

Respondent: 86 / 1 Name: Mrs S Dyer Organisation: Whitchurch Parish Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

GT. Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch
Potential pitch provision: 2 permanent pitches

Following the full meeting of Council held on 4th July 2012 Whitchurch Parish Council submit the following comments and wish for these to be logged and considered as part of the current consultation process:-

In the latest Communities and Local Government Planning policy for traveller sites it clearly states under Policy E: Traveller sites in Green Belt 14.

'Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development.'

Very special circumstances are not defined in National Policy. However the NPPF states that very special circumstances will not exist unless the potential harm to the green belt is clearly outweighed by other considerations.

There are no very special circumstances applicable to this site Policy E: 14 must be adhered to.

Mistakes have been made in the Detailed Site Assessment Report and the site scoring matrix. There are several discrepancies in the marks awarded to this site. Points being awarded for safe access to public transport when there are no footpaths to the nearest bus stop, points awarded for being a Brownfield site when it has been proved and only recently admitted by B&NES Council that the site is entirely Greenfield. At the recent exhibition in Whitchurch Village it stated that 'The site is well screened from the surrounding highway and residential area' If you drive along Woollard Lane you can see quite clearly into the site, so this statement is incorrect. It also stated that there is public transport to health facilities. There is no bus service to Stockwood Health Centre or Whitchurch Health Centre along the A37 only a bus service into Bristol so this information is also incorrect.

The previous history of this site has obviously not been taken into consideration. It states in The Preferred Sites document that 'The granting of the temporary planning permission does however indicate the site suitability for this purpose' The temporary permission granted for this site dated 25th November 2010 states that 'This permission shall expire on 20 November 2015 and the use hereby permitted shall be discontinued and the land reinstated in accordance with a scheme of works and a programme of implementation which shall first have been submitted for approval by the Local Planning Authority'

Reason. The Council is preparing a Development Plan Document allocating sites for gypsies and travellers and the use of this site as proposed is only appropriate for a temporary period until that document has been finalised and alternative sites made available. It does not state that the granting of this temporary planning permission indicates the suitability for a permanent site.

There seems to be inconsistency in many of the council's planning decisions as the Parcel 7100 has been refused by B&NES on four previous occasions in line with other local planning applications in the area, as it is set in the Green Belt.

The selection of this site as a preferred option should be immediately withdrawn as errors have been made in the Detailed

Site Assessment report and the site scoring matrix thus making this option completely flawed and wrong information given to stakeholders, residents and the general public during the majority of the consultation period.

Respondent: 263 / 1 Name: Ms Gillian Sanders Organisation: Wessex Water

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Bristol Water for water supply.

Subject to application there is a public foul sewer available for connection in the vicinity of the site

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 281 / 1 Name: Ms Alison Howell Organisation: Natural England

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Preferred Options

The Council has selected six preferred sites for development. The site selection process identified four main constraints: Green Belt, landscape and/or wildlife designations, flood risk and contaminated land. Natural England is satisfied the assessment criteria and scoring matrix applied to the site selection appears robust and consistent with government policy for the planning of traveller sites.

We consider the approach taken also broadly accords with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), including with respect to paragraph 110 which states "In preparing plans to meet development needs, the aim should be to minimise pollution and other adverse effects on the local and natural environment. Plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value, where consistent with other policies in this Framework".

Site Constraints

Notwithstanding the above, the Sustainability Appraisal has identified a number of issues with the development of the preferred sites, including likely impacts on landscape, ecology and public access.

-The assessment of Site GT.1 in Whitchurch confirms it lies within the Green Belt, although it is not considered to be sensitive nor likely to adversely impact on the character of the area. However it does suggest further perimeter screen fencing (such as 1.8m close board) would be beneficial to ensure existing vegetation was retained and managed.

Natural England considers the constraints and suggested mitigation and enhancement measures outlined in the Sustainability Appraisal appear reasonable, however we would like to make the following comments:-

Sustainable Development - the Natural Environment and Biodiversity

Natural England would welcome a commitment in the Plan to moving from a net loss of biodiversity to achieving net gains for nature, as required in the NPPF paragraph 9.

Use of Brownfield land of low environmental value

The preferred options reflect the NPPF paragraph 111 in terms of the need for planning policies and decisions to encourage the effective use of land by re-using land that has been previously developed, however the Plan should also recognise that some brownfield land can be of high environmental value and ensure suitable avoidance or mitigation measures are in place.

Enhancing landscape and biodiversity in Green Belts

Natural England would welcome a greater emphasis on enhancement of the Green Belt in line with the NPPF Paragraph 81 in terms of seeking opportunities to provide access; to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation; to retain and enhance landscapes, visual amenity and biodiversity; or to improve damaged and derelict land”.

Light Pollution – Landscape and Wildlife

Natural England would welcome a requirement to minimise light pollution in accord with NPPF paragraph 125 “By encouraging good design, planning policies and decisions should limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and nature conservation”.

Ecological networks and Green Infrastructure

Making Space for Nature (A review of England’s Wildlife Sites and Ecological Network) highlighted action to support ecological networks as an effective response to conserve wildlife in environments that have become fragmented by human activities. It stated: “An ecological network comprises a suite of high quality sites which collectively contain the diversity and area of habitat that are needed to support species and which have ecological connections between them...” with this in mind, Natural England would welcome a commitment in the Plan to ensuring the development of all preferred sites incorporate multifunctional green infrastructure in accordance with the emerging Bath & North East Somerset Core Strategy policy, draft Green Infrastructure Strategy and local biodiversity action plan.

Respondent: 296 / 1 Name: Ms Gill Stirling Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council’s assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I can only comment on your list although I believe some of these were not even thought suitable by your own consultants. It seems to me that both the travellers and local residents should be considered when making a decision. I do not live near any sites and believe that those who do should have the greater influence.

GT1: Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

If there is no objection from those living nearby then it should go ahead but it doesn’t seem to be offering any extra for travellers as there is already a site being used.

Respondent: 327 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Thatcher Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld . Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 327 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Thatcher Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 355 / 1 Name: P. Penney Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 355 / 1 Name: P. Penney Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 386 / 1 Name: Mr Tony Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 386 / 1 Name: Mr Tony Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 387 / 1 Name: Ms Wendy Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 387 / 1 Name: Ms Wendy Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 391 / 1 Name: M Thomas Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 393 / 1 Name: Mr V Perry Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 393 / 1 Name: Mr V Perry Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 402 / 1 Name: L Fennelly Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 402 / 1 Name: L Fennelly Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 405 / 1 Name: Mr a Richard & Sweet Organisation:
Janet

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 405 / 1 Name: Mr a Richard & Sweet Organisation:
 Janet

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 406 / 1 Name: Mrs Janet Sweet Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

We would like to register our objection to these proposals. Our main concern at the present time are that the two sites, ie Stanton Wick and Whitchurch Wollard Lane parcel 7100 are both in the Green Belt area.

Wollard Lane parcel 7100 has had previous planning applications refused and will become an eyesore from the main gateway road from the South into Bristol.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 407 / 1 Name: Mr Charles Luker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 407 / 1 Name: Mr Charles Luker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 411 / 1 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Your Council has previously found this Site to be unsuitable and has twice refused it planning. On Appeal the Inspector overruled the LA giving it Temporary use until 2015. Whether this land has formally been removed from the Green Belt by the Secretary of State is questionable.

This Site should be removed from your Options Shortlist because it has only Temporary Use and it would be quite wrong to double its size at this time.

This proposed development is contrary to many planning policies and guidelines running throughout your Core Strategy.

Pol GBI The proposed development would represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt.

Local Planning Policy Context 2.7

This site fails on 4 out of 5 points

1. A car is needed to access all local amenities
2. The access is onto a very busy road with no footpath/pavement
3. It is visible on the main arterial route into Bristol

4. Will adequate services be deliverable?

CP II

This site fails on the following points

a). Services only accessible by car- very busy roads at this 4 point road junction with A3 7 and no footpath/pavement on access road.

D) The Site will harm appearance- It is on main A37 arterial route into Bristol. 39 caravans were identified on unauthorized sites. This would indicate a need of 10-15 sites. At present your LA has identified far too many- 34 Permanent & 20 Transit Sites (A Pitch- is a Site for 3 or less caravans+ 1 motorhome +all cars+ visiting relations)

Issues Visual Impact

Again this is on the main A3 7 arterial route into Bristol and is in the Green Belt.

Green Belt.

There is a presumption against development in the Green Belt.

Any Sites in the Green Belt will be considered Less Favourably than those outside the Green Belt.

The LA Scoring System is incorrect for this Site.

The Doctors Surgery is not safely accessible on foot- there is no footpath/pavement

Proximity to Transport Node is not safe to walk to- no footpath/pavement

Brownfield Site is ambiguous due to the planning history.

Site should not be near a Hazardous Place- it is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Gas Pipe.

Safe access to Highway - no footpath/pavement.

In conclusion the Score for this Site should be 19 not 30

Further, the Government's National Planning Policy Framework states, PoE Para 14 The Green belt will be protected. Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very exceptional circumstances. Travellers Sites (Temporary or Permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Local Government Minister, Bob Neil has strengthened the planning process to protect the Green Belt and reiterates that Travellers Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt and that Local Communities must be listened to. As your LA has twice deemed this site unsuitable for a Gypsy Site with 1 pitch, and it is in the Green Belt, we would respectfully ask that this Site be removed from the Short List

Respondent: 411 / 2 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We refer to the recent belated acknowledgement by B&NES that the above site was incorrectly assessed as Brownfield and thus incorrectly scored when the Cabinet made its decision on the 9th May 2012 to designate it as a Preferred Site. As a result the Whitchurch Site was selected as a Preferred Site in error or directly as a result of error. Notwithstanding that this was advised to the Council before and at the Cabinet Meeting, it has taken until the end of June for the Council to read its own files and confirm that it has made an error. There has also been no direct written apology including to the members of the Whitchurch Village Action Group who made relevant statements at the Cabinet Meeting on the 9th May and which are referenced in the Minutes of the meeting. It is very clear from the Minutes of the Cabinet of 9th May that this key issue was before the meeting i.e. before any decision was made. The minutes do not of course, indicate whether anybody in the

Cabinet was listening and no clarification was sought from Officers as to the position. All of course should have been listening otherwise their presence was useless. There were Senior Officers present who should have understood the importance of the issue and either offered advice and/or subsequently sought (before the start of consultation) to ascertain whether the Council was in error- as it was and is. From the outset and since Council's publication of the Issues and Options Evaluation, we have continually contacted Officers regarding the Brownfield status as we felt it incorrect and therefore there has been an abundance of time and opportunity for Officers to access the History of this Site.

For ease of reference, the published Minutes include the following:-'Mary Walsh (Joint Chair, Whitchurch Village Action Group) made a statement (a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 13 and on the Council's Website) asking the Cabinet to remove the Woollard Lane Site from the consultation list. She disputed the contention that the Site was Brownfield, and explained that it had historically been acknowledged as green belt.'

Mary's statement for Whitchurch Village Action Group (in full with Minutes) also made clear that the Site was not Brownfield. The punctuation has been amended for ease of reading.

'The Site has never had a permanent building. As stated by the Inspector in 1982 upon the expiry of a temporary licence it was still referred to as a Greenfield within the Greenbelt. The Inspector in 2009 referred to the site as Green Belt. We ask when did this become brownfield and who was responsible for change? We therefore dispute that this is Brownfield land and would ask you to amend the scoring accordingly'

Christine Saunders for Whitchurch Village Action Group, also made clear in her statement (in full with Minutes) as to the implications as regards scoring predominantly because of the 10 points that should have been deducted for the Greenbelt (and which would have meant that the Site would have been ranked 13th and not 5th in terms of the scoring matrix.)

'IN YOUR ISSUES AND OPTIONS EVALUATION THIS SITE SCORES 19 AND NOT 30'

We, the Whitchurch Village Action Group, do not believe that the measures taken by the Council since acknowledging the error as related to the 'Brownfield issue' are appropriate or sufficient to remedy what is a fundamental error. Parcel 7100 is at present a PREFERRED SITE and other sites that scored less have now been removed by Cabinet (i.e. Decision 7) and a fair comparison therewith is not now possible at the Cabinet's Meeting in September. The information before Cabinet, Policy Development and Scrutiny, Special Council and in the Consultation document, on the Council's website and at the relevant roadshows has all been materially misleading and inaccurate.

We might have expected - indeed we truly did expect - Officers to have considered the Planning History (including but not limited to two Appeal decisions by two independent Gov. appointed Inspectors), before recommending as a PREFERRED Site. Similarly when an Appeal decision was referenced at a Cabinet Meeting we would have expected that Senior Officers would have checked the position. The relevant Appeal Decision is quoted below - see in particular Paragraph 7.

<http://idox.batbnes.gov.uk/W AM/doc/Appeal%20Decision-303428.pdf?extension=.pdf&id=303428&location=VOLUME2&contentType=application/pdf&pageCount= 1>

The History of this Site cannot be ignored. Three times the Site has been judged unsuitable, all Greenfield and inappropriate within the Greenbelt When this Site was occupied illegally, why were the occupants not instructed to leave, as any other person would have been. Whoever invited the occupants to apply for Retrospective planning, obviously did not refer to the History of the Site. This is clearly demonstrated throughout the Council's flawed process of selecting this Site. It must be asked why, in planning terms, is it logical to double the size of a Site that only has Temporary Planning Permission until 2015?

The Cabinet cannot now Confirm Whitchurch as a Preferred Site at its meeting in September. If it does, then it will open the Council up to a further area of challenge beyond those already identified by the other Action Groups. The Council should remove Whitchurch from the Preferred list immediately and furthermore the Council should halt consultation now.

We are in discussions with Clarke Osborne and other Action Group leads with a view to our joining them in any Judicial Review Challenge to the Council's flawed and negligent decision-making and consultation process.

Respondent: 411 / 3 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

The thing that concerns me is how B&NES can conduct a fair consultation and stand by the outcome when after 2 Inspectors have refused this site on Green Belt. Then while the temporary permission is still in place the owner is allowed to apply for a further pitch I cannot fathom the logic of spending so much time money and effort on refusing then offering the application is B&NES capable of getting a fair and honest outcome?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 411 / 4 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We note your recent acknowledgement and apology that Parcel 7100 Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village is not brownfield but is in entirety a Greenfield Site within the Greenbelt.

It is with some disappointment that it has taken the Council so long to look into matters which were raised by ourselves and others, as far back as May. This we take as some slight and indifference to our letters and indeed our integrity. Many times it was pointed out to your Council that two Planning Inspectors had deemed this Site Greenfield and emphatically NOT brownfield.

We would like to know, and if not, we will use the Freedom of Information Act, who it was who decided that because the site was being used illegally, to invite retrospective planning at this site and in the process incorrectly categorise this Site as Brownfield. Both actions by Bath & North East Somerset Council's Planning department completely overrode the previous two independent Government Inspectors decisions that this Site was inappropriate.

We would further AGAIN, point out that this Site is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Mains Gas Pipe therefore too near a HAZARD and therefore your scoring Matrix is again wrong.

It is surely impossible for your Council to continue with this Site given such serious inaccuracies and we therefore assume you will be taking it off your Proposed Options Site List.

Respondent: 411 / 5 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

We write to you with regard to a proposal to double the size of a Temporary Site set in Green Belt land at Whitchurch Village. Your Council has previously found this Site to be unsuitable and has twice refused it planning. On Appeal the Inspector overruled the LA giving it Temporary use until 2015. Whether this land has formally been removed from the Green Belt by the Secretary of State is questionable.

This Site should be removed from your Options Shortlist because it has only Temporary Use and it would be quite wrong to double its size at this time.

This proposed development is contrary to many planning policies and guidelines running throughout your Core Strategy.

Pol GB1 The proposed development would represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt.

Local Planning Policy Context 2.7

This site fails on 4 out of 5 points

1. A car is needed to access all local amenities
2. The access is onto a very busy road with no footpath/pavement
3. It is visual on the main arterial route into Bristol
4. Will adequate services be deliverable?

CP11

This site fails on the following points

a).Services only accessible by car- very busy roads at this 4 point road junction with A37 and no footpath/pavement on access road.

D) The Site will harm appearance- It is on main A37 arterial route into Bristol.

History Identified Need in L.A.

39 caravans were identified on unauthorized sites. This would indicate a need of 10-15 sites. At present your LA has identified far too many- 34 Permanent & 20 Transit Sites (A Pitch - is a Site for 3 or less caravans + 1 motorhome + all cars + visiting relations)

Issues Visual Impact

Again this is on the main A3 7 arterial route into Bristol and is in the Green Belt.

Green Belt.

There is a presumption against development in the Green Belt.

Any Sites in the Green Belt will be considered Less Favourably than those outside the Green Belt.

The LA Scoring System is incorrect for this Site.

The Doctors Surgery is not safely accessible on foot- there is no footpath/pavement

Proximity to Transport Node is not safe to walk to- no footpath/pavement

Brownfield Site is ambiguous due to the planning history.

Site should not be near a Hazardous Place- it is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Gas Pipe.

Safe access to Highway- no footpath/pavement.

In conclusion the Score for this Site should be 19 not 30

Further, the Government's National Planning Policy Framework states, PoE Para 14 The Green belt will be protected. Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very exceptional circumstances. Travellers Sites (Temporary or Permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Local Government Minister, Bob Neil has strengthened the planning process to protect the Green Belt and reiterates that Travellers Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt and that Local Communities must be listened to.

As your LA has twice deemed this site unsuitable for a Gypsy Site with 1 pitch, and it is in the Green Belt, we would respectfully ask that this Site be removed from the Short List.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 411 / 6 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Yes.

Policy GB1. The proposed development of this area would represent inappropriate development in the green belt

Policy T1 The proposed Site is too far from essential services, facilities etc as worded in your Issues & Options Scoring Matrix requirements

Planning Policy Guidance Note No 13

The proposed development is too far from services, facilities etc all requiring a car for access thus increased traffic movements on to a fast dangerous road with no pavement.

National Planning Policy Framework, March 2012

Core Planning principles item 17 item 28, item 37

Green Belt Item 87

Planning Policy for Travellers Sites, March 2012

Item 4 Inappropriate development in the Green Belt

Item 4 access by car needed for Senior schools, Doctors Surgery, NHS Dentist, Employment, Shopping. Primary school already oversubscribed.

Policy E traveller sites in Green Belt

Item 14 states, "inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved. Travellers sites in the Green Belt are inappropriate development"

Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD)

Highways are congested and in certain areas dangerous for both vehicle and pedestrian use. There is no pavement at the access point which is near a sharp bend and traffic reports show numerous accidents with one fatality within the last 10 years - see letter sent to Mr. Everitt, Chief Executive.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No we do not. The evaluation was cursory, inaccurate and continues to be inaccurate and should not have been placed on the Preferred Options Site List - see letter to Mr Everitt, Chief Executive. The assessments and evaluations are flawed, the process should be stopped. The Council has admitted mistakes which has resulted in this Site being selected as a Preferred Site in error or directly as a result of error- see Letter to Mr. Everitt, Chief Executive. The Cabinet cannot now Confirm Whitchurch as a Preferred Site at its meeting in September. Due to the above Parcel 7100 should be removed from the Preferred List immediately.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No we do not agree. The Site does not meet with many criteria set out in your Policies and Procedures. There should be no pitch provision as this Site was selected as a Preferred Site in error or directly as a result of error and should be taken off the list NOW.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Well, all have been addressed in comments above. The whole procedure is flawed , the Scoring Matrix for the Issues and Options Evaluation is incorrect for this Site but the error only corrected after the Site was put on the Preferred Options Site List. It should and must be removed. The History of this Site has been ignored. Some investigation must be made as to why a Site twice refused by two independent Planning Inspectors has arrived at a Temporary Licence until 2015. When this Site was occupied illegally instead of upholding the Green Belt planning policy guidelines by asking for the removal of this illegal occupancy, your Council invited the lodging of a Retrospective Planning Application which gained approval with temporary use until 2015 thus completely over riding the Planning Inspectors. Worse, this site was categorically declared Greenfield within the Green Belt by these Inspectors and your Council overrode this as well calling it Brownfield. Although this error has been admitted, the matter of the Retrospective Planning has not been explained.

Respondent: 411 / 7 Name: Ms Mary Walsh Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Thank you for listening to questions regarding the changed status now given to the temporary Gypsy Site at Woollard Lane In all new documents from Bath and North East Council it is referred to as a "Brownfield site" within "Green Belt my question is when and why did this change?. No permanent building has ever been erected on the site.

The Inspectors employed by the Council have twice refused this site for gypsies and travellers as it is Green Belt emphatically stating the site is not Brownfield B&NES encouraged Mr Jones to submit a retrospective Planning application advising him the site was Brownfield by granting a temporary permission have overruled 2 separate Inspectors reports on this site. To add to this fiasco the owner is able to attend a seminar set up by the council looking for appropriate sites and is advised he can apply to expand even though he knows his permission is temporary. We need to know if this is a tactical move by the present council to score against the last Conservative run council.

We do hope the people involved with these decisions are aware that they are affecting the Human rights of ordinary people I read in documents referring to Human rights of travellers but it must work both ways.

It should be noted that the original site entrance and the new entrance are in use The Woollard site is on a dangerous corner where there have been several accidents in the last few weeks. Should the Council have to re route roads in the future who would pay compensation to the Gypsies? I guess the rate payers.

Respondent: 424 / 1 Name: K Purnell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 424 / 1 Name: K Purnell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 432 / 1 Name: J Leando Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 432 / 1 Name: J Leando Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 434 / 1 Name: Ms Elizabeth Cox Organisation:
Ann

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 434 / 1 Name: Ms Elizabeth Cox Organisation:
Ann

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 435 / 1 Name: Ms Rachel Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 435 / 1 Name: Ms Rachel Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 443 / 1 Name: Ms Marianne Britten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 443 / 1 Name: Ms Marianne Britten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 446 / 1 Name: Mr Alfred Leonard Charles Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 446 / 1 Name: Mr Alfred Leonard Organisation:
Charles

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 447 / 1 Name: Mrs June Leonard Organisation:
Rosemary

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions

must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 447 / 1 Name: Mrs June Leonard Organisation:
Rosemary

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 461 / 1 Name: Mr David Green Organisation:
Michael

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 461 / 1 Name: Mr David Green Organisation:
Michael

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 462 / 1 Name: Mr Dennis Baber Organisation:
William

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 462 / 1 Name: Mr Dennis Baber Organisation:
William

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 462 / 2 Name: Mr Dennis Baber Organisation:
William

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No, I believe the council has used incorrect details in their assessment.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

The proposal is an inappropriate development and is harmful to the Greenbelt.
It is also contrary to E14 and no exceptional circumstances prevail.
The site should be subjected to a complete and full re-assessment.

Respondent: 463 / 1 Name: Mrs Jane Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 463 / 1 Name: Mrs Jane Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is

no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 464 / 1 Name: Miss Louisa Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 464 / 1 Name: Miss Louisa Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed

from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 469 / 1 Name: B Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 469 / 1 Name: B Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 469 / 1 Name: B Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 469 / 2 Name: B Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

As a resident of Whitchurch Village I feel very let down by BANES council and I am not alone in my opinion, many other residents also feel the same, to allow a traveller site in this fine area is an outcry, especially on greenbelt land which has always been kept in good stead by previous councils.

I feel that if the villager's objections are not taken in to serious consideration the votes for the current locally elected party could be jeopardised.

The A37 is a through road to many major destinations and it would be a shame to have a traveller's site in plain view of people passing through and tourist to the area.

This is a very close community, with many fine houses and proud residents I cannot see the benefit of placing a traveller site within close proximity to residents homes and businesses it would not only be unfair to the residents of the community, who keep their homes and surrounding areas in keeping with a small village but I feel it would also be unfair to the travellers and their families as they will not be met with a welcome reception and I cannot see the opinions of the community changing should the site be placed within Whitchurch Village.

The community of this area has always had good faith in their local council in the past and I feel this could be damaged for the future should the site be chosen to accommodate travellers.

Respondent: 470 / 1 Name: Ms Sylvia Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 470 / 1 Name: Ms Sylvia Davis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 474 / 1 Name: Mr Gerald Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 475 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 475 / 1 Name: Ms Susan Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 476 / 1 Name: D Milkins Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 477 / 1 Name: Mrs R Milkins Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: Name: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: Name: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 481 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 482 / 1 Name: Mr Tony Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 482 / 1 Name: Mr Tony Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 483 / 1 Name: Ms Margaret Foxwell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 483 / 1 Name: Ms Margaret Foxwell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 484 / 1 Name: Mr David E. Foxwell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 484 / 1 Name: Mr David E. Foxwell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 484 / 1 Name: Mr David E. Foxwell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 503 / 1 Name: Mr Michael Campbell Organisation:
John

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 503 / 1 Name: Mr Michael Campbell Organisation:
John

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 518 / 1 Name: Mr Tony Griffith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 518 / 1 Name: Mr Tony Griffith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 519 / 1 Name: Ms Judith Griffith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 519 / 1 Name: Ms Judith Griffith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of

the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 520 / 1 Name: Rev' John Masding Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

Respondent: 521 / 1 Name: Mrs Margaret Masding Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 521 / 1 Name: Mrs Margaret Masding Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 522 / 1 Name: Ms J. G. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 522 / 1 Name: Ms J. G. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 522 / 2 Name: Ms J. G. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

No additional information.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No this land is greenfield within the green belt.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No. The site is on the main arterial route into Bristol and is inappropriate development.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

There have been a number of accidents at this junction and any development could only add to their number.

Respondent: 523 / 1 Name: D. H. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 523 / 1 Name: D. H. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 523 / 2 Name: D. H. Slade Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 527 / 1 Name: Mr Michael Russ Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All the land is greenfield in a green belt area.
Inappropriate development within green belt area.

Respondent: 549 / 1 Name: Ann Betty Edwards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will

be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 549 / 1 Name: Ann Betty Edwards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 556 / 1 Name: Mrs Christine Saunders Organisation:
Ann

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 556 / 1 Name: Mrs Christine Saunders Organisation:
Ann

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 557 / 1 Name: Mr Barrie Saunders Organisation:
Micheal

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

The site in Woollard Lane lies in Green Belt and will not fit in. This area the gateway to Chew Valley and Mendip Hills is wholly inappropriate. An area of beauty will have a blot on the landscape if a gypsy, traveller site is allows to be developed on this site. PLEASE NO NO NO. RESIDENTS MUST BE LISTENED TO TOO!

Respondent: 557 / 1 Name: Mr Barrie Saunders Organisation:
Micheal

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 557 / 1 Name: Mr Barrie Saunders Organisation:
Micheal

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 557 / 1 Name: Mr Barrie Saunders Organisation:
Micheal

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No do not agree that this site is suitable for a Travellers Site.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do not agree that this site is at all suitable for a Travellers Site and would kindly ask it if could be taken off your options list. This site is wholly inappropriate as it is within the Green Belt. This site was refused 4 times by Bath and North East Somerset Council, but under appeal was given a temporary only licence up to 2015 to allow other sites to be established. This site is outside the housing boundary for Whitchurch Village and must not be allowed to double in size as this is a temporary site awaiting relocation. Woollard Lane is a rural location and is the gateway to the beautiful Chew Valley and the Mendip Hills all areas of outstanding natural beauty and establishing a Travellers Site in the area would be out of keeping and inappropriate in this area.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

The preferred areas for Traveller sites would be brown field sites which have good access to local services, facilities etc.

Respondent: 561 / 1 Name: Mr Philip Young Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No this is Green Belt

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 562 / 1 Name: C I Young Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Green Belt - definately not

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 564 / 1 Name: Ms Janet Head Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 564 / 1 Name: Ms Janet Head Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 565 / 1 Name: Mr Neil Head Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 565 / 1 Name: Mr Neil Head Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 573 / 1 Name: Ms Kirstie Towe Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

No evidence - traffic is bad enough - don't need extra volume on roads. No room in local schools as it is.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

- This is a greenbelt area and should remain so.

- Absolutely under no circumstance should this be taken forward as a potential site. This is completely inappropriate.

Respondent: 590 / 1 Name: L G Osborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the

Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 590 / 1 Name: L G Osborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 594 / 1 Name: Mr Keith Webb Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 594 / 1 Name: Mr Keith Webb Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 603 / 1 Name: Mrs Margaret Stone Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

I do not agree that this site should be approved as a designated site for a gypsy location. I have visited the area to look at the site and have used the roads surrounding it many times. Apart from its intrusion into the green belt which is wrong, the access sites are dangerous being either side of a sharp bend. They do not meet your own criteria (or the government's) about safe access. In addition they will certainly harm the character of the locality or have a harmful effect on neighbouring properties. The green belt behind the site will be used for extensive travellers sites and councils are notoriously slow and weak in removing others as they arrive. It will also cost the council a lot of money to deal with this problem.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 604 / 1 Name: Mr Raymond Stone Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Planning Policy re Gypsy Site No.7100 at Woollard Lane, Whitchurch.

I do not agree that this site should be approved as a designated site for a gypsy location. I have visited the area to look at the site and have used the roads surrounding it many times. Apart from its intrusion into the green belt which is wrong, the access sites are dangerous being either side of a sharp bend. They do not meet your own criteria (or the government's) about safe access. In addition they will certainly harm the character of the locality or have a harmful effect on neighbouring properties. The green belt behind the site will be used for extensive travellers sites and councils are notoriously slow and weak in removing others as they arrive. It will also cost the council a lot of money to deal with this problem.

Respondent: 609 / 1 Name: Ms Tracey Stevens Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 609 / 1 Name: Ms Tracey Stevens Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 633 / 1 Name: Ms Jean Carol Francis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 633 / 1 Name: Ms Jean Carol Francis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 634 / 1 Name: B Spragg Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 634 / 1 Name: B Spragg Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 641 / 1 Name: Mrs P J Melrose Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 641 / 1 Name: Mrs P J Melrose Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 656 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Tooze Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 656 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Tooze Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 657 / 1 Name: Mr Andrew Tooze Organisation:
John

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 657 / 1 Name: Mr Andrew Tooze Organisation:
John

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which

constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 676 / 1 Name: Mr Justin Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 676 / 1 Name: Mr Justin Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 696 / 1 Name: S Banks Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 696 / 1 Name: S Banks Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 699 / 1 Name: Ms Angela Prescott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 699 / 1 Name: Ms Angela Prescott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 700 / 1 Name: Mr Neil Prescott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 700 / 1 Name: Mr Neil Prescott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 701 / 1 Name: Ms Lucinda Blurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

All the land is greenfield within the Greenbelt and the site is outside the housing boundary for the village.

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 701 / 1 Name: Ms Lucinda Blurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 701 / 1 Name: Ms Lucinda Blurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 702 / 1 Name: Mr John Blurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and

NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 702 / 1 Name: Mr John Blurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 711 / 1 Name: Ms Helen Keen Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as

planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
 The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
 The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
 Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
 This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 711 / 1 Name: Ms Helen Keen Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 724 / 1 Name: Mr Walter Britton Organisation:
Edward

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 724 / 1 Name: Mr Walter Britton Organisation:
Edward

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 727 / 1 Name: Mrs Patricia Ann Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is

made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 727 / 1 Name: Mrs Patricia Ann Martin

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 728 / 1 Name: Mr Allan John Martin

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 728 / 1 Name: Mr Allan John Martin

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Lots

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?
NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?
NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?
YES

Respondent: 728 / 2 Name: Mr Allan John Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 730 / 1 Name: A T Broad Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 730 / 1 Name: A T Broad Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 751 / 1 Name: Mr John Winter Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is

Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 751 / 1 Name: Mr John Winter Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 755 / 1 Name: Mrs P A Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 755 / 1 Name: Mrs P A Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 755 / 1 Name: Mrs P A Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

This site is GREEN BELT and NO BUILDING or PLOTS on GREEN BELT. If this goes ahead whats to stop building of further housing on green belt thank you.

Respondent: 756 / 1 Name: Mr G A Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 756 / 1 Name: Mr G A Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 756 / 1 Name: Mr G A Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Green belt must be left green belt. I am sure there must be other land.

Respondent: 757 / 1 Name: B E Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 757 / 2 Name: B E Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 757 / 2 Name: B E Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 758 / 1 Name: Mr John Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Land at Woollard Lane (Parcel 7100) is in green belt "against government" wishes to develop green belt land

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

A long hard look should be taken of more brown field opportunities

Respondent: 758 / 1 Name: Mr John Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 758 / 1 Name: Mr John Rosenthal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 761 / 1 Name: Mr Frank Lewis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Traffic problems & dangerous corner

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Green belt to remain green belt

Respondent: 761 / 1 Name: Mr Frank Lewis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 761 / 1 Name: Mr Frank Lewis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 762 / 1 Name: Ms Margaret Lewis Organisation:
Elizabeth

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 762 / 1 Name: Ms Margaret Lewis Organisation:
Elizabeth

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when

further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 763 / 1 Name: Mr Leonard Wills Organisation:
Henry

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 763 / 1 Name: Mr Leonard Wills Organisation:
Henry

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 764 / 1 Name: Ms Linda Wills Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 764 / 1 Name: Ms Linda Wills Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is

made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 765 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon Bryant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 765 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon Bryant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.
 There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 765 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon Bryant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Placing a site here would set a precedent and would leave the way open to further expansion of the site. It should not be made a permanent site.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

I do not agree with the points as an opportunity, the constraints are that this is Green Belt land and therefore should not be allowed to make this a permanent site.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO. I do not believe that this should be put forward to planning application or considered for further pitches. I believe that this should not be made permanent.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to express my opinion in the fact that it would seem that 'travellers' seem to be given more consideration of their needs than the normal general public and residents in this area. Under no circumstances should travellers be given special dispensation and be allowed to establish their home on Green Belt Land.

Respondent: 766 / 1 Name: Mr Peter Bryant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 766 / 1 Name: Mr Peter Bryant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 774 / 1 Name: Ms Nancy Jane Mathias Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 774 / 1 Name: Ms Nancy Jane Mathias Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 776 / 1 Name: M D Shortman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 776 / 1 Name: M D Shortman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 793 / 1 Name: Ms Lorraine Davies Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 793 / 1 Name: Ms Lorraine Davies Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 810 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Britten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We write to express our astonishment that this Site is on your Preferred Options Site List. There have been serious inaccuracies within your 'process' which have disadvantaged decision making prior to this Site being selected for your POSL. The Site is all Greenfield within the Greenbelt. Please adhere to your Council's Core Strategy and the NPPF - please see letter to John Everitt. This was pointed out to you and your department as far back and beyond as May - publicly and individually over the phone.

All amenities, schooling (senior) Doctors Surgery, NHS Dentist, etc need to be accessed by car.

The newly formed access was somehow agreed by highways. This entrance is on a very busy road- Woollard Lane- with many accidents logged by the Police including one fatality. There is no footpath for pedestrian use.

The Site has only Temporary Use until 2015. Why would any planning department see fit to double the size of something/anything that only has a possible limited timespan. The actual gaining of this Temporary Use is also extremely questionable given the history of this Site.

We respectfully suggest that the History of this Site is revisited by your Department. What is fair for one is fair for all.

This Site should be removed from the Preferred Options Site List immediately. Your Council's error in the scoring matrix meant Parcel 7100 was incorrectly scored at point of selection and therefore cannot be put forward for further discussion in September.

Respondent: 811 / 1 Name: Ms Jill Britten Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

We write to you with regard to a proposal to double the size of a Temporary Site set in Green Belt land at Whitchurch Village. Your Council has previously found this Site to be unsuitable and has twice refused it planning. On Appeal the Inspector overruled the LA giving it Temporary use until 2015. Whether this land has formally been removed from the Green Belt by the Secretary of State is questionable.

This Site should be removed from your Options Shortlist because it has only Temporary Use and it would be quite wrong to double its size at this time.

This proposed development is contrary to many planning policies and guidelines running throughout your Core Strategy.

Pol GB1 The proposed development would represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt.

Local Planning Policy Context 2.7

This site fails on 4 out of 5 points

1. A car is needed to access all local amenities
2. The access is onto a very busy road with no footpath/pavement
3. It is visual on the main arterial route into Bristol
4. Will adequate services be deliverable?

CP11

This site fails on the following points

a).Services only accessible by car- very busy roads at this 4 point road junction with A37 and no footpath/pavement on access road.

D) The Site will harm appearance- It is on main A37 arterial route into Bristol.

History Identified Need in L.A.

39 caravans were identified on unauthorized sites. This would indicate a need of 10-15 sites. At present your LA has identified far too many- 34 Permanent & 20 Transit Sites (A Pitch - is a Site for 3 or less caravans + 1 motorhome + all cars + visiting relations)

Issues Visual Impact

Again this is on the main A3 7 arterial route into Bristol and is in the Green Belt.

Green Belt.

There is a presumption against development in the Green Belt.

Any Sites in the Green Belt will be considered Less Favourably than those outside the Green Belt.

The LA Scoring System is incorrect for this Site.

The Doctors Surgery is not safely accessible on foot- there is no footpath/pavement

Proximity to Transport Node is not safe to walk to- no footpath/pavement

Brownfield Site is ambiguous due to the planning history.

Site should not be near a Hazardous Place- it is within 1000 metres of a High Pressure Gas Pipe.

Safe access to Highway- no footpath/pavement.

In conclusion the Score for this Site should be 19 not 30

Further, the Government's National Planning Policy Framework states, PoE Para 14 The Green belt will be protected. Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very exceptional circumstances. Travellers Sites (Temporary or Permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Local Government Minister, Bob Neil has strengthened the planning process to protect the Green Belt and reiterates that Travellers Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt and that Local Communities must be listened to.

As your LA has twice deemed this site unsuitable for a Gypsy Site with 1 pitch, and it is in the Green Belt, we would respectfully ask that this Site be removed from the Short List.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 811 / 1 Name: Ms Jill Britten Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We write to express our astonishment that this Site is on your Preferred Options Site List. There have been serious inaccuracies within your 'process' which have disadvantaged decision making prior to this Site being selected for your POSL. The Site is all Greenfield within the Greenbelt. Please adhere to your Council's Core Strategy and the NPPF - please see letter to John Everitt. This was pointed out to you and your department as far back and beyond as May - publicly and individually over the phone.

All amenities, schooling (senior) Doctors Surgery, NHS Dentist, etc need to be accessed by car.

The newly formed access was somehow agreed by highways. This entrance is on a very busy road- Woollard Lane- with many accidents logged by the Police including one fatality. There is no footpath for pedestrian use.

The Site has only Temporary Use until 2015. Why would any planning department see fit to double the size of something/anything that only has a possible limited timespan. The actual gaining of this Temporary Use is also extremely questionable given the history of this Site.

We respectfully suggest that the History of this Site is revisited by your Department. What is fair for one is fair for all.

This Site should be removed from the Preferred Options Site List immediately. Your Council's error in the scoring matrix meant Parcel 7100 was incorrectly scored at point of selection and therefore cannot be put forward for further discussion in September.

Respondent: 811 / 2 Name: Ms Jill Britten Organisation: Whitchurch Action Group

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Thank you for listening to questions regarding the changed status now given to the temporary Gypsy Site at Woollard Lane In all new documents from Bath and North East Council it is referred to as a "Brownfield site" within "Green Belt my

question is when and why did this change?. No permanent building has ever been erected on the site.

The Inspectors employed by the Council have twice refused this site for gypsies and travellers as it is Green Belt emphatically stating the site is not Brownfield B&NES encouraged Mr Jones to submit a retrospective Planning application advising him the site was Brownfield by granting a temporary permission have overruled 2 separate Inspectors reports on this site. To add to this fiasco the owner is able to attend a seminar set up by the council looking for appropriate sites and is advised he can apply to expand even though he knows his permission is temporary. We need to know if this is a tactical move by the present council to score against the last Conservative run council.

We do hope the people involved with these decisions are aware that they are affecting the Human rights of ordinary people I read in documents referring to Human rights of travellers but it must work both ways.

It should be noted that the original site entrance and the new entrance are in use The Woollard site is on a dangerous corner where there have been several accidents in the last few weeks. Should the Council have to re route roads in the future who would pay compensation to the Gypsies? I guess the rate payers.

Respondent: 812 / 1 Name: S Penfold Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 1111 / 1 Name: Mrs Sue Bressington Organisation: Compton Dando Parish Council

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Parcel 7100 Woollard Lane is very close to the boundary of our Parish, which includes the villages of Woollard and Queen Charlton. The village of Queen Charlton is a conservation area, and is located approx 1.2 miles from this site.

The site is in the Green Belt.

Woollard Lane is regularly used as a 'rat run' for traffic between Whitchurch and Keynsham, or Whitchurch through to Bath.

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

In general, yes. Our main concern would be how BANES will monitor and regulate the number of families and pitches on the site, given that it is in private ownership, and the consultation states that it does not consider ownership status.

While this site may be suitable for one or two pitches, we would not support further development on the site, which is in the Green Belt

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Yes

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

This Parish Council recognises the need to provide suitable sites to Gypsies, Travellers and Showpeople. It also recognises that authorised sites need to be provided, in order to avoid occupation of unauthorised sites (an issue that we have some experience of)

We do however believe that Traveller sites in the Green Belt remain inappropriate development, and that scarcity of land outside the Green Belt is not, of itself, a very special circumstance that overrides harm to the Green Belt. Therefore we do not agree with the implication in the Preferred Options paper that Green Belt sites may be considered suitable.

In our parish there was a recent appeal to The Planning Inspectorate with reference to an unauthorised site near Queen Charlton (APP/F0114/A/10/2127069). The Inspector's conclusion included the following: "I must attach substantial weight to the harm to the Green Belt by reason of the proposal's inappropriateness. I have also found that the proposal would result in significant harm to the openness of the Green Belt. In addition, the proposal would cause material harm to the local landscape (which is in the Green Belt) and limited harm to the Queen Charlton Conservation Area." He concludes: "My overall conclusion, therefore, is that the other material considerations in favour of the proposal do not clearly outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and other harm that I have found."

In fact, land adjoining this unauthorised site was considered as part of the site selection process (GT23) and rejected because of the impact on the Green Belt, encroachment into open countryside, visual impact on surrounding areas, and absence of access from the public highway. This parish council fully endorses the reasons given for rejecting this site, and notes the absolute commitment given at the Parishes Liaison meeting of 20TH June 2012 by Bath and North East Somerset Council that discarded sites will not be reconsidered.

As noted above, our other concern is with the monitoring of the sites to ensure that they do not grow beyond the number of pitches permitted, and that they are not used for commercial activity except where this has been included in planning permission.

We were surprised to see that some of the sites brought forward seemed to have fairly low scores on BANES own scoring matrix, for example Ellsbridge House, but still made it onto the list.

Respondent: Name: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a

temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is ' surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 2028 / 1 Name: Mr P Gurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Yes. The preferred site:- Ref. – Parcel 7100 Woolland LA. Whitchurch has been erroneously given (by B&NES) an incorrect assessment in the site "scoring matrix." The letter from B&NES planning policy, planning service (Ref: LDF/ML/2028 but relieved 15th June 2012) contains misleading and incorrect information. Both parts of this preferred site would have been totally on a greenfield site with greenbelt status and protection.

This site must be removed from the "list".

Please confirm when removed.

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 2028 / 2 Name: Mr P Gurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 2685 / 1 Name: Mr John Kelly Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3012 / 1 Name: Mr Steve Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

The proposed site has very poor vehicular access and is therefore unsuitable for additional movements

I have witnessed one collision outside the new and I understand illegal entrance to the site since it was formed.

The surrounding green belt land and this green belt area is not suitable for development and will detract from the rural nature of the area contrary to your local plan.

Such a development would detract from the existing resident's enjoyment of the current open and rural aspect of the area and this is contrary to your local plan.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3095 / 1 Name: Mr Joe Evans Organisation: Avonside CPRE

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

It is important to note that the planning permission granted following application application 10/03798/FUL in November 2010 was intended to be temporary, not permanent. The granting of temporary planning permission has little meaning if it is subsequently seen as sole and sufficient justification for a permanent site, as seems to be the case here.

We would ask that before the site allocation process is taken further, the conditions of the original temporary planning permission should be reviewed to ensure that they have been fully complied with. The relevant conditions are:

1. The site is solely for the use of Mr John Jones and his immediate family.
2. New access should be constructed to the specifications given; hedging should be maintained and the previous access should remain closed off.
3. Previously existing containers and wooden structures on the site to be removed and not brought back onto the site at any time.

Details relating to condition 2 are set out on site plan 0954/02A and drawing 18135_100 Rev A.

Given that the previously granted temporary planning permission appears to be the sole justification for allocating two pitches to this site on a permanent basis, it is important that the conditions of the temporary planning permission have been strictly adhered to.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

1. Evidence base

We question the validity of the current evidence base for the needs of Gypsies and Travellers and its compliance with current government policy. The 2007 Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation (and other needs) Assessment has been used as the basis for this process. However, at five years old, it cannot be taken as an authoritative guide to the needs of a population that is by definition transient and fluid. Paragraph 4 of the DCLG's 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' of March 2012 states:

"...that local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning"

B&NES Council has clearly not made its own assessment of need as required; it has relied on five year old regional research. B&NES Council's own Site Assessment Report states:

"The precise need for sites to meet the new national policy will require a review of the GTAA study, preferable [sic] at the West of England level." [para. 1.4]

This has also not yet been carried out.

The March 2012 Planning Policy also states:

“In assembling the evidence base necessary to support their planning approach, local planning authorities should:

1. pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers’ accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups)
2. co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups, other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-to-date understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas over the lifespan of their development plan working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities
3. use a robust evidence base to establish accommodation needs to inform the preparation of local plans and make planning decisions.”

Because B&NES has not carried out its own research, it does not comply with this policy, having had no new formal consultation with travellers on their accommodation needs.

Because older research is being used, B&NES Council will not have a ‘up-to-date understanding’ of travellers’ needs as required.

In failing to comply with the first two requirements, B&NES Council has not created a ‘robust evidence base’ and thus does not comply with the third requirement.

We are calling for a fresh process of evidence-gathering to inform a revised list of possible preferred sites based on up-to-date evidence.

2. Requirements of different travelling communities

The DPD recognises that the needs of travelling showpeople are different from those of gypsies and travellers [2.7].

However, it does not recognise the different and sometimes incompatible needs of New Travellers, Romany Gypsies and Irish Travellers. These groups do not always have harmonious relations with one another and B&NES Council’s previous consultations suggested that enough land should be allocated to allow groups to live separately from one another [3.3].

The current proposed preferred options list places 15 of 25 permanent places on one site, the Old Colliery at Stanton Wick. This would not allow different groups to live separately from one another and would thus not meet the aims set out at 3.3. We would argue that a larger number of small sites would better meet travellers’ needs than the current list. It would also minimise the impact on settled communities.

This is back by Paragraph 4.6 of the B&NES Council Issues and Options Report on this subject, which states:

“The need for smaller sites in Bath & North East Somerset district is supported by the West of England GTAA which found that most surveyed respondents expressed a preference to live in smaller family-sized sites of no more than five pitches.”

We would like to see an analysis of the different needs of the different sectors of the travelling community as part of the new evidence-gathering process mentioned above.

3. Green Belt

Policy E (para. 14) of the March 2012 policy states:

“Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. “

This is backed by the National Planning Policy Framework. Government policy thus prevents the development of Gypsy and Traveller Sites in Green Belt except in very special circumstances. We do not feel that this requirement has been met by the current process. Of the six proposed Preferred Sites, GT1 (Woollard Lane), GT 2 (Old Colliery, Stanton Wick) and GT8 (Lower Bristol Road) lie within Green Belt. The only justification given for the ‘very special circumstances’ required to approve development in Green Belt is that no other sites are available. The Sites Assessment Report states:

“The absence of appropriate land outside the Green Belt to meet the identified level of need is considered to contribute to very special circumstances.”

In this case, the absence of other available sites does not simply ‘contribute’ to the ‘very special circumstances; it is the sole justification for Green Belt development.

We feel that this is plainly not sufficient to prove that ‘very special circumstances’ exist, especially taken alongside the out-of-date evidence base being used. If the justification for Green Belt development is that there is a need that cannot be met in any other way, then plainly the need must be derived from the most robust evidence. Equally, although the Call for Sites did not yield any other sites outside of Green Belt, such sites may well exist, especially if the principle set out above of

using smaller sites rather than larger is followed.

We feel that a fresh needs assessment should be carried out to provide a robust evidence base; further work should then be done to identify additional potential sites that are not in Green Belt. The current list of preferred options should be revised, to include only those Green Belt sites for which there is robust, up-to-date evidence of need and for which it can be demonstrated that there are genuinely exceptional circumstances to justify their inclusion.

4. Flaws in policy-making processes

Although the DPD has been prepared with a process that gives the appearance of being based on assessment of evidence, it is in fact deeply flawed. The matrix used to assess the suitability of sites in the Site Assessment Report appears to have been scored in an arbitrary manner in places; furthermore, the results of the scoring matrix bear little relationship to the shortlist of sites taken through to the Preferred Options DPD consultation document. A decision was taken at the Council's Cabinet meeting on 9 May 2012 to eliminate rejected sites so as to prepare the Preferred Options DPD consultation document. At that stage, no meaningful consultation had been carried out on either the process of site selection, the needs of the Gypsy and Traveller community in the area or the specific sites included in the process. The decision to create a shortlist before consultation had been carried out was contrary to both the 2008 Regulations on the preparation of Development Plan Documents and the 2012 NPPF, which states:

"155. Early and meaningful engagement and collaboration with neighbourhoods, local organisations and businesses is essential. A wide section of the community should be proactively engaged, so that Local Plans, as far as possible, reflect a collective vision and a set of agreed priorities for the sustainable development of the area, including those contained in any neighbourhood plans that have been made."

Respondent: 3324 / 1 Name: Mr Derek Winstone Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

The site has past through the parish council for planning purposed for two or three years. The planners have taken the view that the site would only sustain one converted building into a residential property. (I rest my case)

Respondent: 3443 / 1 Name: Mr Ian Mills Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

This site is on Green Belt and should not be available for development in any way so a gypsy site should equally NOT be allowed.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO. Again this is green belt and should not be a permanent allocation.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3452 / 1 Name: Mr M Wilcox Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We moved into the property directly opposite this parcel of land in February 1992, we bought the property because it is in a 'greenbelt' catchment area of natural beauty and untouched land and trees
The Mead is surrounded by agricultural land and trees which are partly used for farming, our own land totals several acres, and as greenbelt is protected and cannot be developed.

We have lived here for over twenty years and the parcel of land currently occupied by Mr. Jones and his family has always been greenbelt, and has never been occupied until Mr. Jones and his family moved in, there were a couple of storage containers that were left there but the land was never used or lived on.

Wollard lane is a treacherous stretch of road and over the years we have unfortunately witnessed numerous accidents and a tragic fatality which occurred outside the entrance the gypsy site, these accidents have in the main had the police and emergency services called to and I would like the police involved in this decision.

It begs belief that the council would consider this land as a potential site on such a dangerous road, this is without even taking into consideration that is greenbelt land, and it should not be considered.

Respondent: 3453 / 1 Name: Peter and Kathryn Francomb Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

We are writing to gain support for the Wilcox's to object to any planning permission being given to the land at Wollard Lane opposite "The Mead".

In fact we lived at The Mead from 1983 to 1992 before selling it to the current occupiers Debbie and Martyn Wilcox. We have always known that all the land around Wollard Lane and Queen Charlton Lane to be Greenbelt. It would have a detrimental effect on the area if the council grant the land to be used as a gypsy site.

Respondent: 3454 / 1 Name: Mr Denis Porter Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3454 / 1 Name: Mr Denis Porter Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

When the road works were being done, the workman told me this road had more heavy trucks and volume of vehicles he had seen, and he had worked over a wide area for a village.

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Not a safe place for transport
A major road at the site.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3454 / 1 Name: Mr Denis Porter Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3455 / 1 Name: Mr Tim Ellis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Yes, visibility on the road. Very dangerous

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3456 / 1 Name: Ms Deb Dowling Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3457 / 1 Name: Mr Andrew Dowling Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3458 / 1 Name: Ms Fiona Penfold Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3458 / 1 Name: Ms Fiona Penfold Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Green belt land not considered contamination eg gas and water high pressurised pipes near by not considered traffic and added congestion on unsuitable roads

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Why have greenbelt if applications like this are put through on it ??

Respondent: 3458 / 1 Name: Ms Fiona Penfold Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is 'surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3459 / 1 Name: Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

The site is situated on a very dangerous road, it is totally inappropriate for children - their safety will be impaired and should be the responsibility of people in authority to protect them. There is no pathway and no facility

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3487 / 1 Name: Mr Ian Plummer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3493 / 1 Name: S McCaig Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3495 / 1 Name: G Summers Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Respondent: 3496 / 1 Name: Mr Graham Day Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3496 / 1 Name: Mr Graham Day Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

NO

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All the 7 options are good reason why this site is not a possibility. Also more traffic in a small area.

Respondent: 3496 / 2 Name: Mr Graham Day Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is

made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3498 / 1 Name: Mr D Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015 .

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3498 / 1 Name: Mr D Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3498 / 1 Name: Mr D Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Station Road, Newbridge, Bath

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

NO

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Poor position for access onto Woollard Lane, road narrow and this is green belt land which should be preserved. I object most strongly to this proposal.

Respondent: 3499 / 1 Name: Mrs J Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed

from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3499 / 1 Name: Mrs J Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

I object very strongly to this proposal. I live almost on the junction of Woollard Lane & A37. The lane is very narrow and twisty. Access to site would be very difficult and dangerous. It is also green belt. Planning permission has always been denied for any householders to build or extend on their properties on the A37 going into the village - rightly so, as it is very busy with heavy traffic

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

NO

Respondent: 3499 / 1 Name: Mrs J Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3586 / 1 Name: Mr M J Naunton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

The site at Woollard Lane should be discounted immediately as it is on GREENBELT land that should not be developed on.

The police have said as the road is so trecherous with so many accidents and a death they should be consulted. It is in a area that should not be considered and as a mistake was made by the planning department saying it was brownfield, the temporary planning should be changed to greenbelt laws and the gypsies evicted.

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No, they made a mistake it is greenbelt, the road is trecherous with accidents and a fatality and temporary planning should not have been given after so many eviction notices served by the council originally.

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Planning have said they made a mistake and now are saying it is greenbelt. I have lived opposite for 22 years, we know the people who lived here before and before that going back seventy years, it has always been greenbelt and never occupied only a rusty storage container. I have photo's going back thirty years I can present to back this up. This land should not be occupied.

I totally support all these points also it is a trecherous road, so many accidents have occured on Woollard Lane including someone killed on a motorbike. I have contacted the police who have said planning should have contacted them due to the road. We live opposite and have many acres of land which are all greenbelt, the definition of greenbelt is land that cannot be developed. This will have a detrimental affect on this area and will be the first thing people see from the A37. I am suffering from a tumor being removed and my oncologist has said this stress is adding to making me ill.

Respondent: 3587 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Holmes Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Ex MOD Sites
Outside Greenbelt

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

Inappropriate development in the greenbelt.

Respondent: 3594 / 1 Name: Mr Philip Caines Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

I believe this site to be most unsuitable for this purpose. This is a very busy junction. A family with a number of children it would be dangerous. Also not suitable for working vehicles. Also the proper respect for the working cemetery nearby. Lots of local people would love to live there but would never get permission to build. Special treatment for any individual is not fair. I think they should put their name on the council house list like the young people in the area and take their turn. Nobody should get special treatment. No local people would get permission to build on Greenbelt lane so nobody should. 'It is spoiling our village'.

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

NO. There should be no exceptions regarding Greenbelt land for ANYONE

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

DEFINITELY NOT.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

More consideration should be given to the effect on people already living in the area and not people outside who are quite happy that it is not on their doorstep. I am thinking of SOME councillors I have come into contact with.

Respondent: 3594 / 2 Name: Mr Philip Caines Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3599 / 1 Name: RJ Chambers Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into. Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3621 / 1 Name: Ms Alison Bardsley Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is 'greenfield' within the greenbelt and must therefore be inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to shops, doctors, senior schools and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3694 / 1 Name: Katie Kierski Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3716 / 2 Name: Mr Colin Holloway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3724 / 2 Name: Mrs Lynda Holloway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3735 / 1 Name: J Hallett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as

planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3736 / 1 Name: R Hallett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3737 / 1 Name: Ms Rachel White Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3738 / 1 Name: M.A Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3739 / 1 Name: AJ Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3740 / 1 Name: Mr Michael Magre Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3743 / 2 Name: Mrs V Bayliss Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3744 / 1 Name: RJC Mead Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3745 / 1 Name: M Buck Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3746 / 1 Name: A Buck Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3747 / 1 Name: Mrs C Fennel Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3748 / 1 Name: CR Bayliss Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3749 / 1 Name: Ms Clare Bayliss Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3750 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Copeland Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into. Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework. Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3751 / 1 Name: Mr Allan Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3752 / 1 Name: Danielle Millard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3753 / 1 Name: Wilson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3754 / 1 Name: AJ Mills Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3755 / 1 Name: J Barton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3756 / 1 Name: Hoabbs Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3757 / 1 Name: Ms Natalie Wilson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3758 / 1 Name: D Parsons Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3759 / 1 Name: Mr AM Francis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3760 / 1 Name: S Francis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3761 / 1 Name: Mr Kevin Saunders Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3762 / 1 Name: J.C.M Evans Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions

must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3763 / 1 Name: Ms Deborah Powell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3764 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Powell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is

made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3765 / 1 Name: Mr Ken Butt Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3766 / 1 Name: M Lear Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3767 / 1 Name: MI Davey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3768 / 1 Name: Mr Brian Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3769 / 1 Name: J Scapping Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3770 / 1 Name: M Chambers Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3771 / 1 Name: Mr Michael Pugsley Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3772 / 1 Name: Ms Kim Pugsley Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3773 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Roynon Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3774 / 1 Name: A.G Townsend Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3775 / 1 Name: Ms Olivia Saunders Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3776 / 1 Name: DA Butt Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3777 / 1 Name: J.A Mitchell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3778 / 1 Name: Ms Christine Mitchell Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3779 / 1 Name: Ms Sarah Ingram Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3780 / 1 Name: Ms Lynne Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3781 / 1 Name: Mr Terry Offer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3811 / 1 Name: Ms Danielle Thomas Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3812 / 1 Name: P Veat Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3813 / 1 Name: Mrs Gioria Gurton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3815 / 1 Name: DT Walker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3816 / 1 Name: RD Webster Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3817 / 1 Name: Mrs C Webb Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this. All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3818 / 1 Name: Ms Caroline Stewart Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3819 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Parker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.
There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3820 / 1 Name: GF Summers Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3821 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Loverseed-Voysey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3822 / 1 Name: N Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3823 / 1 Name: Mrs PE Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3824 / 1 Name: Ms Sarah Sheehan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3825 / 1 Name: Ms Lucy Sparks Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3826 / 1 Name: Ms Hayley Thatcher Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3826 / 2 Name: Ms Hayley Thatcher Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3827 / 1 Name: Mr Jason Thatcher Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3828 / 1 Name: Ms Jaqui Tavender Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
 All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a

temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3829 / 1 Name: M Knighton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3830 / 1 Name: E Knighton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and

NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3831 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Lampard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3832 / 1 Name: Mrs A Lampard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3833 / 1 Name: Ms Staunton Mounter Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3834 / 1 Name: ML Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3835 / 1 Name: D.L Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3836 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Ogborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3837 / 1 Name: Mr B Ogborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3838 / 1 Name: Sue Coleman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3839 / 1 Name: Pat Ford Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3840 / 1 Name: GM Ford Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3841 / 1 Name: Mr John Davies Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3842 / 1 Name: Nicky Ford Carnevale Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3843 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Hynam Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3844 / 1 Name: Ms D Hynam Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3845 / 1 Name: Mr SM Johnso Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3846 / 1 Name: M Bailey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.
There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3847 / 1 Name: Bishop Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3848 / 1 Name: GF Bishop Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions

must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3850 / 1 Name: Ms Jennifer Belsten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3851 / 1 Name: Mr P Belsten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is

surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3852 / 1 Name: Ms Rosemary Coats Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3853 / 1 Name: M Barrett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and

NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3854 / 1 Name: Ms Lillian Betty Parker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3855 / 1 Name: Ms Holly Parker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3857 / 1 Name: Ms Karen Sampson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3858 / 1 Name: Mr Daniel Hunt Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3859 / 1 Name: The Occupier Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3860 / 1 Name: Mr Harry Richardson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3861 / 1 Name: Ms Harriet Lomas Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3862 / 1 Name: Mr Sean Dorrington Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3863 / 1 Name: Mr Graham Close Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3864 / 1 Name: H Gammon Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3865 / 1 Name: Ms Nicola Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3866 / 1 Name: Mr Matt Donovan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3867 / 1 Name: Mr John Day Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3868 / 1 Name: KW Bevan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3869 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3870 / 1 Name: J Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3871 / 1 Name: Ms Victoria Loosemore Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3872 / 1 Name: S Gwyther Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3874 / 1 Name: Ms Sarah Pidgeon Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3875 / 1 Name: J Rosling Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3876 / 1 Name: M Thompson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3877 / 1 Name: Mrs Donna Baber Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3878 / 1 Name: Mr Ben Lloyd Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3879 / 1 Name: J Garbutt Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3881 / 1 Name: Stephen Bishop Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3882 / 1 Name: Mr Marcus Mapstone Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3883 / 1 Name: D Filer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3884 / 1 Name: MA Golesworthy Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3885 / 1 Name: K Manning Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3886 / 1 Name: KG Manning Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3887 / 1 Name: SJ Manning Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and

NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3888 / 1 Name: Mr Jason Moloney Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3889 / 1 Name: Mrs Jane Moloney Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3890 / 1 Name: Ms Kerry Morgan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3892 / 1 Name: Ms Marilyn Morgan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3893 / 1 Name: Ms Mandy Morgan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3894 / 1 Name: Ms Kim Perkins Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed

from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3895 / 1 Name: N Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3896 / 1 Name: RD Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3897 / 1 Name: Ms Jane Bestford Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3898 / 1 Name: SJ Parkinson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3899 / 1 Name: Ms Anne Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3903 / 1 Name: Ms Joan Tumblety Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3903 / 1 Name: Ms Joan Tumblety Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3904 / 1 Name: Mr Trevor Williams Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3905 / 1 Name: Mr Phil Sidwick Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3906 / 1 Name: Ms Carol Sidwick Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3907 / 1 Name: Curtis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3908 / 1 Name: Candy Buckmaster Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3909 / 1 Name: Ms Janet Godwin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3910 / 1 Name: I Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
 It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
 It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
 It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
 Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
 It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
 It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
 Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
 I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3911 / 1 Name: Ms Louise Muse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3912 / 1 Name: Mr Des Muse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
 It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
 The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
 The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is

made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3913 / 1 Name: Mrs Racheal Jackson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3914 / 1 Name: Mr D Barnes Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this. All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3915 / 1 Name: Mr Tom Bridgman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this ~site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List

Respondent: 3916 / 1 Name: R Courtier Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities.

Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3917 / 1 Name: E Conybeare Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3918 / 1 Name: Ms Gemma Elliott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No, I do not agree

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I do not agree with the proposed site.
 All this land is Greenfield within the greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two inspectors have previously stated this site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the site.
 The site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentists, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3919 / 1 Name: J Hewish Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
 All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3920 / 1 Name: S Hewish Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3921 / 1 Name: D Hewish Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3922 / 1 Name: Ms Cathy Holloway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

No

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

No I do not agree.

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List

Respondent: 3922 / 1 Name: Ms Cathy Holloway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3923 / 1 Name: Ms Sandra Lee Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3924 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Lee Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3924 / 1 Name: Mr Richard Lee Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3926 / 1 Name: Mrs Victoria Lofthouse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3927 / 1 Name: Mr Gordan Mignano Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed

Options List.

Respondent: 3928 / 1 Name: KW Hallam Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3929 / 1 Name: A Lunt Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3930 / 1 Name: Ms Rebecca Carr Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3932 / 1 Name: Ms Jacqueline Stone Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3933 / 1 Name: Ms Ellen Hitchins Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3934 / 1 Name: Mr Rob Hitchens Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3935 / 1 Name: P Fox Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary

use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3936 / 1 Name:

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3937 / 1 Name: Mr Steven Johnson

Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3938 / 1 Name: Ms Anita Johnson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3939 / 1 Name: Mr Adam Johnson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3940 / 1 Name: Ms Sharon Leech Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3941 / 1 Name: Ms Hayley Merchant Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not.

The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3942 / 1 Name: JM Nash Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3943 / 1 Name: MJ Nash Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3944 / 1 Name: Mr Shane Lee Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3945 / 1 Name: Ms Irene Marsh Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3946 / 1 Name: Ms Rachel Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.
There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3947 / 1 Name: Mr Andrew Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.
There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3948 / 1 Name: Mr Roger Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3949 / 1 Name: Ms Lynda Martin Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3950 / 1 Name: Mr Trevor Mills Organisation:
Gordan

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3952 / 1 Name: Mr David Mills Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3953 / 1 Name: Mr Wayne Millard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3954 / 1 Name: A Meli Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3955 / 1 Name: M Milkins Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3956 / 1 Name: Mrs Oldfield Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3957 / 1 Name: H Orren Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed

Options List.

Respondent: 3958 / 1 Name: Sarah Paling Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3959 / 1 Name: Mrs V Patel Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3960 / 1 Name: Umang Patel Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3961 / 1 Name: L Payne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3962 / 1 Name: Mr Chris Lauste Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this. All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3963 / 1 Name: Ms Jane Nyhan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3964 / 1 Name: L Robinson Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3965 / 1 Name: G Salisbury Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3966 / 1 Name: Ms Clare Searle Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3967 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Stacey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3968 / 1 Name: Ms Lousie Stephens Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3969 / 1 Name: L Tait Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3969 / 1 Name: L Tait Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3970 / 1 Name: Ms Judi Ticktum Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3971 / 1 Name: A Tiller Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3971 / 1 Name: A Tiller Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3972 / 1 Name: Ms Joanna Toto Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3973 / 1 Name: P Toto Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3975 / 1 Name: Ms Julie Rowles Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this. All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3976 / 1 Name: V Ward Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3977 / 1 Name: Ms Claire Wools Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3978 / 1 Name: J Woodman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3979 / 1 Name: Lee Yarlett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3980 / 1 Name: R Perrott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3981 / 1 Name: G Kew Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3982 / 1 Name: B Britten Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3983 / 1 Name: Ms Shirley Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on

two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3984 / 1 Name: Meruyn Clark Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3985 / 1 Name: R Crabbe Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3986 / 1 Name: L Holuey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3987 / 1 Name: Ms Kelly Edwards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3988 / 1 Name: Mr Wesley Edwards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3989 / 1 Name: Ms S Dyer Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3990 / 2 Name: SJ Bumett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3991 / 1 Name: M Burke Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3992 / 1 Name: KG Burke Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3993 / 1 Name: J McNeil Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 3994 / 1 Name: Ms Mandy Cheshire Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3995 / 1 Name: Ms Maxine Barton Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3996 / 1 Name: Ms Michelle Bennett Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3997 / 1 Name: Mr Caroline Banfield Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 3998 / 1 Name: Ms Yvette Bray Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 3999 / 1 Name: V Bailey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4000 / 1 Name: Stephen Bayliss Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4001 / 1 Name: D Bayliss Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4002 / 1 Name: P Ambrose Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4004 / 1 Name: JR Baggs Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix. It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village. It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist. Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites. I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4005 / 1 Name: EA Baggs Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4007 / 1 Name: N Churchill Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4008 / 1 Name: Ms Lorraine Cano Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence

therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4009 / 1 Name: RA Duck Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4010 / 1 Name: Ms Sandra Duck Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4011 / 1 Name: Ms Amanda Emery Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4013 / 1 Name: Ms Sarah Edwards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4014 / 1 Name: MC Eades Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4015 / 1 Name: MR Eades Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.
It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.
It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.
It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.
Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.
It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.
It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.
Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt. There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.
I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4016 / 1 Name: J Evans Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4018 / 1 Name: Mr Paul Foster Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4019 / 1 Name: Ms Maria Fox Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4020 / 1 Name: Ms Mandy Frost Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4021 / 1 Name: Mrs BH Gillard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4021 / 1 Name: Mrs BH Gillard Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4022 / 1 Name: D Gilbert Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4024 / 1 Name: Ms Debra Hawkes Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4025 / 1 Name: S Harris Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4026 / 1 Name: Ms Jess Hepburn Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here.

Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4027 / 1 Name: Mr BS Hill Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.

All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 20 15 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.

The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4028 / 1 Name: Ms Chloe Hurst Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4029 / 1 Name: Ms Anne Langley Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4030 / 1 Name: Ms Georginna Marriott Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4031 / 1 Name: Mr Roger Howse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site. It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015. It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site. It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned. Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded

of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4032 / 1 Name: Ms I Howse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4033 / 1 Name: B James Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4034 / 1 Name: J James Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of this Gypsy Site.

It only has temporary use until 2015. How can a Council expand a site under these circumstances. Any further decisions must be left until after 2015.

It is now established that your Council has sent out incorrect information about this Site.

It is not Brownfield. It is Greenfield within the Greenbelt. How can you progress with this proposal. The scoring matrix will be wrong on this count and the next point mentioned.

Further, there is a High Pressure Gas Main passing within 1000 metres of this Site which constitutes a Hazard as demanded of your scoring matrix.

It is outside the housing dev. Boundary for Whitchurch Village.

It is unsustainable. Cars are needed to access all amenities, facilities etc such as senior schools, shops, doctor's surgery and NHS dentist.

Your Core Strategy and National Planning Policy Framework state that Gypsy Sites are inappropriate in the Green Belt.

There should be no further discussion other than to take this Site off your Proposed Options Sites.

I trust you will take notice of this letter from a local having a local view.

Respondent: 4035 / 1 Name: T Hennessy Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4036 / 1 Name: F Richards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.
It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4037 / 1 Name: V Connolly Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this.
All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site.
The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4038 / 1 Name: Mr PJ Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4040 / 1 Name: Mrs B Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4041 / 1 Name: P Walters Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4042 / 1 Name: KM Turner Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4044 / 1 Name: JS Webb Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4045 / 1 Name: SC Webb Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4046 / 1 Name: A Thomas Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4047 / 1 Name: Gio Batta Zanetti Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4048 / 1 Name: N Zanetti Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4049 / 1 Name: Mr Trevor Tait Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to the proposed expansion of what is only a temporary Site. Any decision should be left until 2015 when this temporary use is again visited by your Department. In the meantime I would say the whole Site is Greenfield within the Green Belt and there is no special case for this development. This has been decided by two previous Planning Inspectors on two different occasions on Appeals, which your Council have apparently decided to overrule by giving the Site temporary use. This is most strange and irregular and should be looked into.

Green Belt land is protected by Planning Guidelines and where they apply to one person they should apply to all. There is no special case and any such development would be deemed inappropriate as stated within your Core Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework.

Due to your Council's incorrect and misleading information regarding this Site it would be best that this Site be removed from your Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4050 / 1 Name: M Allan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4053 / 1 Name: M Selway Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4055 / 1 Name: D Russe Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4057 / 1 Name: Ms Janet Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4058 / 1 Name: Mr Trevor Smith Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4059 / 1 Name: V O'Neill Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4060 / 1 Name: M Richards Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4061 / 1 Name: Mr Tom Searle Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4062 / 1 Name: Mrs CL Roberts Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4063 / 1 Name: S Popel-Dewar Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4063 / 1 Name: S Popel-Dewar Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4064 / 1 Name: Mrs JK Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4065 / 1 Name: Mr Ken Pearce Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4066 / 1 Name: A.D Muse Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4068 / 1 Name: Ms Beverly Parker Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4069 / 1 Name: Mr Colin Matthews Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4070 / 1 Name: Mrs Matthews Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable.

This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4071 / 1 Name: M Lennon Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4072 / 1 Name: Ms Janet Lynn Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4073 / 1 Name: Mrs Kew Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4074 / 1 Name: WJ Osborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4075 / 1 Name: M Osborne Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4076 / 1 Name: P Jones Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4077 / 1 Name: Mr Craig Pullinger Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4078 / 1 Name: Ms Trudi Pullinger Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4079 / 1 Name: C Lewis Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4080 / 1 Name: Ms Clare Hearsey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to state that the proposal for a Gypsy Site at the Junction of the A37 and Sleep Lane to be most unsuitable. This Site is within the Green Belt and is therefore inappropriate development. The Site has only a temporary Licence therefore any decision to expand it should surely wait until 2015 when an extension will be either extended or not. The Green Belt is protected by Planning Guidelines and should be upheld. Special circumstances do not apply here. Your Council should look carefully at the history of this Site.

Respondent: 4082 / 1 Name: Mr Paul A Holvey Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4083 / 1 Name: B Hicks Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4084 / 1 Name: J Hurley Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4085 / 1 Name: Mr Chris Dando Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write with regard to the proposed Gypsy Site at 7100 Parcel, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch Village and say I do not agree with this. All this land is Greenfield within the Greenbelt and must therefore be an inappropriate development. It only has a temporary permission of use until 2015 so why would further expansion of this site be contemplated before 2015 when further permission may not be granted. Given that two Inspectors have previously stated this Site to be unsuitable it is surprising that your Council granted a temporary permission thus overriding these Inspectors independent assessments of the Site. The Site is unsustainable. A car is necessary for all visits to Shops, NHS Dentist, Doctor, Senior School, and other amenities. Your Council should look carefully at the History of this Site and in the meantime it should be removed from the Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4086 / 1 Name: Miss SE Hawkins Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4087 / 1 Name: IM King Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4088 / 1 Name: B Blinman Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is

made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4089 / 1 Name: Mr Dave Kosztan Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4090 / 1 Name: Ms Marie Flowers Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.

The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.

The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.

Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.

This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4091 / 1 Name: J Heal Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4092 / 1 Name: EA Harrex Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site. It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to. The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used. The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take placed until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site. Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate. This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4093 / 1 Name: Mr Shaun Collieo Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on:

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I write to object to this Site being included in your Council's Proposed Options List for a Gypsy Site.

It is within the Green Belt and the Site is all Greenfield. It is inappropriate development within the Green Belt and as planning guidelines are there to protect Green Belt these should be adhered to.
The new entrance to the Site is unsafe and furthermore the old entrance is still being used.
The site only has temporary licence for this use until 2015. No further development should take place until a decision is made in 2015 about this Site.
Also this Site is on the main arterial route into Bristol which again is inappropriate.
This Site should be removed from your Council's Proposed Options List.

Respondent: 4233 / 1 Name: Ms Imogen Barfield Organisation:

Do you have any evidence or information about any of the sites set out in the Preferred Options document which will help Bath and North East Somerset Council to determine whether they are available, suitable and achievable for Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople provision?

Site commenting on: GT.1 Parcel 7100, Woollard Lane, Whitchurch

Do you agree with the Council's assessment of opportunities and constraints on this site?

Do you agree that the potential pitch provision be taken forward as a formal allocation?

Do you have any other general comments on the Preferred Options paper?

I would like to register my objection to the proposed gypsy and traveller site at the end of Norton Lane on the A37. I object on the basis that the site is greenfield and a suitable brownfield site should be found for the purpose. It is entirely unsuitable for land in this greenbelt to be used for housing, of any type.