usly stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ese Inspectors independent assessments	

Respondent: 3758 / 1 Name: D Parsons Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed

Options List.





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3759 / 1 Name: Mr

Francis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3760 / 1 Name:

Francis

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3761 / 1 Name: Mr Kevin Saunders Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3762 / 1 Name: J.C.M Evans Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions





must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3763 / 1 Name: Ms Deborah Powell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3764 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Powell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is





made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3765	/ 1	Name	e: Mr	Ken	Butt		Organisation:	
	st So	mers	et Cour	ncil to	determiı	-		in the Preferred Options do vailable, suitable and achiev	
Site commenting of	n: (GT.1	Parcel 7	7100,\	Noollard	l Lane, Whitc	hurch		
Do you agree with	the (Coun	cil's ass	essme	nt of op	portunities a	nd constr	aints on this site?	
Do you agree that t	the p	oten	tial pito	ch prov	ision be	taken forwa	rd as a fo	rmal allocation?	
Do you have any ot								er? ptions List for a Gypsy Site.	
It is within the Gree planning guidelines The new entrance t	en Be s are to th empo it thi the n	elt an there e Site orary s Site	nd the Se to pro e is unsa licence e. arterial	ite is a otect G afe and for th route	III Green Freen Be d further is use ur into Bris	field. It is inal It these shou rmore the old atil 2015. No stol which aga	ppropriat Id be adhed dentrance further de ain is inap	e development within the (ered to. e is still being used. evelopment should take pla	
Respondent:	3766	/ 1	Name	<u>:</u>	М	Lear		Organisation:	
	st So	mers	et Cour	ncil to	determiı	-		in the Preferred Options do vailable, suitable and achiev	
Site commenting o	n: (GT.1	Parcel 7	7100,\	Noollard	l Lane, Whitc	hurch		
Do you agree with	the (Coun	cil's ass	essme	nt of op	portunities a	nd constr	aints on this site?	
Do you agree that t	Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?								
Do you have any ot	ther	gene	ral com	ments	on the	Preferred Op	tions pap	er?	
It is within the Gree planning guidelines	en Be s are	elt an ther	id the S e to pro	ite is a otect G	II Green ireen Be	field. It is ina It these shou	ppropriat		Green Belt and as
The site only has te	he new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. he site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is								

Respondent: 3767 / 1 Name: MI Davey Organisation:

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

made in 2015 about this Site.





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	2769 /	1	Name: Mr	Brian	Clark	Organisation:
nespondent.	37007		ivallic. ivii	Dilali	Clair	Organisation.

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3769 / 1 Name: J Scapping Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.



Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012

Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3770 / 1 Name:

Μ

Chambers

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3771 / 1 Name: Mr

Michael

Pugsley

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3772 / 1 Name: Ms Kim **Pugsley** Organisation:

13/09/2012 Bath and North East Somerset Council





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Paul Respondent: 3773 / 1 Name: Mr Roynon Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3774 / 1 Name: A.G Townsend Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3//5 / 1 Name: Ms Olivia Saunders Organ	isation:
---	----------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3776 / 1 Name: DA Butt Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.





Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3777 / 1 Name: J.A Mitchell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3778 / 1 Name: Ms Christine Mitchell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3779 / 1 Name: Ms Sarah Ingram Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3780 / 1 Name: Ms Lynne

Jones

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3781 / 1 Name: Mr Terry

Offer

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:	3811 / 1	Name: Ms	Danielle	Thomas	Organisation:					
Bath and North Ea	o you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help ath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, raveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									
Site commenting of	on: GT.1 P	'arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane,	, Whitchurch						
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?										
Do you agree that	Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?									
this temporary use Green Belt and the two different occa use. This is most s Green Belt land is no special case an National Planning	o the propo e is again vi ere is no sp asions on Ap trange and protected I d any such Policy Fran cil's incorre	used expansion isited by your the cial case for ppeals, which irregular and by Planning Godevelopment mework.	n of what is or Department. this developm your Council should be located and twould be dead to the council the cou	nly a temporary In the meantiment. This has be have apparentloked into. where they appended inapproperations	per? Is Site. Any decision should be left use I would say the whole Site is Green decided by two previous Plansy decided to overrule by giving the oly to one person they should applicate as stated within your Core Strais Site it would be best that this Si	eenfield within the ning Inspectors on Site temporary y to all. There is rategy and the				
Respondent:	3812 / 1	Name:	Р	Vear	Organisation:					
•			•		in the Preferred Options documen vailable, suitable and achievable f	•				

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3813 / 1 Name: Mrs Gioria Gurton Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch									
Do you agree with the	Counc	il's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constra	ints on this site?				
Do you agree that the	Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?								
with this.	the pro	posed Gypsy	Site at 7100 P	Parcel, Woollard L	r? ane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree inappropriate development. It only has a				
temporary permission further permission ma	of use ny not b	until 20 15 so e granted. Gi	o why would f ven that two	urther expansion Inspectors have p	of this site be contemplated before 2015 when reviously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ing these Inspectors independent assessments of				
			•	•	entist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. eantime it should be removed from the Proposed				
Respondent: 381	.5 / 1	Name:	DT	Walker	Organisation:				
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									
6.1	CT 4 D	2400 N	Maralla additiona	Add to the colle					
Site commenting on:		-	<u> </u>		into an this site?				
Do you agree with the									
					2				
Do you have any othe					r? tions List for a Gypsy Site.				
It is within the Green I	Belt and	d the Site is al	ll Greenfield. I	t is inappropriate	development within the Green Belt and as				
planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.									
Also this Site is on the This Site should be rer					propriate.				
December 201	<i>c.l.</i> 4	Name	20	VAT - b - c - c	Our testing				
Respondent: 381	.6 / 1	Name:	RD	Webster	Organisation:				
	omerse	et Council to o	determine wh		n the Preferred Options document which will help ailable, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,				
Site commenting on:	GT.1 P	arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane	, Whitchurch					

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3817 / 1 Name: Mrs C Webb Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3818 / 1 Name: Ms Caroline Stewart Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3819 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Parker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Traveller of Travelling Showbeoble brovisions

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3820 / 1 Name: GF Summers Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.





Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

3821 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Respondent: Loverseed-Voysey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3822 / 1 Name: Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

3823 / 1 Name: Mrs PE Respondent: Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

3824 / 1 Name: Ms Sarah Respondent: Sheehan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Sparks Respondent: 3825 / 1 Name: Ms Lucy Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3826 / 1 Name: Ms Hayley Thatcher Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3826 / 2 Name: Ms Hayley Thatcher Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3827 / 1 Name: Mr Jason

Thatcher

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

3828 / 1 Name: Ms Jaqui

Tavender

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a





temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3829 / 1 Name: M Knighton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3830 / 1 Name: E Knighton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and





NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3831 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Lampard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3832 / 1 Name: Mrs A Lampard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.





I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent. 3033 / I Name. Wis Staunton Wounter Organisation.	Respondent:	3833 / 1	Name: Ms	Staunton	Mounter	Organisation:
---	-------------	----------	----------	----------	---------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3834 / 1 Name: ML Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.





3835 / 1 Name: Respondent: D.L Pearce Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this. All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List. Respondent: 3836 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Ogborne Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3837 / 1 Name: Mr B Ogborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

3838 / 1 Name:

Sue

Coleman

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3839 / 1 Name:

Pat

Ford

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3840 / 1 Name:

GM Ford

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3841 / 1 Name: Mr

Davies

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

John

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	3842 / 1	Name:	Nicky	Ford Carnevale	Organisation:
•	ast Somers	et Council to	determine wl		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, V	Noollard Lan	e, Whitchurch	
Do you agree wit	h the Coun	cil's assessme	nt of opportu	unities and constraints o	on this site?
Do you agree tha	t the poten	tial pitch prov	vision be take	en forward as a formal a	Ilocation?
Do you have any	other gene	ral comments	on the Prefe	erred Options paper?	
I would like to sta This Site is within therefore any dec	the that the the Green cision to ex protected	proposal for a Belt and is the pand it should by Planning G	a Gypsy Site a erefore inapp d surely wait uidelines and	at the Junction of the Astropriate development. until 2015 when an extent should be upheld. Spec	37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. The Site has only a temporary Licence ension will be either extended or not. cial circumstances do not apply here.
Respondent:	3843 / 1	Name: Mr	Paul	Hynam	Organisation:
	ast Somers	et Council to	determine wl		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Land	e, Whitchurch	
Do you agree wit	h the Coun	cil's assessme	nt of opportu	unities and constraints o	on this site?
, -				en forward as a formal a	
I write to object to the life is within the Graphanning guidelin The new entrance. The site only has made in 2015 about the 2015 about the site only has made in 2015 about the site only has made i	o this Site I een Belt ar es are ther e to the Site temporary out this Site n the main	peing included and the Site is a set to protect Ge is unsafe and licence for this.	d in your Could in your Could Il Greenfield. Ireen Belt the difference of the second in the second in the Bristol with the Bristol with the second in the se	ese should be adhered to e the old entrance is stil 215. No further develop which again is inappropr	elopment within the Green Belt and as o. Il being used. ment should take placed until a decision is
Respondent:	3844 / 1	Name: Ms	D	Hynam	Organisation:
Do you have any	evidence o	r information	about any of	the sites set out in the	Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3845 /	1 Name: Mr	SM	Johnso	Organisation:
-------------	--------	------------	----	--------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3846 / 1 Name: M Bailey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	3847	′/ 1	Name:		Bishop		Organisation:	
	ast So	mers	et Council to	determine wh			Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	
Site commenting	on:	GT.1	Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree wit	th the (Coun	cil's assessme	nt of opportu	ınities and con	straints o	n this site?	
Do you agree tha	nt the p	oten	tial pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a	formal al	location?	
Do you have any	other	gene	ral comments	on the Prefe	rred Options p	aper?		
It is within the Graplanning guidelin The new entrance The site only has made in 2015 ab Also this Site is o	I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.							
Respondent:	3848	3/ 1	Name:	GF	Bishop		Organisation:	
Bath and North E	Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?							
Site commenting	on:	GT.1	Parcel 7100, V	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree wit	Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?							
Do you agree tha	at the p	oten	tial pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a	formal al	location?	
Do you have any	other	gene	ral comments	on the Prefe	rred Options p	aper?		
I write to object	I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.							

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions





must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	3850 /	1	Name: Ms	Jennifer	Belsten	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3851 / 1 Name: Mr P Belsten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is



Bath & North East Somerset Council

surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3852 / 1 Name: Ms Rosemary Coats Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3853 / 1 Name: M Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and





NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3854 / 1 Name: Ms Lillian Betty Parker

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3855 / 1 Name: Ms Holly

Parker

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3857 / 1 Name: Ms Karen

Sampson

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

3858 / 1 Name: Mr Daniel Respondent: Hunt Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3859 / 1 Name: The Organisation: Occupier



Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012

Bath & North East Somerset Council

Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or	Travallina	Chaumaa	പപ	nraviciani	
Traveller or	Travening	SHOWDED	\Box	DIOVISION	
i i a v c ii c i o i		J. IO II PCO	ρ.υ	p. 0 11310111	

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3860 / 1 Name: Mr Harry Richardson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showneonle provision?

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3861 / 1 Name: Ms Harriet Lomas Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3862 / 1 Name: Mr Sean Dorrington Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3863 / 1 Name: Mr Graham Close Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3864 / 1 Name: H Gammon Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.





Respondent: 3865 / 1 Name: Ms Nicola Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3866 / 1 Name: Mr Matt Donovan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Respondent: 3867 / 1 Name: Mr John Day Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3868 / 1 Name: KW Bevan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3869 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Jones Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

raveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?					
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch				

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3870 / 1 Name: J Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3871 / 1 Name: Ms Victoria Loosemore Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:	3872	/ 1	Name:	S	Gwyther	Organisation:
	st Sor	nerse	et Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting o	n: G	6T.1 F	arcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the C	ounc	il's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraints o	n this site?
Do you agree that	the p	otent	ial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal al	location?
I would like to state This Site is within t therefore any decis	e that he Gr sion t rotec	t the een I o exp	proposal for Belt and is the band it should by Planning G	a Gypsy Site a erefore inappr d surely wait u uidelines and	ropriate development. ⁻ ntil 2015 when an exte should be upheld. Spec	7 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. The Site has only a temporary Licence nsion will be either extended or not. ial circumstances do not apply here.
Respondent:	3874	/ 1	Name: Ms	Sarah	Pidgeon	Organisation:
•	st Sor	nerse	et Council to	determine wh		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting o	n: G	ST.1 F	arcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with	the C	counc	il's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraints o	n this site?
Do you agree that	the p	otent	ial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal al	location?
Do you have any of	ther g	gener	al comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?	

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3875 / 1 Name: J Rosling Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3876 / 1 Name: M Thompson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3877 / 1 Name: Mrs Donna Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any othe				•	
This Site is within the therefore any decision	Green Belt and to expand it sected by Plant	d is therefore inap should surely wai ning Guidelines ar	opropriate develot t until 2015 whe nd should be uph	of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most uppment. The Site has only a temporary nan extension will be either extended teld. Special circumstances do not appl	Licence or not.
Respondent: 387	'8 / 1 Name	: Mr Ben	Lloyd	Organisation:	
	omerset Coun	cil to determine v		ut in the Preferred Options document versities available, suitable and achievable for	
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7	100, Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the	Council's asse	essment of oppor	tunities and con	straints on this site?	
Do you agree that the	potential pitc	h provision be tal	ken forward as a	formal allocation?	
	<u> </u>				
Do you have any othe	r general com	ments on the Pre	ferred Options p	aper?	
I would like to state the This Site is within the therefore any decision	nat the propos Green Belt and In to expand it sected by Plant	al for a Gypsy Site d is therefore inap should surely wai ning Guidelines ar	e at the Junction opropriate development and the until 2015 whe and should be uph	of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most up the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most up the porary and an extension will be either extended seld. Special circumstances do not apply	Licence or not.
Pagnandant: 207	70 / 1 Namo	. 1	Garbutt	Organisation	
•	'9 / 1 Name			Organisation:	
	omerset Coun	cil to determine v		ut in the Preferred Options document we available, suitable and achievable for	
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7	100, Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the	Council's asse	essment of oppor	tunities and con	straints on this site?	
Do you agree that the	potential pitc	h provision be tal	ken forward as a	formal allocation?	
	nat the propos	al for a Gypsy Site	e at the Junction	aper? of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most only a temporary	

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3881 / 1 Name: Stephen Bishop Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3882 / 1	Name: Mr	Marcus	Mapstone	Organisation:
-------------	----------	----------	--------	----------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3883 / 1 Name: D Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.



Bath & North Eas Somerset Counci

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

3884 / 1 Name: Respondent:

MA

Golesworthy

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3885 / 1 Name:

Manning

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.





I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	3886 /	1	Name:	KG	Manning	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3887 / 1 Name: SJ Manning Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and





NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3888 / 1 Name: Mr Jason Moloney Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3889 / 1 Name: Mrs Jane Moloney Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.



Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012

Bath & North East Somerset Council

Respondent: 3890 / 1 Name: Ms Kerry Morgan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3892 / 1 Name: Ms Marilyn Morgan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3893 / 1 Name: Ms Morgan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

3894 / 1 Name: Ms **Perkins** Respondent: Kim Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed





from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3895 / 1 Name:	N	Smith	Organisation:	
-------------	----------------	---	-------	---------------	--

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3896 / 1 Name: RD Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3897 / 1 Name: Ms Jane Bestford Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3898 / 1 Name:

Parkinson

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

SJ

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3899 / 1 Name: Ms Anne

Pearce

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3903 / 1 Name: Ms Joan Tumblety Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3903 / 1 Name: Ms Joan Tumblety Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.





The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 390	04 /	1 N	ame: Mr	Trevor	Williams	Organisation:	
· ·	Some	set C	Council to	determine		t in the Preferred Options do available, suitable and achie	•
Site commenting on:	GT.	l Par	cel 7100,	Woollard L	ane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the	e Cou	ncil's	assessme	ent of oppo	ortunities and cons	traints on this site?	
Do you agree that the	pote	ntial	pitch pro	vision be t	aken forward as a	formal allocation?	
Do you have any othe	r gen	eral (comment	s on the Pr	eferred Ontions na	uner?	
I write with regard to with this. All this land is Greenfitemporary permission	the p ield w	ropo vithin se un	sed Gypsy the Gree ntil 20 15 s	y Site at 71 nbelt and r so why wou	00 Parcel, Woollar must therefore be uld further expansi	d Lane, Whitchurch Village and inappropriate development on of this site be contemplat	nt. It only has a red before 2015 when
surprising that your C the Site. The Site is unsustaina	ounci ble. A	l grai	nted a ter	mporary pe ary for all vi	rmission thus over	e previously stated this Site triding these Inspectors indep	oendent assessments of ol, and other amenities.
Options List.	оок са	aretu	ily at the	History of t	inis Site and in the	meantime it should be remo	vea from the Proposed
Respondent: 390	05 /	1 N	ame: Mr	Phil	Sidwick	Organisation:	
	Somei	set C	Council to	determine		t in the Preferred Options do available, suitable and achiev	
Site commenting on:	GT.	l Par	cel 7100,	Woollard L	ane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the	e Cou	ncil's	assessme	ent of oppo	ortunities and cons	traints on this site?	
Do you agree that the	pote	ntial	pitch pro	vision be t	aken forward as a	formal allocation?	
Do you have any othe	w <i>a</i> a a a	orel		c on the Di	oformed Outland	anar)	
THE VOIL DAVE ANY OTHE	n oen	eral (COUNTRIPORT	Son the Pr	PIPERPA CINTIONS NA	11.1Pt t	

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3906 / 1 Name: Ms Carol Sidwick Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3907 / 1 Name: Curtis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3908 / 1 Name: Candy Buckmaster Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

3909 / 1 Name: Ms Janet Godwin Respondent: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

3910 / 1 Name: Clark Respondent: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	3911 /	1	Name: Ms	Louise	Muse	Organisation:
-------------	--------	---	----------	--------	------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3912 / 1 Name: Mr Muse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is





made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3913 / 1 Name: Mrs Racheal Jackson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3914 / 1 Name: Mr D

Barnes

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3915 / 1 Name: Mr Tom

Bridgman

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Site commenting on:

GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this ∼site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed **Options List**

Respondent:

3916 / 1 Name:

Courtier

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3917 / 1 Name:

Conybeare

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3918 / 1 Name: Ms Gemma Elliott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No, I do not agree

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I do not agree with the proposed site.

All this land is Greenfield within the greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two inspectors have previously stated this site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the site.

The site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentists, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3919 / 1 Name: J Hewish Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.



the Site.

Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012 Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch



The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3920) / 1	LN	Name:	S	Hewish	Organisation:				
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?											
Site commenting of	on:	GT.1	Pa	rcel 7100,	Woollar	d Lane, Whitchurch					
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?											
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?											
Do you have any o	ther	gene	eral	l commen	ts on the	Preferred Options pa	aper?				
I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.											
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.											
Respondent:	3921	. / 1	L N	Name:	D	Hewish	Organisation:				
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?											
Site commenting of	on:	GT.1	Pa	rcel 7100,	Woollar	d Lane, Whitchurch					
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?											
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?											
Do you have any o	ther	gene	eral	l commen	ts on the	Preferred Options pa	aper?				
write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this											

Options List.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

Respondent: 3922 / 1 Name: Ms Cathy Holloway Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No I do not agree.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List

Respondent: 3922 / 1 Name: Ms Cathy Holloway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3923 / 1 Name: Ms Sandra Lee Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3924 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Lee Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3924 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Lee Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3926 / 1 Name: Mrs Victoria

Lofthouse

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3927 / 1 Name: Mr Gordan

Mignano

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Options List.

·							
Respondent:	3928 / 1	Name:	KW	Hallam	Organisation:		
	ast Somers	et Council to	determine wh		n the Preferred Options document which ailable, suitable and achievable for Gyps	-	
Site commenting	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Noollard Lane	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree wit	th the Coun	cil's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constra	ints on this site?		
Do you agree tha	it the poten	tial pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a for	mal allocation?		
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as oblanning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.							
Respondent:	3929 / 1	Name:	A	Lunt	Organisation:		
Do you have any	evidence o East Somers	r information et Council to	about any of determine wh	the sites set out i	n the Preferred Options document which ailable, suitable and achievable for Gyps	•	
Site commenting	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Noollard Lane	e, Whitchurch			
Do you agree wit	th the Coun	cil's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constra	ints on this site?		
Do you agree tha	it the poten	tial pitch prov	vision be take	n forward as a for	mal allocation?		
I write to object in the Grant I within the Grant I within the Grant I within the Grant I within the new entrance The site only has made in 2015 ab	to this Site I reen Belt an les are ther e to the Site temporary out this Site n the main	peing included and the Site is a set o protect Ge is unsafe and licence for this e.	d in your Cour Ill Greenfield. Freen Belt the d furthermore is use until 20 into Bristol w	It is inappropriate se should be adhed the old entrance 15. No further de	tions List for a Gypsy Site. development within the Green Belt and red to. is still being used. velopment should take placed until a dec		
Respondent:	3930 / 1	Name: Ms	Rebecca	Carr	Organisation:		

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3932 / 1 Name: Ms Jacqueline

Stone

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3933 / 1 Name: Ms

Hitchins

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Ellen

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?



Respondent:

Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012 Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch



Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help
Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Hitchins

Organisation:

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

3934 / 1 Name: Mr

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Rob

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3935 / 1 Name: P Fox Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary





use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3936 / 1 Name:

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3937 / 1 Name: Mr Steven

Johnson

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.





It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

3938 / 1 Name: Ms Anita

Johnson

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

3939 / 1 Name: Mr Adam

Johnson

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.





Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3940 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon Leech Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3941 / 1 Name: Ms Hayley Merchant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 394	42 / 1	Name:	JM	Nash	Organisation:		
	Somers	et Council to	determine		ut in the Preferred Options document which will help e available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,		
Site commenting on:	GT.1	Parcel 7100,	Woollard I	Lane, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	e Coun	cil's assessme	ent of opp	ortunities and con	straints on this site?		
Do you agree that the	poten	tial pitch pro	vision be t	aken forward as a	formal allocation?		
Do you have any othe	r gene	ral comment	s on the Pi	referred Ontions n	aner?		
I write with regard to	_				rd Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree		
with this. All this land is Greenf	ield wit	hin the Gree	nhelt and	must therefore he	an inappropriate development. It only has a		
					sion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when		
			•	•	ve previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is		
surprising that your C	ouncil	granted a ter	nporary pe	ermission thus ove	erriding these Inspectors independent assessments of		
the Site.							
			-	· ·	S Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.		
Options List.	JUK Cai	eruny at the	nistory or	tills site and in the	e meantime it should be removed from the Proposed		
Respondent: 394	43 / 1	Name:	MJ	Nash	Organisation:		
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?							
Site commenting on:	GT.1	Parcel 7100,	Woollard I	Lane, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	e Coun	cil's assessme	ent of opp	ortunities and con	straints on this site?		
Do you agree that the	poten	tial pitch pro	vision be t	aken forward as a	formal allocation?		
Do you have any othe	r gene	ral comment	s on the Pi	referred Ontions n	aner?		
I write with regard to					rd Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree		
with this. All this land is Greenf	ield wit	hin the Gree	nhelt and	must therefore he	an inappropriate development. It only has a		

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

Respondent: 3944 / 1 Name: Mr Shane Organisation: Lee





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3945 / 1 Name: Ms Irene Marsh Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3946 / 1 Name: Ms Rachel Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3947 / 1 Name: Mr Andrew Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3948 / 1 Name: Mr Roger Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3949 / 1 Name: Ms Lynda Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3950 / 1 Name: Mr Trevor Mills Organisation: Gordan

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on:

GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:

3952 / 1 Name: Mr David

Mills

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3953 / 1 Name: Mr Wayne Millard

Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Bath and North East So Traveller or Travelling			ether they are	available, suitable and achievable	for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane,	Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the	Council's assessme	nt of opportun	nities and const	traints on this site?	
Do you agree that the	potential pitch prov	vision be taken	forward as a f	ormal allocation?	
Do you have any other	r general comments	on the Preferr	red Options pa	per?	
It is within the Green E planning guidelines are The new entrance to t	Belt and the Site is a e there to protect G he Site is unsafe and	III Greenfield. It ireen Belt these d furthermore	t is inappropria e should be ad the old entran		
made in 2015 about the Also this Site is on the This Site should be ren	main arterial route		_		
Respondent: 395	4 / 1 Name:	А	Meli	Organisation:	
	omerset Council to	determine whe		t in the Preferred Options docume available, suitable and achievable	·
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane,	Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the Do you agree that the					
This Site is within the Output the Control of the C	at the proposal for Green Belt and is the to expand it should be ected by Planning G	a Gypsy Site at erefore inapprod surely wait ur uidelines and s	the Junction copriate develontil 2015 when should be uphe	per? If the A37 and Sleep Lane to be mo pment. The Site has only a tempol an extension will be either extend eld. Special circumstances do not a	rary Licence ded or not.
Respondent: 395	5 / 1 Name:	М	Milkins	Organisation:	
•	omerset Council to	determine whe		t in the Preferred Options docume available, suitable and achievable	•
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane,	Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the		<u> </u>		traints on this site?	
Do you agree that the					





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3956 /	1	Name: Mrs	Oldfield	Organisation:
-------------	--------	---	-----------	----------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3957 / 1 Name: H Orren Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Options List.

Respondent:	3958 /	1 Name:	Sarah	Paling	Organisation:
	ast Somer	set Council	to determine v		ut in the Preferred Options document which will help available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: GT.1	L Parcel 710	0, Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch	
Do you agree wit	h the Cou	ncil's assess	ment of oppor	tunities and con	straints on this site?
Do you agree tha	t the pote	ntial pitch p	rovision be tak	en forward as a	formal allocation?
with this. All this land is Gro temporary permi further permissic surprising that yo the Site. The Site is unsust	eenfield wassion of uson may not our Counci	roposed Gy within the Gr se until 20 1 t be granted I granted a to	psy Site at 7100 eenbelt and ma 5 so why would I. Given that two temporary perm ssary for all visi	O Parcel, Woolla ust therefore bed further expans to Inspectors ham ission thus over its to Shops, NHS	aper? rd Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree an inappropriate development. It only has a sion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when we previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is rriding these Inspectors independent assessments of 5 Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. E meantime it should be removed from the Proposed
	22=2 /			5	
Respondent:	3959 /	1 Name: N	Ars V	Patel	Organisation:
	ast Somer	set Council	to determine v		ut in the Preferred Options document which will help available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: GT.1	L Parcel 710	0, Woollard Lai	ne, Whitchurch	
Do you agree wit	h the Cou	ncil's assess	ment of oppor	tunities and con	straints on this site?
Do you agree tha	t the pote	ntial pitch p	rovision be tak	ken forward as a	formal allocation?
Do you have any	other gen	eral comme	nts on the Pref	ferred Options p	aper?
I would like to sta This Site is within therefore any de	ate that the the Green cision to e protected	e proposal f n Belt and is xpand it sho I by Plannin	for a Gypsy Site therefore inap ould surely wait g Guidelines ar	e at the Junction opropriate devel t until 2015 whe nd should be uph	of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. opment. The Site has only a temporary Licence in an extension will be either extended or not. held. Special circumstances do not apply here.
Respondent:	3960 /	1 Name:	Umang	Patel	Organisation:

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3961 / 1 N	ame: L	Payne	Organisation:
-------------	------------	--------	-------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3962 / 1 Name: Mr Chris Lauste Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.





The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 39	963 / 1	Name: Ms	Jane	Nyhan	Organisation:
	Somerse	t Council to c	letermine who		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on	: GT.1 P	arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane,	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with th	ne Counci	il's assessmer	nt of opportur	nities and constraints o	n this site?
Do you agree that th	ne potent	ial pitch prov	ision be taken	forward as a formal al	location?
Do you have any oth	ner genera	al comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?	
This Site is within the therefore any decision	e Green E on to exp otected b	Belt and is the and it should y Planning Gu	erefore inappr surely wait un uidelines and s	opriate development. ntil 2015 when an exte should be upheld. Spec	7 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. The Site has only a temporary Licence nsion will be either extended or not. ial circumstances do not apply here.
Respondent: 39	964 / 1	Name:	L	Robinson	Organisation:
	Somerse	t Council to c	letermine who		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on	: GT.1 P	arcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane,	, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with th	ne Counci	il's assessmer	nt of opportur	nities and constraints o	n this site?
Do you agree that th	ne potent	ial pitch prov	ision be taken	forward as a formal al	location?
This Site is within the therefore any decision	that the period on to exportected b	oroposal for a Belt and is the and it should y Planning Gu	a Gypsy Site at erefore inappr surely wait u uidelines and s	t the Junction of the A3 opriate development. ntil 2015 when an exteshould be upheld. Spec	7 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. The Site has only a temporary Licence nsion will be either extended or not. ial circumstances do not apply here.
Respondent: 39	965 / 1	Name:	G	Salisbury	Organisation:
•	•			,	-
	Somerse	t Council to c	letermine who		Preferred Options document which will help e, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3966 /	1	Name: Ms	Clare	Searle	Organisation:
-------------	--------	---	----------	-------	--------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3967 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Stacey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3968 / 1 Name: Ms Lousie Stephens Organisation:



Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012 Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch



Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Site commenting on	GT.1	Parcel 7100,	Woollard I	ane, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with th	ne Coun	cil's assessm	ent of oppo	ortunities and con	straints on this site?
Do you agree that th	e poten	itial pitch pro	vision be t	aken forward as a	formal allocation?
This Site is within the therefore any decision	that the e Green on to ex otected	proposal for Belt and is the pand it should by Planning (r a Gypsy Sinerefore in Id surely w Guidelines	ite at the Junction appropriate devel ait until 2015 whe and should be upl	of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. opment. The Site has only a temporary Licence on an extension will be either extended or not. neld. Special circumstances do not apply here.
Respondent: 39	969 / 1	Name:	L	Tait	Organisation:
	Somers	et Council to	determine		ut in the Preferred Options document which will he available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on	GT.1	Parcel 7100,	Woollard I	ane, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with th	ne Coun	cil's assessm	ent of oppo	ortunities and con	straints on this site?
Do you agree that th	e poten	itial pitch pro	vision be t	aken forward as a	formal allocation?
It is within the Greer planning guidelines a The new entrance to	nis Site In Belt are there the Site of the Site of this Site of the main	being include nd the Site is e to protect (e is unsafe ar licence for the e. arterial route	ed in your Call Greenfie Green Belt and furthern his use unti	Council's Proposed eld. It is inapproposed these should be a nore the old entra il 2015. No furthe	Options List for a Gypsy Site. riate development within the Green Belt and as dhered to. nce is still being used. r development should take placed until a decision is nappropriate.
Respondent: 39	969 / 1	Name:	L	Tait	Organisation:
	Somers	et Council to	determine		ut in the Preferred Options document which will he available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on	GT.1	Parcel 7100,	Woollard I	.ane, Whitchurch	
Do you agree with th	ne Coun	cil's assessm	ent of oppo	ortunities and con	straints on this site?
Do you agree that th	e poten	itial pitch pro	vision be t	aken forward as a	formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3970 / 1 Name: Ms Judi Ticktum Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3971 / 1 Name: A Tiller Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.



Tiller

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Bath & North East Somerset Council

Respondent:

3971 / 1 Name:

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Organisation:

Site commenting or	n: GT.1 I	Parcel 7100,	Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch	1
Do you agree with	the Counc	cil's assessme	ent of oppo	rtunities and co	nstraints on this site?
Do you agree that t	he poten	tial pitch pro	vision be ta	ken forward as	a formal allocation?
Do you have any ot					•
		•	•	•	d Options List for a Gypsy Site.
planning guidelines					oriate development within the Green Belt and as
		•			ance is still being used.
					er development should take placed until a decision is
made in 2015 abou					
Also this Site is on t				•	• • •
This Site should be	removed	from your Co	ouncil's Proj	posed Options I	.IST.
Posnondont: 3	0072 / 1	Name: Ms	Joanna	Toto	Organication
Respondent: 3	9/2/ 1	ivallie. IVIS	JUdillid	1010	Organisation:
-					out in the Preferred Options document which will he
				whether they a	re available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Traveller or Travelli	ng Showp	people provis	sion?		
Site commenting or	n: GT.1 I	Parcel 7100,	Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch	1
Do you agree with	the Counc	cil's assessme	ent of oppo	rtunities and co	nstraints on this site?
Do you agree that t	he poten	tial pitch pro	vision be ta	ken forward as	a formal allocation?
Do you have any ot	her genei	ral comment	s on the Pre	eferred Options	paper?
		-	•	•	d Options List for a Gypsy Site.
					oriate development within the Green Belt and as
planning guidelines		•			
					ance is still being used. er development should take placed until a decision is
made in 2015 abou			iis use uiitii	2013. NO TUITIR	er development should take placed dritti a decision is
Also this Site is on t			e into Bristo	I which again is	inappropriate.
This Site should be				_	
Respondent: 3	973 / 1	Name:	Р	Toto	Organisation:
Do you have any ev	idence oi	· informatior	n about any	of the sites set	out in the Preferred Options document which will he

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3975	/ 1	Name: Ms	Julie	Rowles	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3976 / 1 Name: V Ward Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3977 / 1 Name: Ms Claire Wools Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3978 / 1 Name: J Woodman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3979 / 1 Name: Lee Yarlett Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?						
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch						
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?						
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?						
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?						
I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.						
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of						
the Site.						
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.						
Respondent: 3980 / 1 Name: R Perrott Organisation:						
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?						
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch						
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?						
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?						
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?						
I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.						
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.						
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.						
Respondent: 3981 / 1 Name: G Kew Organisation:						
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help						

13/09/2012

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,



Bath & North East Somerset Counci

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

3982 / 1 Name:

Britten

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

3983 / 1 Name: Ms Shirley

Clark

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on





two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3984 / 1 Name: Meruyn Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3985 / 1 Name: R Crabbe Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.





Respondent: 3986 / 1 Name: L Holuey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3987 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Edwards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3988 / 1 Name: Mr Wesley Edwards Organisation:



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view. 3989 / 1 Name: Ms S Respondent: Dyer Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3990 / 2 Name: SJ Bumett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	3991 / 1	Name:	M	Burke	Organisation:
-------------	----------	-------	---	-------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3992 / 1 Name: KG Burke Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

3993 / 1 Name: McNeil Respondent: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3994 / 1 Name: Ms Mandy Cheshire Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3995 / 1 Name: Ms Maxine Barton Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3996 / 1 Name: Ms Michelle Bennett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3997 / 1 Name: Mr Caroline Banfield Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3998 / 1 Name: Ms Yvette Bray Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3999 / 1 Name: V Bailey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.





The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4000 / 1	Name:	Stephen	Bayliss	Organisation:	
	st Somer	set Council to	determine wh		in the Preferred Options document wh vailable, suitable and achievable for Gy	•
Site commenting o	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Coun	icil's assessme	ent of opportu	nities and const	raints on this site?	
Do you agree that	the poter	ntial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a fo	ormal allocation?	
Do you have any o						
This Site is within t therefore any deci	the Green ision to ex protected	Belt and is the pand it should by Planning G	erefore inappo d surely wait u duidelines and	ropriate develor Intil 2015 when should be uphe	f the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsoment. The Site has only a temporary Lican extension will be either extended or ld. Special circumstances do not apply h	cence not.
Respondent:	4001 / 1	. Name:	D	Bayliss	Organisation:	
	st Somer	set Council to	determine wh		in the Preferred Options document wh vailable, suitable and achievable for Gy	•
Site commenting o	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Coun	icil's assessme	ent of opportu	nities and const	raints on this site?	
Do you agree that	the poter	ntial pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a fo	ormal allocation?	
This Site is within t therefore any deci	te that the the Green ision to ex orotected	e proposal for Belt and is th spand it should by Planning G	a Gypsy Site a erefore inapp d surely wait u fuidelines and	t the Junction or ropriate develop Intil 2015 when should be uphe	per? If the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsoment. The Site has only a temporary Liden extension will be either extended or ld. Special circumstances do not apply h	cence not.
Respondent:	4002 / 1	. Name:	Р	Ambrose	Organisation:	
	st Somers	set Council to	determine wh		in the Preferred Options document wh vailable, suitable and achievable for Gy	•
Site commenting o	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	e, Whitchurch		

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4004	1 /	1	Name:	JR	Baggs	Organisation:	
Bath and North Ea	Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?							
Site commenting	on:	GT.1	1 Pa	arcel 7100, V	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	n the	Cou	nci	l's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraints o	on this site?	
Do you agree that	t the p	oote	nti	al pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a formal a	Illocation?	
Do you have any o	other	gen	era	al comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?		
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.								
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.								
Respondent:	4005	5 /	1	Name:	EA	Baggs	Organisation:	
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?								
Site commenting	on:	GT.1	1 Pa	arcel 7100, V	Noollard Lane	, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?								
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?								





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	4007 /	1	Name:	N	Churchill	Organisation:	
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?							
Site commenting	on: G	T.1 P	arcel 7100, W	Voollard Lane,	Whitchurch		
Do you agree wit	h the Co	ounci	il's assessmer	nt of opportur	nities and constraints or	n this site?	
Do you agree tha	t the po	tenti	ial pitch provi	ision be taken	forward as a formal all	ocation?	
Do you have any	other g	enera	al comments	on the Preferi	red Options paper?		
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.							
Respondent: 4008 / 1 Name: Ms Lorraine Cano Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?							
		-					
Site commenting	on: G	T.1 P	arcel 7100, W	Voollard Lane,	Whitchurch		
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?							
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?							
Do you have any	other g	enera	al comments	on the Preferi	red Options paper?		

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence



Bath & North East Somerset Council

therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 40	009 / 1	Name:	RA	Duck	Organisation:		
	Somerse	et Council to o	determine wh		t in the Preferred Options doc available, suitable and achiev	·	
Site commenting on:	GT.1 F	Parcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane	, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with th	ie Counc	il's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and cons	traints on this site?		
Do you agree that th	e potent	tial pitch prov	ision be taker	n forward as a	formal allocation?		
Do you have any oth	er gener	al comments	on the Prefer	red Options pa	per?		
I write to object to the lt only has temporary must be left until after the left until after	y use un	•		•	under these circumstances. Ar	ny further decisions	
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.							
_	gh Press ix.	ure Gas Main	passing with		of this Site which constitutes	a Hazard as demanded	
					c such as senior schools, shop	os, doctor's surgery and	
There should be no f	Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.						
Respondent: 40	010 / 1	Name: Ms	Sandra	Duck	Organisation:		
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?							
Site commenting on:	GT.1 F	Parcel 7100, V	Voollard Lane	, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with th	ie Counc	il's assessme	nt of opportu	nities and cons	traints on this site?		
Do you agree that th	e potent	tial pitch prov	ision be taker	n forward as a	formal allocation?		

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.





It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	4011 / 1	Name: Ms	Amanda	Emery	Organisation:	
Do you have an	y evidence o	r information	about any o	of the sites set o	ut in the Preferred Options docum	ent which will help
Bath and North	East Somers	et Council to	determine v	vhether they are	available, suitable and achievable	e for Gypsy,

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4013 / 1 Name: Ms Sarah Edwards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4014 / 1 Name: MC Eades Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4015 / 1 Name: MR Eades Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.



Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012



Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Respondent: 4016 / 1 Name: **Evans** Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site. Organisation: Respondent: 4018 / 1 Name: Mr Foster Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as

planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4019 / 1 Name: Ms Maria Fox Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

4020 / 1 Name: Ms

Mandy

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Frost

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:

4021 / 1 Name: Mrs BH

Gillard

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent:

4021 / 1 Name: Mrs BH

Gillard

Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Traveller of Travelling	, on on peo	pic provis					
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Pard	cel 7100. \	Woollard La	ne. Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the					straints on th	nis site?	
Do you agree that the							
	росолия	prom pro					
Do you have any othe	r general o	comments	s on the Pref	ferred Options pa	aper?		
I would like to state the This Site is within the therefore any decision The Green Belt is prot Your Council should lo	Green Belt n to expan ected by P	t and is th d it should Planning G	erefore inap d surely wait Guidelines an	ppropriate develon t until 2015 when Ind should be uph	opment. The n an extension	Site has only a ton will be either	temporary Licence extended or not.
Respondent: 402	22 / 1 Na	ame:	D	Gilbert	0	rganisation:	
Do you have any evide Bath and North East S Traveller or Travelling	omerset C	Council to	determine v			•	ocument which will help evable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Pard	cel 7100, \	Woollard Laı	ne, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	Council's	assessme	ent of oppor	tunities and cons	straints on th	nis site?	
Do you agree that the	potential	pitch pro	vision be tak	en forward as a	formal alloca	ation?	
further permission masurprising that your Country the Site. The Site is unsustaina	the propo eld within n of use un ay not be g ouncil gran ble. A car i	the Green til 20 15 s granted. G nted a ten s necessa	r Site at 7100 nbelt and muso why would liven that two nporary perr	O Parcel, Woolland ust therefore be difference of further expansion in the control of the contro	an inappropion of this sive previously triding these	riate developme te be contempla stated this Site Inspectors inde	ent. It only has a ted before 2015 when
,	24 / 1 Na		Debra	Hawkes		rganisation:	
Do you have any evide Bath and North East S Traveller or Travelling	omerset C	Council to	determine v			•	ocument which will help evable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parc	cel 7100, \	Woollard Lai	ne, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with the	Council's	assessme	ent of oppor	tunities and cons	straints on th	nis site?	
Do you agree that the	potential	pitch prov	vision be tak	en forward as a	formal alloca	ation?	





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4025 / 1 Name: S Harris Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4026 / 1 Name: Ms Jess Hepburn Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.





Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 40	027 / 1	. Name: Mr	BS	Hill	Organisation:	
	Somers	set Council to	determi		out in the Preferred Options docu e available, suitable and achieval	-
Site commenting on	: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard	d Lane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with th	ne Coun	ıcil's assessme	ent of op	portunities and co	nstraints on this site?	
Do you agree that th	e poter	ntial pitch prov	vision be	taken forward as a	a formal allocation?	
, -	<u> </u>					
with this. All this land is Green temporary permission n surprising that your the Site. The Site is unsustain	o the pr field wi on of us nay not Council able. A	thin the Greer e until 20 15 s be granted. G granted a ten car is necessa	r Site at inbelt and own why we invention that one or all	d must therefore by yould further expant two Inspectors has permission thus over visits to Shops, NE	paper? and Lane, Whitchurch Village and e an inappropriate development. sion of this site be contemplated ave previously stated this Site to be erriding these Inspectors indeper S Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, e meantime it should be removed.	It only has a before 2015 when be unsuitable it is indent assessments of and other amenities.
Respondent: 40	028 / 1	. Name: Ms	Chloe	Hurst	Organisation:	
Do you have any evi	dence c	or information set Council to	about a determi	ny of the sites set o	out in the Preferred Options docu e available, suitable and achieval	•
Site commenting on:	: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard	d Lane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with th	ne Coun	icil's assessme	ent of op	portunities and co	nstraints on this site?	
Do you agree that th	e poter	ntial pitch prov	vision be	taken forward as	a formal allocation?	
Do you have any oth	er gene	eral comments	on the	Preferred Options	paper?	

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4029 / 1 Name: Ms Anne Langley Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch





Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4030 / 1 Name: Ms Georginna Marriott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4031 / 1 Name: Mr Roger Howse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded





of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4032 / 1 Name: Ms I Howse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4033 / 1 Name: B James Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.





It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent:	4034 /	1 N	ame:	J	James	Organisation:		
	ast Somer	set C	Council to	determine wh		ne Preferred Options document which will help able, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,		
Site commenting	on: GT.1	L Par	cel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with	h the Cou	ncil's	s assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraint	s on this site?		
Do you agree that	t the pote	ntial	pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a forma	I allocation?		
Da van bana ami								
I write to object to					red Options paper? v Site			
_	rary use u	ıntil2	•	• • •	•	these circumstances. Any further decisions		
	•				rrect information ab			
					t. How can you prog	ress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will		
_	e wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. urther, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded							
of your scoring m	_			,				
It is outside the h	_		-		-			
NHS dentist.	e. Cars are	nee	eded to acc	cess all amenit	ies, facilities etc suci	n as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and		
	y and Nat	iona	ıl Planning	Policy Framev	vork state that Gyps	y Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.		
					•	roposed Options Sites.		
I trust you will tak	ke notice (of thi	is letter fro	om a local havi	ing a local view.			
Respondent:	4035 /	1 N	ame:	Т	Hennessy	Organisation:		
•	ovidonos	or in:	formation	about any of t		-		
	ast Somer	set C	Council to	determine wh		ne Preferred Options document which will help able, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,		
Site commenting	on: GT.1	L Par	cel 7100, \	Woollard Lane	, Whitchurch			
Do you agree with	h the Cou	ncil's	s assessme	nt of opportu	nities and constraint	s on this site?		
Do you agree that	t the pote	ntial	pitch prov	vision be taker	n forward as a forma	I allocation?		
Do you have any	other gen	eral (comments	on the Prefer	red Options paper?			

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4036 / 1 Name: F Richards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4037 / 1 Name: V Connolly Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.





					•	
Respondent:	4038	/ 1	Name: Mr	r PJ	Smith	Organisation:
	ast Son	nerset	t Council to	o determ	= -	out in the Preferred Options document which will help te available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: G	T.1 Pa	arcel 7100,	, Woollar	d Lane, Whitchurch	
Do you agree wit	h the C	ounci	l's assessm	nent of op	pportunities and cor	nstraints on this site?
Do you agree tha	t the po	otenti	al pitch pro	ovision b	e taken forward as a	a formal allocation?
Do you have any	other g	enera	al commen	ts on the	Preferred Options	paper?
I write to object t	to this S	ite be	ing includ	ed in you	r Council's Propose	d Options List for a Gypsy Site.
						riate development within the Green Belt and as
			•		elt these should be a	
						ance is still being used.
made in 2015 abo	-	-	cence for t	:his use u	ntil 2015. No furthe	r development should take placed until a decision is
			rterial rout	e into Br	stol which again is i	nannronriate
					Proposed Options L	• • •
					'	
Respondent:	4040	/ 1	Name: Mr	rs B	Smith	Organisation:
	ast Son	nerset	t Council to	o determ		out in the Preferred Options document which will help be available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting	on: G	T.1 Pa	arcel 7100	, Woollar	d Lane, Whitchurch	
Do you agree wit	h the C	ounci	l's assessm	nent of o	pportunities and cor	nstraints on this site?
Do you agree tha	t the po	otenti	al pitch pr	ovision b	e taken forward as a	a formal allocation?
700 08000 000	, p					
					- 10 ···	2
					Preferred Options	•
			_	•	•	d Options List for a Gypsy Site. riate development within the Green Belt and as
					elt these should be a	•
			•			ance is still being used.
						r development should take placed until a decision is
made in 2015 ab	-	-				
Also this Site is o	n the m	ain ar	rterial rout	e into Bri	stol which again is i	nappropriate.
This Site should b	e remo	ved f	rom your (Council's	Proposed Options L	ist.
Respondent:	4041	/ 1	Name:	Р	Walters	Organisation:
Do you have any	eviden	ce or	informatio	n about a	any of the sites set o	out in the Preferred Options document which will help
						e available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Traveller or Trave	elling Sh	าดพทะ	eople prov	ision?		

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch



Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012 Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch



Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4042 / 1	Name:	KM	Turner	Organisation:	
•	•			•	t in the Preferred Options document w	•
Bath and North	East Somers	et Council	to determine	e whether they are	available, suitable and achievable for (∃ypsy,
Traveller or Trav	velling Show	people pro	vision?			

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

4044 / 1 Name: Webb Respondent: JS Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.





The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4045 / 1 N	lame: SC	Webb	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4046 / 1 Name: **Thomas** Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

4047 / 1 Name: Respondent: Gio Batta Zanetti Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4048 / 1 Name: N Zanetti Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4049 / 1 Name: Mr Trevor Tait Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4050 / 1 Name: M Allan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4053 / 1 Name: M Selway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.





The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4055	/ 1	Name:		D	Russe		Organisation:	
	st So	mers	set Cound	cil to d	determin			Preferred Options document which will he le, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	elp
Site commenting of	on: (GT.1	Parcel 7:	100, W	Voollard	Lane, Whitch	urch		
Do you agree with	the (Coun	cil's asse	ssmer	nt of opp	ortunities an	d constraints o	on this site?	
Do you agree that	the p	oten	ntial pitch	n prov	ision be 1	taken forwar	d as a formal a	allocation?	
Do you have any o									
It is within the Gre planning guideline The new entrance The site only has to made in 2015 about Also this Site is on This Site should be Respondent:	ten Bestern Be	elt ar ther e Site orary s Site nain oved	nd the Site to prote is unsafelicence fee. arterial reference for information information in the set Council.	te is all tect Great Gre	Il Greenfi reen Belt I furtherr s use unt into Brist uncil's Pr Janet about an determin	eld. It is inap these should more the old il 2015. No fu col which agai oposed Option Smith y of the sites	propriate developed in is inapproprons List.	II being used. oment should take placed until a decision is	_
	Г								
Site commenting of	on: (GT.1	Parcel 7	100, V	Voollard	Lane, Whitch	urch		
Do you agree with	the (Coun	cil's asse	ssmer	nt of opp	ortunities an	d constraints o	on this site?	
Do you agree that	the p	oten	ntial pitch	n prov	ision be 1	taken forwar	d as a formal a	allocation?	
Do you have any o	ther	gene	ral comr	nents	on the P	referred Opti	ons paper?		
_			_		•		•	List for a Gypsy Site.	
It is within the Gre planning guideline						•		elopment within the Green Belt and as o.	

Respondent:

4058 / 1 Name: Mr Trevor

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

Smith

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is

Organisation:

made in 2015 about this Site.





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List. Respondent: 4059 / 1 Name: O'Neill Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List. Respondent: 4060 / 1 Name: Richards Organisation: M Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4061 /	1	Name: Mr	Tom	Searle	Organisation:
-------------	--------	---	----------	-----	--------	---------------

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4062 / 1 Name: Mrs CL Roberts Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Respondent:

4063 / 1 Name:

Popel-Dewar

S

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.
Respondent: 4063 / 1 Name: S Popel-Dewar Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.
Respondent: 4064 / 1 Name: Mrs JK Pearce Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	1065 /	1 Name: Mr	Ken	Pearce	Organisation:	
•	t Some	rset Council to	determine		out in the Preferred Options document whice available, suitable and achievable for Gyp	•
Site commenting or	n: GT.	1 Parcel 7100,	Woollard La	ane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Cou	ıncil's assessme	ent of oppo	rtunities and cor	straints on this site?	
Do you agree that t	he pote	ential pitch pro	vision be ta	ken forward as a	formal allocation?	
Do you have any ot	her ger	neral comment	s on the Pre	eferred Options p	paper?	
It is within the Gree planning guidelines The new entrance t	en Belt a are the to the S mporar t this Si the main	and the Site is a ere to protect (ite is unsafe an ry licence for th te. n arterial route	all Greenfiel Green Belt to d furthermonis use until	ld. It is inappropolese should be a core the old entra 2015. No furthe	ince is still being used. r development should take placed until a de nappropriate.	
Respondent: 4	1066 /	1 Name:	A.D	Muse	Organisation:	
•	t Some	rset Council to	determine		out in the Preferred Options document whice available, suitable and achievable for Gyp	•
Site commenting or	n: GT.	1 Parcel 7100,	Woollard La	ane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree with	the Cou	ıncil's assessme	ent of oppo	rtunities and cor	straints on this site?	
Do you agree that t	he pote	ential pitch pro	vision be ta	ken forward as a	formal allocation?	
Do you have any ot	her ger	neral comment	s on the Pre	eferred Options p	paper?	

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.





Respondent: 4068 / 1 Name: Ms Beverly Parker Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site. Respondent: 4069 / 1 Name: Mr Colin Matthews Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation? Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site. Respondent: 4070 / 1 Name: Mrs Matthews Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision? Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site? Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?



Respondent:

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

4072 / 1 Name: Ms Janet

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

M

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

4071 / 1 Name:

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

made in 2015 about this Site.

Respondent:

Gypsies, Travellers Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations DPD Preferred Options Consultation: 23rd May to 20th July 2012 Schedule of Comments: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Lennon

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is

Lynn

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Organisation:

Organisation:

Bath & North East Somerset Council

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as

planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4073 / 1 Name: Mrs Kew Organisation:





Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:	GT.1 F	Parcel 7100, W	Voollard Lane,	Whitchurch	
Do you agree with the	e Counc	cil's assessmer	nt of opportun	nities and constraints	on this site?
Do you agree that the	potent	tial pitch prov	ision be taken	forward as a formal	allocation?
Do you have any othe	r gener	ral comments	on the Preferr	red Options paper?	
planning guidelines ar The new entrance to t	Belt and the set the Site porary lines site the site the set of th	d the Site is all to protect Gr is unsafe and licence for this arterial route i	I Greenfield. It reen Belt theso I furthermore s use until 201 into Bristol wh	t is inappropriate develones to should be adhered the old entrance is standard. No further develonich again is inapprop	relopment within the Green Belt and as to. ill being used. pment should take placed until a decision is
Respondent: 407	7 <u>4</u> / 1	Name:	WJ	Osborne	Organisation:
Do you have any evide	ence or	r information a et Council to d	about any of t letermine whe	he sites set out in the	e Preferred Options document which will help ble, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 F	Parcel 7100, W	Voollard Lane,	Whitchurch	
Do you agree with the	e Counc	cil's assessmer	nt of opportun	nities and constraints	on this site?
Do you agree that the	potent	tial pitch prov	ision be taken	forward as a formal	allocation?
planning guidelines ar The new entrance to t	s Site belt and the site of the Site porary his Site main a	peing included d the Site is al e to protect Gr is unsafe and licence for this arterial route i	in your Cound I Greenfield. It reen Belt theso I furthermore s use until 201	cil's Proposed Option t is inappropriate dev e should be adhered the old entrance is st .5. No further develo nich again is inapprop	relopment within the Green Belt and as to. ill being used. pment should take placed until a decision is
Respondent: 407	75 / 1	Name:	M	Osborne	Organisation:
	omerse	et Council to d	letermine whe		e Preferred Options document which will help ble, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,
Site commenting on:	GT.1 F	Parcel 7100, W	Voollard Lane,	Whitchurch	
Do you agree with the	Counc	cil's assessmer	nt of opportun	nities and constraints	on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?





Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4076 /	1	Name:	Р	Jones	Organisation:			
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Fraveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?									
Site commenting of	on: GT.:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch							
Do you agree with	the Cou	nci	l's assessr	ment of op	oportunities and cons	traints on this site?			
Do you agree that	the pote	nti	ial pitch pr	rovision b	e taken forward as a f	formal allocation?			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					Preferred Options pa	<u> </u>			

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4077 / 1 Name: Mr Craig Pullinger Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.





Respondent:

4078 / 1 Name: Ms Trudi

Pullinger

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.
Respondent: 4079 / 1 Name: C Lewis Organisation:
Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.
Respondent: 4080 / 1 Name: Ms Clare Hearsey Organisation: Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?
Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
A Commence of the commence of



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent:	4082 / 1	Name: Mr	Paul A	Holvey	Organisation:					
	ast Somers	et Council to	determine v		ut in the Preferred Options document which will help available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,					
Site commenting of	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch						
Do you agree with	you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?									
Do you agree that	the poten	itial pitch prov	vision be tal	ken forward as a	formal allocation?					
I write to object to It is within the Gre planning guideline The new entrance The site only has t made in 2015 abo Also this Site is on	Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper? I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.									
Respondent:	4083 / 1	Name:	В	Hicks	Organisation:					
-	ast Somers	et Council to	determine v		ut in the Preferred Options document which will help available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,					
Site commenting of	on: GT.1	Parcel 7100, \	Woollard La	ne, Whitchurch						
Do you agree with	the Coun	cil's assessme	ent of oppor	tunities and con	straints on this site?					
Do you agree that	the poten	itial pitch prov	vision be tal	ken forward as a	formal allocation?					
Do you have are:	thor goes	ral commants	on the Das	formed Ontions	anora					
Do you have any o	omer gene	rai comments	on the Pre	rerrea Options p	aper r					

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

4084 / 1 Name: Respondent: Hurley Organisation:



Bath & North East Somerset Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch									
Do you agree with the	o you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?									
Do you agree that the	potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?									
Do you have any other	general comments on the Preferred Options paper?									
	s Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.									
t is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as										
	e there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.									
The new entrance to the	he Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.									
The site only has temp made in 2015 about th	orary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is site.									
	main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.									
This Site should be ren	noved from your Council's Proposed Options List.									
Respondent: 408	5 / 1 Name: Mr Chris Dando Organisation:									
Bath and North East So	ence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help omerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Showpeople provision?									
Site commenting on:	GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch									
Do you agree with the	Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?									
Do you agree that the	potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?									
Do you have any other	general comments on the Preferred Options paper?									
I write with regard to twith this.	he proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree									
All this land is Greenfie	eld within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a									
temporary permission	of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when									
_	y not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is									
surprising that your Co the Site.	ouncil granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of									
	ole. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. ok carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed									

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help

Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Hawkins

Organisation:

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

4086 / 1 Name: Miss SE

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Respondent:





Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any ot	her genera:	l comments o	on the Prefer	red Option:	s paper?
--------------------	-------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	----------

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4087	/ 1 Name:	IM	King	Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4088 / 1 Name: B Blinman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is





made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent:	4089	/ 1	Name:	Mr	Dave	Kosztan	Organisation:	
	ast So	mers	et Cound	cil to	determi		t in the Preferred Options document which will havailable, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	elp
Site commenting	on: (GT.1	Parcel 7:	100, \	Woollard	d Lane, Whitchurch		
Do you agree witl	h the (Counc	cil's asse	ssme	ent of op	portunities and const	traints on this site?	
Do you agree that	t the p	oten	tial pitch	n prov	vision be	e taken forward as a f	ormal allocation?	
Do you have any	other	gene	ral comn	nents	on the	Preferred Options pa	per?	
It is within the Graphanning guideling The new entrance The site only has made in 2015 abo Also this Site is or	een Bees are to the temporate the the temporate the the the the the the the the the t	elt an there e Site orary s Site	d the Site to prote is unsalicence for the same is unsalicence for the same is arterial results.	te is a ect G fe and for th	all Green Green Be d furthe is use ur into Bris	field. It is inappropria It these should be ad rmore the old entran	ce is still being used. development should take placed until a decision appropriate.	is
Respondent:	4090	/ 1	Name:	Ms	Marie	Flowers	Organisation:	
	ast So	mers	et Cound	cil to	determi		t in the Preferred Options document which will havailable, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,	elp
Site commenting	on: (GT.1	Parcel 7:	100, \	Woollard	d Lane, Whitchurch		
						portunities and cons	traints on this site?	
						· e taken forward as a f		
Do you have any	other	gene	ral comn	nents	s on the	Preferred Options pa	per?	
It is within the Gro planning guideling The new entrance	een Bees are to the tempo	elt an there e Site orary	d the Site to prote is unsaficence f	te is a ect G fe an	all Green Green Be d furthe	field. It is inappropria It these should be ad rmore the old entran		is

Respondent: 4091 / 1 Name: J Heal Organisation:

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?





Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4092 / 1 Name: EA Harrex Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4093 / 1 Name: Mr Shaun Collieo Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy,

Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.





It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4233 / 1 Name: Ms Imogen Barfield Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to register my objection to the proposed gypsy and traveller site at the end of Norton Lane on the A37. I object on the basis that the site is greenfield and a suitable brownfield site should be found for the purpose. It is entirely unsuitable for land in this greenbelt to be used for housing, of any type.